

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

---

Volume 8 | Issue 4

---

2025

© 2025 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlmh.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/>)

---

This article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact [support@vidhiaagaz.com](mailto:support@vidhiaagaz.com).

---

**To submit your Manuscript** for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to [submission@ijlmh.com](mailto:submission@ijlmh.com).

---

# Sui Generis Systems and Farmers' Rights: The PPV&FR Act as India's Legislative Response to Agricultural IP Challenges

---

SOURABH BATAR<sup>1</sup> AND PROF. (DR.) HARBANSH DIXIT<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

*This review delves into the complex relationship between farmers' rights and intellectual property rights within the Indian agricultural landscape, examining the historical context, legal frameworks, and socio-economic implications of their interaction. It scrutinizes the impact of the Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights agreement (TRIPS) on the Indian agricultural sector, mainly with reference to the increasing use of IPRs in agriculture and its effects on trade dynamics. The paper navigates the debates immediate the conservatory of intellectual property monopolies to agriculture and the potential compromise of farmers' rights in the face of powerful agro-based corporations. The psychoanalysis incorporates the perspective of various stakeholders, including farmers, plant breeders, and the biotechnology industry, to make available a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities in balancing intellectual property protection with the need to promote agricultural innovation and ensure food security.*

**Keywords:** Farmers' Rights, Intellectual Property Rights, Plant Variety Protection, India, Agriculture, TRIPS Agreement

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Intellectual property rights, surrounding inventions, software, publications, and plant varieties, correspond to the tangible manifestation of intellectual endeavors, warranting protection and recognition ([Sridhar, 2016](#)). The conversation neighboring intellectual property rights in agriculture is multifaceted, particularly in a nation like India, where agriculture forms the substratum of the economy and the livelihood of a substantial quantity of the population. TRIPS have considerably prejudiced the landscape, foremost to reinforcement system across nations. This has led to augmented application of IPR in agriculture over the last few decades ([Campi & Dueñas, 2015](#)). However, the implementation of these rights in the agricultural sector has sparked considerable debate, particularly concerning its implications for farmers'

---

<sup>1</sup> Author is a Research Scholar at Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India.

<sup>2</sup> Author is a Professor & Dean at Teerthanker Mahaveer College of Law and Legal Studies, Teerthanker Mahaveer University, India.

rights, biodiversity, and food security.

“Farmers' rights, in this context, pass on to a set of entitlements intended at conservation the interests of farmers, including the right to save, use, exchange, and sell farm-saved seeds, as well as the right to reasonable benefit sharing arising from the use of plant genetic resources. The crossroads of intellectual property rights and farmers' rights present a complex challenge; require a insubstantial balance between incentivizing innovation and protecting the livelihoods and traditional practices of farming communities.”

## **II. FARMERS' RIGHTS: AN OVERVIEW**

Farmers' Rights have emerged as a critical counterbalance to the expansion of intellectual property rights in agriculture. These rights encompass several key entitlements: safeguarding traditional knowledge, ensuring participation in decisions concerning plant genetic resources, and securing fair benefits when plant varieties developed using farmers' knowledge or resources are commercialized.

In India, PPV&FRA, enacted in 2001, provides the legal foundation for upholding these rights. This legislation explicitly acknowledges and protects the vital contributions of both plant breeders and farmers in developing new plant varieties.

## **III. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS IN AGRICULTURE**

### **Plant Variety Protection**

Plant Variety Protection is a unambiguous shape of intellectual property right determined to plant breeders for new, distinct, uniform, and steady plant varieties ([Mathur & Musyuni, 2018](#)). This protection grants the breeder restricted rights to produce, sell, and license the variety for a particular period, incentivizing speculation in plant breeding and innovation ([Zaman, 2020](#)). The conclusion of plant variety protection has been a focus of debate in India, with apprehension raised with reference to its budding impact on farmers' access to seeds and the protection of agro-biodiversity. IP protection is by and large considered requisite for reproduction programs that are not in public funded ([Smulders et al., 2021](#)).

### **Patents and Their Impact on Agriculture**

Patents correspond to another frightening form of intellectual property right that can be granted for inventions, particularly those related to agricultural biotechnology. The production of patents on genes, genetic engineering techniques, and genetically modified crops has goaded widespread and deeply well-established ethical and socio-economic concerns. This is primarily due to their direct impact on evenhanded access to crucial agricultural technologies

and their propensity to foster significant monopolization within the vital seed market, thereby preventive farmer choice and increasing input costs. Furthermore, the contentious extension of patent rights to living organisms and primary biological processes has drawn strict criticism, as it risks the wholesale privatization of indispensable genetic resources and directly threatens the erosion of very useful traditional farming practices, primarily undermining farmers' inherent rights to save, use, exchange, and sell farm-saved seeds.

#### **IV. THE INDIAN CONTEXT: LEGISLATION AND POLICY**

##### **The Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act, 2001**

India's Protection of Plant Varieties and Farmers' Rights Act (PPV&FRA) marks a pioneering initiative to reconcile the rights of plant breeders with those of farmers. This legislation formally acknowledges the significant contributions of both scientific breeders and farming communities to the development of novel plant varieties. As Rao (2006) explains, the Act establishes a registration system for new and existing plant varieties, conferring breeders with exclusive rights to produce, market, and distribute protected varieties. Simultaneously, the PPV&FRA explicitly recognizes the crucial role farmers play in conserving genetic diversity and their essential input into plant breeding processes (Kumar, 2012). Crucially, the Act guarantees farmers the right to save, use, sow, resow, exchange, share, or sell their farm-saved seed, though it prohibits the branded sale of protected varieties. This specific limitation aims to protect traditional agricultural practices and farmer livelihoods. Furthermore, the legislation incorporates benefit-sharing mechanisms designed to ensure farmers receive equitable compensation when their traditional knowledge or genetic resources contribute to the development and commercialization of new plant varieties.

##### **Other Relevant Legislation**

The Biological Diversity Act, 2002, is a supplementary key section of legislation that collision farmers' rights and IPR in India. This Act aims to guard India's biodiversity, regularize access to biological resources, and make sure unbiased sharing of benefits arising from their use.

#### **V. IMPACT OF IPR ON FARMERS IN INDIA**

##### **Seed Availability and Affordability**

The completion of IPR, predominantly plant variety protection and patents, can have important implications for seed availability and affordability for farmers in India. The nuisance of intellectual property rights can foster monopolization within the seed market, as a result precautionary farmer choice and considerably increasing input costs, which is especially

onerous for farmers in charge on a small scale.

### **Traditional Knowledge and IPR**

The boundary between traditional knowledge and IPR is a dangerous issue in the Indian context. India approved in principle the case for strict IPR protection, but, this could be done only in phases suitable by its own ground reality ([Rangarajan et al., 2007](#)). Traditional knowledge, residential and passed down through generations of farmers, often forms the foundation for new plant varieties and agricultural innovations. Intellectual Property Rights if not carefully manage, can lead to the embezzlement of traditional knowledge, where private entities get hold of patents or plant variety protection on innovations consequent from traditional knowledge without sufficiently compensating or recognizing the contributions of the knowledge holders.

## **VI. CHALLENGES AND ISSUES**

### **Balancing Farmers' Rights and IPR**

Striking a pleasant-sounding balance between conservation farmers' rights and preservation intellectual property rights comprise an alarming challenge in the Indian agricultural countryside. The middle issue revolves around ensuring that IPR incentivize innovation and investment in agriculture without discouragement the rights and livelihoods of farmers, predominantly smallholder farmers who make up the backbone of Indian agriculture. The confront lies in creating an IPR regime that foster innovation while at the same time defensive farmers' traditional practices, knowledge, and access to essential agricultural inputs like seeds.

### **Enforcement and Awareness**

Effective enforcement of IPR and farmers' rights is critical for ensure that the planned reimbursement of the legal structure reach the stakeholders. However, in India, enforcement mechanisms are often weak, and consciousness about IPR and farmers' rights is limited, particularly among rural communities. This can lead to instance of IPR contravention, embezzlement of traditional knowledge, and utilization of farmers.

### **International Agreements and Their Implications**

International agreements, TRIPS, have a important collision on the Indian IPR regime and, as a result, on farmers' rights ([Payumo et al., 2012](#)). The country was confident to incarcerate a significant proportion of the world's generic market in the pharmaceutical sector after the completion of the agreement ([Mahajan, 2011](#)). While these agreements aim to complement IPR standards across countries, they can also pose challenge for developing countries like

India, which may have dissimilar priorities and developmental needs.

## VII. CONCLUSION

The relationship between farmers' rights and intellectual property rights in India is a complex and developing issue with significant implications for agricultural innovation, food security, and the livelihoods of millions of farmers. The method the government has dealt with the WTO's Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights highlights how India is strategically using it for the benefit of its nation and industry ([Basheer, 2018](#)). The need of the hour is a holistic and well-calibrated approach that balances the need to incentivize innovation with the imperative to protect the rights and interests of farmers, especially smallholder farmers.

Moving forward, policymakers, researchers, and civil society organizations must work together to promote awareness about IPR and farmers' rights, strengthen enforcement mechanisms, and ensure that the benefits of agricultural innovation are shared equitably among all stakeholders. Further, there is also evidence that developed-country technology is sufficiently appropriate for developing countries as to offer substantial free-rider gains ([Perrin, 1999](#)). Moreover, in order to promote the establishment of relevant rules and guidelines for the protection of IPR, it is important to analyze the influence of the convergence–divergence debate on IPR and access to resources in India's shrimp aquaculture sector ([Ramanna-Pathak, 2015](#)).

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIII. REFERENCES**

- Anjali, Lukose, L. P., Singh, A. P., Gautam, U., & Tewari, D. B. (2021). MATERNITY BENEFIT (AMENDMENT) ACT, 2017: A Socio-Legal Study with Special Emphasis on its Implications in Private Sector.
- Basheer, S. (2018). Trumping TRIPS: Indian patent proficiency and the evolution of an evergreening enigma. *Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal*, 18(1), 16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14729342.2018.1455479>
- Campi, M., & Dueñas, M. (2015). Intellectual Property Rights and International Trade of Agricultural Products. *World Development*, 80, 1. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2015.11.014>
- Chapman, S., & Sherman, B. (2018). Finding a Place for Agriculture in Intellectual Property Law. *Deleted Journal*, 49(7), 759. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40319-018-0753-8>
- Ebert, A. W., Engels, J., Schafleitner, R., Hintum, T. J. L. van, & Mwila, G. (2023). Critical Review of the Increasing Complexity of Access and Benefit-Sharing Policies of Genetic Resources for Genebank Curators and Plant Breeders—A Public and Private Sector Perspective [Review of Critical Review of the Increasing Complexity of Access and Benefit-Sharing Policies of Genetic Resources for Genebank Curators and Plant Breeders—A Public and Private Sector Perspective]. *Plants*, 12(16), 2992. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12162992>
- Kumar, N. V. (2012). Protection of Traditional Knowledge: International and National Initiatives and Possible Ways Ahead. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2012724>
- Mahajan, P. (2011). Advent of Intellectual Property Rights in the Pharmaceutical Industry. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1840627>
- Mathur, V. K., & Musyuni, P. (2018). PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION LEGISLATION: OVERVIEW OF AN INDIAN AND AFRICAN PERSPECTIVE. *International Journal of Drug Regulatory Affairs*, 2(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.22270/ijdra.v2i1.120>
- Musa, M. K., Gadas, Z. A. A., & Radzi, M. S. N. M. (2015). The Plant Variety Protection Law in Malaysia: Issues on Protection of Farmers' Rights. *Journal of Management Research*, 7(2), 375. <https://doi.org/10.5296/jmr.v7i2.6941>

- Payumo, J., Grimes, H. D., & Wandschneider, P. R. (2012). Status of national intellectual property rights (IPRs) systems and its impact to agricultural development: a time series cross section data analysis of TRIPS member-countries. *International Journal of Intellectual Property Management*, 5(1), 82. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijipm.2012.045851>
- Perrin, R. K. (1999). Intellectual property rights and developing country agriculture. *Agricultural Economics*, 21(3), 221. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.1999.tb00596.x>
- Prasanna, P. A. L., Rao, L. V. S., Prasad, A., Waris, A., Meera, S. N., Bandumula, N., Kumar, S. A., & Symaladevi, D. P. (2021). Impact of Intellectual property rights protection for plant varieties on rice varietal development and biodiversity in India. *Journal of Cereal Research*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.25174/2582-2675/2021/106446>
- Ramanna-Pathak, A. (2015). Intellectual Property Rights Access to Genetic Resources and Indian Shrimp Aquaculture: Evolving Policy Responses to Globalization. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*, 18, 41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jwip.12030>
- Rangarajan, K., Chaturvedi, T., Mishra, B., Kishore, K., & Prakash, S. (2007). Impact of IPR on SMEs. *Foreign Trade Review*, 42(2), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0015732515070202>
- Rao, S. S. (2006). Indigenous knowledge organization: An Indian scenario. *International Journal of Information Management*, 26(3), 224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2006.02.003>
- Simonetti, R., Archambault, É., Côté, G., & Kale, D. (2007). The Dynamics of Pharmaceutical Patenting in India: Evidence from USPTO Data. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 19(5), 625. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537320701521382>
- Singh, K. K. (2017). Intellectual Property Rights in Agricultural Biotechnology and Access to Technology: A Critical Appraisal. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN\\_ID2912935\\_code1546869.pdf?abstractid=2912935&mirid=1](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/SSRN_ID2912935_code1546869.pdf?abstractid=2912935&mirid=1)
- Smulders, M. J. M., Wiel, C. C. M. van de, & Lotz, L. A. P. (2021). The Use of Intellectual Property Systems in Plant Breeding for Ensuring Deployment of Good Agricultural Practices. *Agronomy*, 11(6), 1163. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy11061163>

- Sridhar, K. (2016). Intellectual Property Rights and Scope in Agricultural Biotechnology. *Advances in Plants & Agriculture Research*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.15406/apar.2016.03.00106>
- Zaman, K. (2020). Protection of intellectual property rights for agricultural innovations: evaluating Bangladesh's progression towards the international plant variety protection regime. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*, 16(7), 677. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpaa213>

\*\*\*\*\*