INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 6 | Issue 5

2023

© 2023 International Journal of Law Management & Humanities

Follow this and additional works at: https://www.ijlmh.com/
Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/)

This article is brought to you for "free" and "open access" by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of any suggestions or complaints, kindly contact Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities, kindly email your Manuscript to submission@ijlmh.com.

The Role of Court and Rule of Law in Legislation

NITA DAVE1

ABSTRACT

"The judiciary is more important than eyes in political development." India is the world's largest democracy. Democracy has three foundations: Law, order and administration. The effective functioning of democracy depends on these principles defined in the Constitution and functioning as independent institutions. The system of judicial review has been working satisfactorily since India's independence in 1947. In this country, justice may be delayed but never denied. Every person has the right to approach the courts whenever he feels that he has been deprived of his rights as a citizen of India. The whole process revealed that none of the country's top leaders could be called beyond doubt. In such circumstances, large and powerful lobbies are likely to work towards suppressing the processes of natural justice or discrediting the judiciary or the judicial process. Provisions are made to provide legal assistance to people who are too poor to pay for a lawyer. This ensures that everyone has access to justice.

There is also concern that some interests may subvert justice through subtle changes. Many corrupt leaders, politicians and officials are still being tried on various charges. Many times, some bad content harms the judiciary and evades or delays justice, leading to absence of justice. Although there are laws for such cases in the country's justice system, victims are often unaware of these remedies. The judiciary continues to do its best despite the limitations. The law of the land should be allowed to prevail.

This can only be done by strengthening the judiciary. The prompt and effective administration of justice must be guaranteed to everyone. There is no doubt that politicians and officials have failed in their duty to provide the people of this country with a clean, honest and acceptable government. Only judges can speak without fear or dislike. India will survive only if the judiciary survives. If Indian justice is closed, India will die. No power, no matter how great, should be allowed to get in the way of the judiciary. Honesty and honesty are the soul of this country. Justice is the only hope of this country.

Keywords: Judiciary, Democracy, Justice, Right, Justice.

I. Introduction

The legislature is the body of government that makes the government's laws. There are two

¹ Author is a student at Thakur Ramnarayan College of Law, Mumbai, India.

types of legislative powers: unicameral and bicameral. When there is only one legislature, it is called unicameral; When there are two legislatures, it is called bicameral. In many countries, legislatures are bicameral or bicameral. The word "legislative body" is a general term that refers to the body that makes laws. Bringing these two views together, it can be said that the legislature or the government is a state institution responsible for making legal decisions. The word "Legg" means law, the word "latura" means place, etymologically Legislative Assembly means place. legislation. Another term related to law is "parliament".

It is the organization responsible for formalizing the will of the state and giving it rights and authority. Simply put, the Legislative Assembly is the law-making branch of government. Law plays a special and important role in every democratic country. The word comes from the French word parley, meaning "to speak", to speak, or deliberately. Therefore, we can say that "parliament" means meeting place. It is an assembly consisting of representatives elected by the people, representing the will and power of the people.

II. JUDICIARY

A government agency with the authority to interpret and apply the law, decide disputes, and administer justice.

(A) Role of Judiciary of India

The Judiciary of India is not limited to customary jurisdiction over civil and criminal law; assumes many important roles and responsibilities. If the law is violated, a lawsuit will be filed against the offender. Judges interpret existing laws and create new ones. The Supreme Court makes legal decisions. Supervision of lower courts is another function of the judiciary. The Supreme Court of India has limited the power of the judiciary to invalid laws passed by Parliament or state legislatures. The judge decides whether both parties are guilty or not. The Supreme Court sometimes makes recommendations to the legislative and executive branches when they are asked to advise on the law.

The law is the protector of human rights recognized by the laws and regulations of the country. Judges perform some administrative work. Appointment of officers and employees, record keeping, personnel management, etc. Decision of the arbitral tribunal. Courts cannot enforce laws affecting fundamental rights. In India, the judiciary has the power to issue writs of habeas corpus, injunction, mandamus, certiorari and writs of certiorari. Judges are considered guardians of the constitution.

(B) ²Importance of the Judiciary

- 1. The importance of the judiciary cannot be ignored in a democratic society. The judiciary is part of the democratic process. In many states, the judiciary has the power of judicial review, which allows judges to determine the legality of laws passed or orders issued. First, he thinks it doesn't matter whether the law is good or bad. His research aims to exploit this. He is the first and most important interpreter of the law. It can be used unofficially and voluntarily, without being contrary to the Constitution. The judiciary is responsible for the interpretation of laws, the punishment of crimes, and the protection of freedoms and human rights by government and trade unions, etc. It is the government body responsible for protecting the government from violations committed by the government. Judges not only ensure justice, but also protect human rights and are interpreters and guardians of the Constitution. In today's democracies, the judiciary performs many functions.
- 2. The "law enforcement" idea was very influential. Some people call this "non-conversational." 1 But the idea of law is not the meaning of law, which is often discussed at conferences, masterclasses and university lectures. Perhaps the law cannot be defined with scientific precision. However, it cannot be ignored as a difficult concept to understand. More importantly, the 1996 South African Constitution established the supreme Constitution and the rule of law as the foundation of the new South Africa. The rule of law embodies a good idea based on following certain principles and values. John Adams used the words of history: "Government comes by law, not by men." John Adams added that law has universal rules that should guide human behavior and that law should be a solution to problems.
- **3.** It should not be forgotten that the principles of the concept of law are not Eastern or Western, but have an international reach and dimension. It is worth quoting Vivian Bose, former judge of the Supreme Court of India, who described law as the "heritage of every human being" because the principles of law are the belief in human rights and human dignity for the entire world. World.
- **4.** The decision of the Supreme Court of India is that if the administration causes harm to anyone, it should be done at the discretion of the law. Therefore, the concept of law can affect the illegal behavior of the board. According to this concept, no employee or manager can arrest or detain anyone unless there is a legal basis. Likewise, no one shall be deprived of his property without legal rights. It has always been said that law ensures predictability and certainty in governance. 4 The concept of law flows like a golden thread in Indian Law. The fundamental

© 2023. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities

² Jha C D- Judicial Review of Legislative Acts- Importance of the Judiciary

rights granted by the Constitution of India are similar to the Constitution and this is even more evident in Articles 14, 19, 20, 21, 265 and 300-A of the law. Article 14 guarantees equality before the law or equal protection by the law, and Article 19 requires that restrictions be imposed by law rather than regulations. Therefore, freedom of expression and freedom of the media cannot be easily restricted without appropriate legal protection. Likewise, no taxes will be paid or collected except as required by law.

- **5.** It should be noted that when decisions are made incorrectly due to lack of trust in the government, the solution to the problem is usually the management in that situation. This can be done through legislation, not through the judiciary. However, the Constitution of India has not given the judiciary the power to determine the justice or correctness of the administration as a whole and whether the law is "straight" or "wrong". However, the prerequisites for the legality of direct and indirect decisions may not be high, especially when human rights are concerned.
- **6.** To put it bluntly, the model does not allow the law, does not understand some natural products, does not make the state illegal or deny the model's rights. Golak Nath v. The Punjab State Board was deemed weak due to the limitations of Article 368 due to the moral turpitude of its experts. This affects divine simplicity because the achievements of some experts will be legalized by law. These rights protected by law are virtual and cannot be used. When various legal theories put forward by academics such as Dworkin, Hart, Bentham and Austin are examined, it becomes clear that all agreements and bridges of law are limited to management professionals. Such caution ought to not be made agreeable to impulses and fancies of the legal framework.
- 7. The as it were obligation of the Preeminent Court is to translate and coordinate the execution of the law made by the assembly. As per the choice of the Incomparable Court within the case of Joginder Kumar vs. State of UP, no prompt captures were to be made in cases where a individual had been charged of a criminal offense. In a comparable judgment of Arnesh Kumar vs. In the Bihar 16 case, the Supreme Court held that personal arrest is mandatory if the accused is charged under Section 498A of the IPC due to compelling and compelling reasons. Lalita Kumari v. A unique court in the State of UP recognized the fact that police and coroner have the right to conduct "preliminary investigation" before reporting certain crimes; If complaints are not disclosed to the police. identifiable misbehavior.
- 8. Parliament is the law-making institution in India. The bill was submitted to the Parliament and accepted after a long preparation process that included voting in both chambers and

approval by the President. However, although it is responsible for most of the laws that exist today, it is not the only legislative body. There are also experts who make laws in Indian law. The Unique Court does this by issuing decisions that are binding on all lower courts. Lower courts must follow the principles used by the Supreme Court when judging cases.

- **9.** After examining the decisions of the above-mentioned land, it can be concluded that the judges made the law in a vacuum or without any facts. But when the existing law does not provide all the resources necessary to ensure justice or "full justice", judges often want to see the law in law as legal through their interpretive skills or decisions, "judges breathe life and blood into dry bones". Quotation: legislature and creates a living organism appropriate and adequate to meet the needs of the society.
- 10. While laws made by judges create trust and prosperity among the people, they also make the legislator think of his failure. On the other hand, it creates an ambiguous and unnecessary conflict between state bodies, judges impose rules that violate principles of justice, require knowledge of bridges before using them, and judges make difficult decisions for ordinary people, perhaps it is based on their subjective opinion.
- 11. Stock exchanges are a place to buy and sell stocks, bonds, and other securities that don't fit your budget. Securities advertising plays an important role in business because it allows individuals, companies, and governments to raise money through securities advertising and allows financial professionals to raise money to assist various disobediences.

Securities Law Analysis Some of the reasons why securities disclosures should be focused on are:

1. Investor Protection:

Regulation of trade Businesses can ensure the stability of interaction between financial professionals by advertising and marketing. security. A reasonable, honest and wise way. This helps reduce the risk of extortion and advertising manipulation, which can cause serious harm to investors.

2. Policy Management:

Ad Security Management can make it smart by avoiding advertising management, insider trading and other criminal rules that can discourage people from obtaining information and destabilize the economy.

3. Support Business:

The best business management supports thinking by providing financial professionals with

visibility and certainty in the securities market. BT. This could lead to greater potential and a stronger economy.

4. Facilitate Capital Formation:

Capital planning can be facilitated by managing security release by providing access to capital to companies and other sponsors and supporting those in need. Use your money in various financial instruments.

5. Promote competition:

Security management announced that it will ensure that all members of the equipment have access to information and assets, promoting promising competition for major players. Lock the character against the competition. Laws and guidelines in India are designed to protect the interests of gamblers and promote the efficient and correct conduct of securities advertising. Securities advertising in India is subject to certain laws and regulations bodies, counting the Securities and Trade Board of India (SEBI) and the Service of Corporate Issues (MCA).

The fundamental laws and controls overseeing the securities showcase in India are as follows:

Securities and Trade Board of India (SEBI) Act: The SEBI Act is the essential enactment that oversees the securities advertise in India. It establishes SEBI as the essential controller of the securities showcase and gives it with the control to create rules and controls for the assurance of financial specialists and the deliberate working of the securities advertise.

1. Rakesh Agrawal v. SEBI

In 1996, Rakesh Agrawal, director of ABS Industries Ltd., signed an agreement with Germany's Bayer AG agreeing to acquire 51% of ABS Industries Ltd. After UPSI announced the acquisition, the defendants, through their brothers, contacted Mr. Trump sold his ownership portion of ABS Industries. Information Kedia. Consider that Mr. Kedia hired a well-connected person, SEBI Mr. Rakesh Agrawal, guilty of insider trading, asked him to deposit Rs. Rs 34 lakh (equivalent i.e. Rs 17 lakh per transaction) provided by the Bombay Stock Exchange and NSE Investor Protection Fund to provide compensation to investors who may later claim the status.

On appeal to the Securities Appellate Tribunal (SAT), Mr. Agrawal traded securities during his time at UPSI. He was not guilty of insider trading because he made the highest profits in the market. company (as Bayer AG denies it). He can't accept the company and doesn't want to make money unless he can get at least 51% of the shares.

Additionally, the Undersecretariat of Treasury said that in order to punish insiders, it must be proven that insiders benefited from the wrong currency exchange. The court also rejected SEBI's contention that insider trading laws are based on the concept of "disclose or avoid" and that insiders holding UPSI cannot do business in the concerned company without UPSI issue. Analyzing the company law in the light of the mens rea requirement in Indian law, the court said: "The purpose of SEBI is to establish rules prohibiting insider trading, knowing the opinions/opinions of insiders. It does not cover insider trading. However, this does not mean that incentives should be ignored."

2. WhatsApp Leak Case

The case involves allegations against Wipro, Ambuja Cement, Mindtree, Bajaj Auto etc. from various WhatsApp groups. It concerns Shruti Vora of Antique Stock Broking Ltd, who discloses information about the value of companies. SEBI conducted a preliminary investigation and conducted search and seizure operations against 26 entities in the Business Chatter WhatsApp Group and seized around 190 devices, documents and other items. Additionally, Shruti Vora was fined by SEBI for sending a WhatsApp message containing UPSI regarding the company's financial activities. Analysts Parthiv Dalal and Neeraj Kumar Agarwal from other brokerages were also fined.

The defendant's last defense is the concept of "Hear on the Street" ("HOS"), which is the application. HOS publications include news organizations such as CNBC, Reuters, Bloomberg and Twitter.

SEBI is filing insider trading charges against some industry officials who 'forwarded' WhatsApp messages about companies that did not publish quarterly reports. Banks have SAT debt because SEBI can't find space, let it go. after those who sent them. SAT argued that because most information would not be considered UPSI, the person providing the information would not be considered an "insider." However, the message will be marked as UPSI only if the recipient knows that the message is UPSI and SEBI takes into account the "preponderance of probabilities" under such circumstances.

III. ³Conclusion

In addition to the ability of law to solve problems, legislative and judicial institutions are also responsible for establishing appropriate standards for good social control at all levels. This will also help fill gaps or gaps that cannot be addressed by government agencies. The primary

© 2023. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities

³ Conclusion-articles-manupatra.com

function of the judiciary is to uphold or restore rights in the legal system by ensuring that the law is enforced in the government's law.

If the people want the law, if the law is inconsistent and the legislature is not satisfied or refuses to present the law for any reason, then there must be a solution to the problems. A direct example from our country's judicial history is the Supreme Court's decision in Navtej Singh Johar v. The Union of India has interpreted Section 377 of the Indian Penal Code. 20 If there is a law, judges can intervene. Fill in the blanks. Many decisions in the past have said that courts should not exercise such discretion. It is now widely accepted that judges, including those of the Supreme Court of India, can exercise wide discretion.

There are other cases where the court declared the law wrong. It is worth noting that the fulfillment of these tasks is the responsibility of many government institutions. Because the country's judicial system does not have a single feature or existence. For these important reasons, the Supreme Court must be careful not to violate the law that obscures the difference between the legislature and the executive by giving up jurisdiction here. The Supreme Court must be sensitive to the public, understand their concerns, and interpret them as necessary to achieve the purposes of the Constitution. At the same time, people at the bottom of the social and economic ladder need to understand this. The meaning of the order of life in the strict belief that created it: Law. The Supreme Court must understand that other central states are its "partners" and therefore cannot reimburse the constitutionally guaranteed distribution.
