

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

---

Volume 7 | Issue 3

---

2024

© 2024 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlmh.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/>)

---

This article is brought to you for “free” and “open access” by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact [Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com](mailto:Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com).

---

**To submit your Manuscript** for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to [submission@ijlmh.com](mailto:submission@ijlmh.com).

---

# The Comparative Analysis on Judicial System in Indian and UK

---

KOUSTUBH SHARMA<sup>1</sup> AND DR ANIL KUMAR DIXIT<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

*This article presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of the judicial systems in India and the United Kingdom, focusing on their historical development, structural organization, and functional operations. The UK's judicial system, deeply rooted in common law traditions, has significantly influenced the judiciary in India, a legacy from the British colonial era. Despite this shared heritage, the two systems have diverged considerably since India's independence in 1947. This study explores key differences and similarities in judicial hierarchy, appointment processes, legal procedures, and the role of judicial review.*

*Through an examination of landmark cases and judicial reforms, the article identifies how each system addresses issues such as access to justice, judicial independence, and accountability. It also delves into the sociopolitical contexts that shape judicial decisions and the implementation of the rule of law. By highlighting the unique challenges and strengths of both systems, the comparative evaluation provides insights into their effectiveness in handling contemporary legal issues, safeguarding human rights, and promoting social justice. This analysis aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of the adaptability and resilience of judicial mechanisms in different legal and cultural environments, offering potential lessons for judicial reforms globally.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The judicial systems in India and the United Kingdom have some similarities and differences. In India, the judicial system is a complex structure that includes the Supreme Court, High Courts, and lower courts. It follows a mix of civil and common law traditions. In contrast, the UK has a more unified system with the Supreme Court being the highest authority. The UK primarily follows common law principles.

One key difference is the role of judges. In India, judges are involved in both interpreting the law and resolving disputes. In the UK, judges mainly focus on interpreting the law, while a separate set of professionals, known as solicitors and barristers, handle dispute resolution. The maintenance of the rule of law, providing justice, and protecting of individual rights are under

---

<sup>1</sup> Author is a student at Law College Dehradun, Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, India.

<sup>2</sup> Author is an Assistant Professor at Law College Dehradun, Uttarakhand University, Dehradun, India.

the view of the judicial systems of nations, which are essential elements of their legal frameworks. India and the United Kingdom (UK) have important examples of these systems, each of which is established in a rich historical and cultural context. These systems have developed over many years, reflecting the national society norms, political systems, and legal traditions. In order to offer light on the origins, structures, functions, and roles that the judicial systems in India and the UK play within the larger legal frameworks, this comparative research intends to give an informed investigation of those systems.

## **II. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT OF STUDY**

Understanding the importance and objectives of conducting a comparative study of the judicial systems in India and the UK requires a grasp of the historical and contextual backdrop. Here, we present an overview of the historical and contextual factors pertinent to this research:

India and the UK are linked through their historical ties, primarily stemming from India's colonial past under British rule. This colonial legacy wielded a substantial influence on the legal and judicial frameworks in India. Hence, an examination of the judicial systems in both nations offers valuable insights into the repercussions of colonialism on legal infrastructures.

India and the UK possess distinct legal systems. India operates under a mixed legal system, blending elements of English common law with indigenous legal traditions, whereas the UK adheres to the common law system. This rich divergence in legal origins adds an element of intrigue to the comparative analysis.

Despite their differences, both countries share a legal heritage rooted in English law. This common foundation prompts a compelling exploration of how they have navigated and evolved within this shared legal lineage over time.

In 1950, India adopted a written democratic constitution, whereas the UK relies on an unwritten constitution. The comparative examination of the constitutional frameworks governing their respective judiciaries aids in the assessment of the roles played by written and unwritten constitutional principles.

The concept of judicial independence stands as a fundamental cornerstone in any democratic system. Scrutinizing the procedures for appointing judges, their tenures, and the mechanisms for their removal in both India and the UK offers valuable insights into the degree of judicial independence maintained within each jurisdiction.

The judicial systems in India and the United Kingdom have some similarities and differences. In India, the judicial system is a complex structure that includes the Supreme Court, High

Courts, and lower courts. It follows a mix of civil and common law traditions. In contrast, the UK has a more unified system with the Supreme Court being the highest authority. The UK primarily follows common law principles.

One key difference is the role of judges. In India, judges are involved in both interpreting the law and resolving disputes. In the UK, judges mainly focus on interpreting the law, while a separate set of professionals, known as solicitors and barristers, handle dispute resolution. The maintenance of the rule of law, providing justice, and protecting of individual rights are under the view of the judicial systems of nations, which are essential elements of their legal frameworks. India and the United Kingdom (UK) have important examples of these systems, each of which is established in a rich historical and cultural context. These systems have developed over many years, reflecting the national society norms, political systems, and legal traditions. In order to offer light on the origins, structures, functions, and roles that the judicial systems in India and the UK play within the larger legal frameworks, this comparative research intends to give an informed investigation of those systems. One key difference is the role of judges. In India, judges are involved in both interpreting the law and resolving disputes. In the UK, judges mainly focus on interpreting the law, while a separate set of professionals, known as solicitors and barristers, handle dispute resolution. The maintenance of the rule of law, providing justice, and protecting of individual rights are under the view of the judicial systems of nations, which are essential elements of their legal frameworks. India and the United Kingdom (UK) have important examples of these systems, each of which is established in a rich historical and cultural context. These systems have developed over many years, reflecting the national society norms, political systems, and legal traditions. In order to offer light on the origins, structures, functions, and roles that the judicial systems in India and the UK play within the larger legal frameworks, this comparative research intends to give an informed investigation of those systems

#### **(A) Statement of Problem**

The statement of problem of this research paper is due to inefficiency and delays in the administration of justice, the Indian legal system is faced with major difficulties. Despite several initiatives and changes, the number of cases pending results in lengthy wait times for litigants and a decline in public confidence in the judicial system. The lack of judges, out-of-date procedural laws, and overworked court infrastructure all contribute to this issue. It is essential to address these concerns if India is to provide timely and efficient justice for all of its residents.

### **(B) Research Objectives**

The objective of this study is to conduct a thorough comparison examination of the judicial systems of India and the United Kingdom in order to pinpoint their significant parallels and differences as well as their respective advantages and disadvantages. Through this analysis, the paper aims to advance knowledge of how these judicial systems operate and the consequences for the delivery of justice in various cultural and legal situations.

By explaining the important elements i will be researching, such as parallels, contrasts, strengths, flaws, and societal implications, your research aim establishes the context for your study. It also emphasizes the more general objective of advancing legal and legal system understanding.

### **(C) Research Questions**

1. What are the main parallels and differences between the Indian and British judicial systems in terms of their organization, operation, and efficacy, and how do these differences affect the public's view of the judicial systems, access to justice, and legal outcomes?
2. How do historical, cultural, and political variables affect the growth and functioning of the judicial systems in India and the UK, and how do they affect each country's legal systems' independence from one another and the development of legal principles?

As the basis for your comparative study, these research questions can help you explore further into the complexity and subtleties of the legal systems in both nations.

## **III. JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE AND HIERARCHY OF THE COURT**

### **(A) Hierarchy of the court in India**

The Indian judicial system follows a structured hierarchy consisting of different tiers of courts, each with specific functions and jurisdiction. This hierarchy can be categorized into three main divisions: the Supreme Court, the High Courts, and the Subordinate Courts.

#### **a. Supreme Court of India:**

The Supreme Court stands as the highest legal authority in India and serves as the apex court of the nation, with original, appellate, and advisory functions.

The Supreme Court of India holds the highest judicial authority in the nation and plays a pivotal role in safeguarding the Indian Constitution and ensuring the delivery of justice. Its historical journey represents a narrative of evolution and transformation, mirroring the shifts in the legal

landscape and the court's growing significance.

### **b. Post-Independence Period:**

After gaining independence from British rule on August 15, 1947, India embarked on a journey of nation-building that was marked by both challenges and achievements. The post-independence period witnessed the formulation of a democratic and secular republic, guided by a visionary constitution that came into effect on January 26, 1950.

The first few decades were characterized by efforts to consolidate the diverse nation, overcome socio-economic disparities, and establish a strong democratic framework. India faced challenges such as the integration of princely states, economic development, and addressing social inequalities. The Nehruvian era, led by Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru, emphasized industrialization, scientific progress, and a commitment to non-alignment in international affairs.

Subsequent decades saw political shifts, economic reforms, and social changes. The Green Revolution in agriculture, economic liberalization in the 1990s, and advancements in technology contributed to India's growth. However, challenges like poverty, corruption, and regional disparities persisted.

In the 21st century, India emerged as a global player with a growing economy, technological advancements, and increased diplomatic influence. The country continued to grapple with issues like communal tensions, environmental concerns, and the need for inclusive development.

The British East India Company's entry into India for trade gradually evolved into political control and administration. Key events such as the Indian Rebellion of 1857, also known as the First War of Independence, marked an early challenge to British rule, leading to the formal transfer of power from the East India Company to the British Crown in 1858.

Throughout the pre-independence period, India witnessed various social and political movements aimed at achieving independence and self-governance. Prominent leaders like Mahatma Gandhi, Jawaharlal Nehru, Subhas Chandra Bose, and many others played pivotal roles in these movements.

The demand for independence gained momentum through non-violent civil disobedience campaigns, mass protests, and participation in World War I and II, leading to increased pressure on the British to grant independence. Ultimately, in 1947, India achieved its long-cherished goal of independence and the partition of the country into India and Pakistan, marking the end of the

pre-independence period and the beginning of a new chapter in Indian history.

### **(B) Establishment of the Supreme Court in India:**

India's independence in 1947, the Constitution of India was adopted in 1950. On January 26, 1950, the same day that the Indian Constitution came into effect, the Supreme Court was formally instituted. Dr Rajendra Prasad, who later became India's first President, served as the provisional Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.

**Explain the concept of a court hierarchy, detailing the various levels of courts.** The concept of a court hierarchy forms a fundamental component of the legal systems in many nations, including India, the United States, and the United Kingdom. It entails an organized structure of courts arranged in a graded or hierarchical fashion, where each level possesses specific functions, jurisdictions, and authorities. This hierarchical arrangement is implemented to ensure the effective and impartial dispensation of justice

#### **a. Supreme Court of India:**

At the apex of India's judicial system lies the Supreme Court. It stands as the highest judicial body in the nation and assumes a central role in the interpretation and safeguarding of the Indian Constitution. Located in New Delhi, the Supreme Court comprises the Chief Justice of India and a fixed number of judges. It exercises original, appellate, and advisory authorities.

**Original Jurisdiction:** The Supreme Court possesses the authority to directly entertain cases, primarily those concerning disputes between the central government and one or more states or disputes among different states in India. These cases are regulated by Article 131 of the Indian Constitution.

**Appellate Jurisdiction:** Serving as the ultimate court of appeal, the Supreme Court hears cases from the High Courts and grants special permission for appeals from lower courts or tribunals. It holds the ultimate authority in interpreting and upholding the Indian Constitution.

**Advisory Jurisdiction:** The President of India can seek the counsel of the court on matters of public significance or legal inquiries.

#### **b. High Courts:**

Each state in India (as well as certain union territories) possesses its own High Court, thereby constituting the highest judicial authority within that specific region. High Courts maintain both original and appellate jurisdictions.

**Original Jurisdiction:** High Courts adjudicate cases that originate within their respective states, particularly those pertaining to constitutional issues, revenue affairs, and administrative

disputes.

**Appellate Jurisdiction:** High Courts function as appellate bodies for cases originating in subordinate courts, including district courts. They hold the power to hear appeals in both civil and criminal matters and can issue writs to enforce fundamental rights.

**Subordinate Courts:** Situated below the High Courts, the court hierarchy encompasses subordinate courts, primarily responsible for the day-to-day legal proceedings within their territorial jurisdiction. These courts can be further categorized into three tiers:

**District Courts:** At the district level, distinct courts handle civil and criminal matters. Civil courts preside over cases such as property disputes, contract disputes, and family matters. Criminal courts manage cases of criminal nature, with sessions courts addressing more severe offenses and magistrate courts handling less serious ones.

**Tribunals:** India features a variety of specialized tribunals designed to address specific categories of cases and disputes. Notable examples include the National Green Tribunal, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, and the Central Administrative Tribunal, among others.

**Subordinate Judges/Magistrates:** Below the district level, numerous judicial officers, including magistrates, and civil judges, preside over minor civil and criminal cases. **Special Courts:**

In addition to the primary court hierarchy, India maintains a range of special courts and tribunals established to address specific legal domains, such as family courts, consumer courts, labour courts, as well as courts designated for cases related to corruption, terrorism, and economic offenses. These specialized courts serve distinct areas of law and justice.

## IV. LANDMARK CASES

### 1. Ak Gopalan v. State of Madras

The Supreme Court carefully reviewed the arguments presented by both parties and concluded that there is no correlation between Article 21 and 19 of the constitution. Furthermore, the court determined that the principles of natural justice were not infringed upon in this instance. Consequently, Mr. Gopalan's writ petition was ultimately dismissed.

The A K Gopalan and the State of Madras case holds a significant place in the annals of Indian legal history. It stands as a crucial precedent where the highest court in India interpreted the provisions of the Indian constitution. This case not only set the standard for how Indian courts would interpret and apply the constitution's provisions in future cases but also marked one of the early instances in which the principles of natural justice were invoked in India. Moreover, this case carries importance in establishing the principle that the Indian constitution is a dynamic



and adaptable document, open to interpretation in light of changing times and circumstances.

## **2. Minerva Mills vs Union of India**

Minerva Mills, a textile mill located in the vicinity of Bengaluru, experienced a significant decline in production during the 1970s. In response to this situation, the Central Government formed a committee in accordance with Section 15 of the Industries Development Act of 1951. The committee completed its investigations and submitted its findings to the federal government in October 1971. Following these developments, the Central Government granted permission to the National Textile Corporation Limited, which was established under the Industries Development Act of 1951, to assume control over the administration of Minerva Mills.

The Supreme Court, in its ruling, affirmed that Parliament possesses the authority to amend the Constitution without undermining its fundamental structural framework. It established that fundamental rights could be modified by Parliament, provided such modifications align with the foundational structural principles. Additionally, the section that imposed limitations on Judicial Review was invalidated by the Court.

In response to this significant decision, the 42nd Amendment Act of 1976 was enacted. This amendment asserted that any or all Directive Principles of State Policy would take precedence over the Fundamental Rights enshrined in Articles 14 and 19 of the Constitution.

## **3. Maneka Gandhi vs Union of India**

Maneka Gandhi's passport, issued on June 1, 1976, in accordance with the Passport Act of 1967, faced an order from the Regional Passport Office (New Delhi) on July 2, 1977, demanding her to surrender it. Notably, the petitioner was not provided any explanation for this arbitrary and unilateral decision by the External Affairs Ministry, citing public interest.

In response, the petitioner turned to the Supreme Court, invoking its writ jurisdiction and arguing that the State's action of impounding her passport directly infringed upon her Right to Personal Liberty as guaranteed under Article 21 of the Indian Constitution.

This profoundly significant verdict, delivered on January 25, 1978, marked a pivotal moment in the landscape of the Indian Constitution. It significantly broadened the scope of Article 21 and contributed to the realization of the objective of making India a welfare state, as articulated in the Preamble. This unanimous judgment was delivered by a bench comprising seven judges. The court determined that Section 10(3)(c) of the Passport Act of 1967 was null and void because it contravened Article 14 of the Indian Constitution. It did so by conferring vague and undefined powers upon the passport authority, failing to provide an opportunity for the

aggrieved party to be heard. The court also found it in violation of Article 21 since it did not adhere to the term "procedure" as stated in the clause, and the prevailing procedure was deemed highly inadequate. However, the court refrained from issuing a formal ruling on the matter, and the passport was to remain with the authorities until they saw fit.

#### **4. Sri Sankari Prasad Singh Deo vs Union of India**

In the Shankari Prasad Case, the court established that the term "law" generally encompasses constitutional law; however, it distinguished between ordinary laws formed through legislative power and constitutional laws established through constituent power. Regarding Article 13, the term "law" is interpreted as rules or regulations created through regular legislative authority, excluding amendments to the Constitution made through constituent power. Consequently, Article 13(2) does not pertain to amendments made under Article 368.

Furthermore, in the same case, the court ruled that Articles 31A and 31B, introduced by the Constitution (First Amendment) Act of 1951, do not curtail the authority of the High Court under Article 226 to issue writs for upholding rights in Part III of the Constitution. These articles also do not restrict the Supreme Court's jurisdiction under Articles 132 and 136 to hear appeals related to the issuance or denial of such writs. Rather, they simply exclude specific cases from the purview of Part III, and therefore, Articles 31A and 31B do not necessitate ratification under the proviso to Article 368(b).

The court, in *Shankari Prasad vs Union of India*, determined that Articles 31A and 31B are not invalid because they pertain to land matters falling under the State List (Item 18 of List II). These articles are essentially constitutional amendments, and only Parliament has the authority to enact them.

## **V. TRANSFORMATION OF THE INDIAN LEGAL SYSTEM IN THE 21ST CENTURY**

### **(A) Globalisation in judicial system**

In the 21st century, globalization has significantly influenced the judicial system in India, bringing about notable changes in various aspects. The Indian judiciary, traditionally rooted in its own legal principles and practices, has undergone transformation in response to the interconnected global landscape. One of the key impacts of globalization on the Indian judicial system is the increased internationalization of legal norms and principles. The judiciary is now more inclined to consider global legal standards and precedents while interpreting domestic laws. This trend has been particularly evident in cases involving human rights, environmental issues, and economic matters, where the courts often refer to international conventions and

treaties.

Globalization has also facilitated greater cross-border collaboration in legal matters. India actively participates in international forums and engages in legal cooperation with other nations. The judiciary plays a crucial role in addressing transnational issues such as extradition, cross-border crimes, and disputes involving multinational corporations. This has led to the development of a more cosmopolitan and globally aware approach in the Indian legal system.

The influence of international jurisprudence is reflected in the way Indian courts interpret and protect fundamental rights. Concepts like privacy, which have gained global significance, are now interpreted in the Indian context considering international perspectives. The judiciary has become more open to adopting progressive interpretations that align with evolving global norms, ensuring that the legal framework remains relevant and adaptable.

Furthermore, globalization has accelerated the adoption of technology in the Indian judicial system. Courts are increasingly utilizing digital platforms for case management, e-filing, and virtual hearings. This not only enhances efficiency but also fosters transparency and accessibility, making the legal process more inclusive for litigants and stakeholders.

The economic aspects of globalization have also impacted the Indian judiciary. With the rise in international trade and investment, the judiciary frequently deals with complex commercial disputes involving multinational entities. Specialized commercial courts and alternative dispute resolution mechanisms have been established to address these challenges, promoting a more business-friendly environment.

However, the impact of globalization on the Indian judicial system is not without challenges. The need to balance global standards with socio-economic and cultural realities poses a constant dilemma. Additionally, issues related to jurisdiction, enforcement of foreign judgments, and conflicts of laws require nuanced solutions to harmonize the global and local dimensions of justice.

#### **a. Lok Adalat**

In the 21st century, the concept of Lok Adalats continues to play a significant role in India's judicial system, offering an alternative and accessible avenue for dispute resolution. Lok Adalats, or people's courts, embody a unique approach to justice that aligns with the evolving needs of contemporary society.

Lok Adalats operate under the Legal Services Authorities Act, aiming to provide speedy and cost-effective resolution of disputes. These decentralized forums promote the principles of

conciliation and compromise, fostering an environment where parties involved actively participate in the resolution process.

One of the noteworthy aspects of Lok Adalats in the 21st century is their adaptability to modern technology. The integration of online platforms and digital tools facilitates remote hearings, making the dispute resolution process more convenient and efficient. This technological transformation enhances access to justice, especially for individuals in remote areas.

Furthermore, Lok Adalats contribute to the reduction of the backlog of cases in traditional courts. By emphasizing conciliation and settlement, these forums alleviate the burden on the formal judicial system, promoting a more expeditious resolution of disputes.

In the context of contemporary legal dynamics, Lok Adalats continue to uphold the principles of fairness, impartiality, and accessibility. They serve as a crucial component of India's multifaceted judicial landscape, addressing the evolving needs of a diverse and dynamic society in the 21st century.

### **b. Alternative Dispute Resolution**

In the 21<sup>st</sup> century, Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) has emerged as a transformative concept in the judicial system of India. ADR encompasses various mechanisms like mediation, arbitration, and negotiation, providing an alternative to the traditional adversarial court process. This approach has gained prominence due to its potential to enhance efficiency, reduce backlog, and promote access to justice.

One of the key elements defining ADR's role in the Indian judicial landscape is its adaptability to diverse disputes. ADR methods are particularly effective in resolving commercial disputes, family matters, and even community conflicts. Mediation, for instance, allows parties to actively participate in crafting their own solutions, fostering a sense of empowerment and satisfaction.

The Indian legal system has witnessed significant legislative support for ADR. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, was a watershed moment, providing a robust framework for arbitration. Subsequent amendments aimed at expediting the arbitration process and promoting institutional arbitration have further strengthened the ADR landscape.

The technology-driven era has seen the integration of online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms, bringing ADR into the digital realm. This has not only accelerated the resolution process but also made justice more accessible, especially in a vast and diverse country like India. The use of video conferencing for arbitration hearings and virtual mediation sessions has

become a norm, overcoming geographical barriers.

Moreover, the judiciary has actively embraced ADR, with courts frequently referring cases to mediation or arbitration before formal litigation. This shift towards a more collaborative and consensual approach has been instrumental in easing the burden on the traditional court system. A noteworthy development is the establishment of dedicated ADR centers and institutions across the country. These centers serve as hubs for ADR proceedings, ensuring specialized expertise and procedural efficiency. The Mediation and Conciliation Project Committee (MCPC) of the Supreme Court of India has been pivotal in promoting and standardizing mediation practices.

In family law, ADR has proven invaluable, offering a less acrimonious means of resolving disputes such as divorces, child custody, and property settlements. Family courts actively encourage parties to explore mediation and negotiation, recognizing the emotional and psychological toll of prolonged litigation.

While ADR has achieved considerable success, challenges persist. Awareness about ADR methods needs to be heightened, and a cultural shift towards embracing these alternatives must occur. Additionally, ensuring the enforcement of arbitral awards and mediated settlements remains an ongoing concern. The concept of Alternative Dispute Resolution has evolved into a cornerstone of the 21st-century judicial system in India. Its growth reflects a commitment to efficiency, accessibility, and a more client-centric approach to dispute resolution, transforming the legal landscape and fostering a culture of collaboration and conciliation.

### **c. The Public Interest Litigation**

This concept in the Indian judiciary has been a transformative force, providing a unique avenue for individuals and non-governmental organizations to seek judicial intervention on behalf of the public interest. Introduced in the 1980s, PIL represents a departure from traditional legal proceedings by allowing concerned citizens, activists, or social organizations to directly approach the courts on matters of public importance.

One of the defining features of PIL is that it enables any person, even if not directly affected, to file a petition in the interest of the public. This mechanism has been instrumental in addressing a wide range of issues, including environmental concerns, human rights violations, corruption, and issues affecting marginalized communities. PIL essentially opens the doors of the judiciary to those who may not have personal standing in a case but are motivated by a genuine concern for the greater good.

The concept of *locus standi*, which traditionally required a petitioner to demonstrate personal

interest or injury, is relaxed in PIL cases. This relaxation allows the courts to consider issues that may not find representation through conventional litigation. PIL has been particularly effective in cases where vulnerable or marginalized groups lack the means or access to justice, enabling the judiciary to act as a guardian of constitutional values.

PIL cases are often characterized by judicial activism, with the courts taking suo motu cognizance of matters that come to their attention through media reports or other sources. This proactive stance allows the judiciary to address urgent issues promptly, contributing to the responsive nature of the Indian legal system.

However, the PIL concept has not been without criticisms and challenges. Some argue that it has been misused for personal vendettas or to settle political scores. The judiciary, at times, faces the dilemma of striking a balance between addressing genuine public concerns and preventing the misuse of PIL for frivolous or malicious purposes.

Despite these challenges, the PIL concept has undeniably played a crucial role in expanding access to justice and promoting the ideals of a democratic society. It has empowered citizens to actively participate in the judicial process, reinforcing the idea that the judiciary is not just a forum for dispute resolution but also a protector of public rights and liberties. As the legal landscape evolves, the PIL concept remains a dynamic and evolving aspect of the Indian judiciary, continually shaping the contours of public interest litigation

## VI. SUGGESTION AND CONCLUSION

### (A) Suggestion

**Increase Judicial Capacity:** The Indian government should expedite the appointment of more judges to reduce the backlog of cases. Additionally, investing in training and development programs for judges can enhance their efficiency and effectiveness.

**Digitize Court Records:** Implementing comprehensive e-filing and case management systems can streamline the judicial process, reduce paperwork, and improve transparency. This will enable quicker access to information and reduce administrative burdens.

**Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR):** Promote ADR mechanisms, such as mediation and arbitration, to resolve disputes outside of the court system. This can alleviate the burden on courts and provide faster, cost-effective solutions for litigants.

**Legal Reforms:** Continuously review and update outdated laws and procedures to simplify legal processes and eliminate ambiguities. This will improve the clarity of the law and reduce unnecessary litigation.

**Promote Legal Awareness:** Invest in legal education and awareness campaigns to empower citizens with a better understanding of their legal rights and responsibilities. This can help reduce frivolous litigation and promote a culture of compliance.

### **(B) Conclusion**

In summary, the comparative analysis of India and the United Kingdom's judicial systems highlights a combination of shared issues and unique attributes. India's legal system grapples with challenges pertaining to efficiency and the clearance of case backlogs, necessitating an increase in judicial capacity and the adoption of modern technologies. Moreover, the importance of legal awareness initiatives and the continuous evolution of legal frameworks cannot be overstated in improving the accessibility and effectiveness of justice delivery. Conversely, the United Kingdom faces hurdles related to ensuring equitable access to justice, given the financial constraints on legal representation and reduced legal aid funding, which disproportionately affect marginalized communities. Simplifying legal procedures, encouraging mediation, embracing technological innovations, and actively promoting diversity within the judiciary are essential steps for the UK to ensure justice is accessible to all its citizens.

Despite these disparities, both nations share a fundamental commitment to upholding principles of justice, fairness, and the rule of law. It is evident that ongoing reforms and investments in legal infrastructure are pivotal in addressing the challenges confronting both systems. By leveraging lessons from each other's experiences and adopting best practices, India and the UK can collaborate towards cultivating efficient, accessible, and inclusive judicial systems that safeguard the rights and aspirations of their citizens in the 21st century.

\*\*\*\*\*