

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 7 | Issue 3

2024

© 2024 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlmh.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/>)

This article is brought to you for “free” and “open access” by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to submission@ijlmh.com.

Language, Terminology, and Cultural Appropriation in Queer Discourse

KAIF ABBAS¹ AND AKHIL VISHA²

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the complex relationships between language, terminology, and cultural appropriation in the context of queer discourse. It is impossible to overestimate the importance of language for queer groups, which reflect a wide range of identities and experiences. Language is essential for self-expression, identity formation, and community cohesiveness. However, conversations on cultural appropriation bring difficulty to the use of terminology in queer discourse because of the complex link between language, identity, and respect.

One area of focus is the power dynamics present in terminology, which illuminates how language may marginalize or empower members of the queer community. The study acknowledges language's flexibility and reactivity to the always-shifting terrain of identities and expressions as it delves further into the evolutionary nature of language in the queer setting.

The investigation of the effects of cultural appropriation on the queer community is at the heart of the analysis. The goal of the article is to examine the effects of co-opting or misrepresenting queer culture on identity development, community cohesiveness, and the larger conversation about queer experiences.

This paper aims to provide a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between language, identity, and respect in queer discourse by navigating these complexities and shedding light on the difficulties associated with cultural appropriation as well as the power dynamics present in the terminology used by queer communities.

Keywords: *Queer Discourse, Language Evolution, Terminology Dynamics, Cultural Appropriation, LGBTQ+ Identities, Power Dynamics, Community Building, Reclamation, Inclusivity, Respectful Dialogue.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Language is a potent instrument that molds our perception of the outside world and ourselves. Language and vocabulary are vital in queer discourse because they help define identities, convey experiences, and fight for rights. The labels we apply to sexual orientation and gender

¹ Author is an alumnus of Integral University, Lucknow, India.

² Author is an alumnus of Institute of Engineering and Management, Kolkata, India.

identity are more than just labels; they have histories, meanings, and ramifications that have the potential to either empower or marginalize members of the queer community.

The usage of LGBTQ+ terms and language is not without controversy, though. The adoption or borrowing of aspects of another culture without acknowledgment or respect is known as cultural appropriation, and it is a serious problem. This can happen in the context of queer discourse when mainstream culture appropriates queer vocabulary and lingo without giving credit to the communities who created it or the battles that go along with it. This may result in the monetization of queer culture and the erasure of queer experiences.

Furthermore, within the community itself, generational and cultural gaps may be brought about by language evolution and the introduction of new terms. What some consider to be a good development could be considered unneeded or even dangerous by others.

Investigating language, nomenclature, and cultural appropriation in queer discourse offers important new perspectives on the relationships between identity, power, and culture. It emphasizes how crucial courteous and knowledgeable discourse is to our continuous pursuit of equality and inclusivity.

II. EVOLUTION OF QUEER LANGUAGE

Over time, queer language has changed, reclaiming offensive terms and creating new, inclusive terminology that promotes empowerment and a sense of community. The words "queer," "non-binary," and "pansexual" have entered common usage, giving people more varied options to describe who they are. Nonetheless, there are ongoing discussions concerning the inclusion and exclusion of certain phrases, and the development of language in queer discourse is not consistent.

(A) Reclamation of Slurs

Reclaiming insults within the queer community is a potent gesture of empowerment and defiance. Certain terms have historically been used as insulting slurs against those who identify as LGBTQ+. But over time, a lot of these phrases have been taken back by the very individuals they were intended to hurt, becoming markers of pride and individuality.

For example, the word "queer" itself was formerly derogatory, stigmatizing, and marginalizing identities and behaviors that were not heteronormative. It has been taken back and is now often used to refer to all sexual and gender minorities. It's evidence of the queer community's tenacity and capacity to rewrite histories that were previously exploited against them.

Nonetheless, the restoration process is not without its difficulties. Some members of the queer

community are uncomfortable with recovered slurs because they still have negative connotations and traumatic memories attached to them. Furthermore, it is still possible for those outside of the queer community to view the usage of these names as disrespectful or derogatory. The resurgence of slurs and the development of queer jargon demonstrate how language is dynamic and can influence and mirror contemporary beliefs. It serves as a reminder that language, like our perceptions of gender and sexuality, is dynamic and always changing. It is a potent illustration of the queer community's adaptation and resiliency. It's an essential component of the way queer language has developed and represents the community's continuous fight for equality, acceptance, and acknowledgment.

(B) Invention of Inclusive Terms

An important aspect of the history of the queer community has been the development of queer language and the creation of inclusive terminology. Lesbian, gay, and bisexual activists coined the word "LGB" in the 1990s to refer to their group. Since then, the community's awareness, acceptance, and inclusion of a wider range of sexual identities and gender expressions has led to the phrase being more inclusive.

Although the word "lesbian" has been connected to same-gender relationships since the 17th century, its contemporary usage dates back to the 1890s. It appeared in several publications on psychology and sexuality as well as an English-language medical dictionary. It gained traction over time and was embraced by women who first loved other women in secret and subsequently with pride.

Austro-Hungarian writer Karoly Maria Kertbeny created the words "homosexuality" and "bisexuality" in the late 1800s. These phrases took the place of "Urning," a term used to describe males who were attracted to men by German jurist and writer Karl Heinrich Ulrichs in the 19th century.

The word "queer" has been added to the acronym more recently. Since the 1990s, more and more members of the LGBT+ rights movement have begun to use it, despite the fact that it was originally a derogatory term intended to keep individuals apart from a heteronormative society. The way queer language has developed throughout time is evidence of how the queer community has changed terms to better fit their needs.

The queer community's tenacity and flexibility are demonstrated by the creation of inclusive terminology in connection with the development of queer linguistics. It reflects how they wrote and rewrote themselves, as well as how they understood themselves and were interpreted by institutions. The vocabulary used to define the LGBTQIA+ group will probably continue to

expand and change as society continues to develop.

(C) Fluidity and Challenges in Language Evolution

The background of the history of queer language makes the flexibility and difficulties in language evolution very clear. The queer community is a living example of how language is flexible—it constantly changes terms to fit its requirements.

One of the best examples of this flexibility is the word "queer" itself. The queer community has reclaimed the phrase, which was once used disparagingly, and it is now used to describe a wide spectrum of gender identities and sexual orientations. This reclaiming serves as a potent example of how language can change and grow throughout time.

The development of queer language is not without difficulties, though. The heteronormative prejudice inherent in many languages is one of the primary obstacles. Queer people may find it challenging to communicate their identities and experiences due to this prejudice. For example, there are not many gender-neutral pronouns in many languages, which might be problematic for those who do not identify with the binary gender system.

The general public's ignorance of and lack of comprehension of queer terminology is another difficulty. This might result in misunderstandings and poor communication, as well as further marginalize the group.

The queer community persists in innovating and pushing the limits of language in spite of these obstacles. Along with promoting the usage of gender-neutral pronouns, they have coined new terminology like "cisgender" and "non-binary". These initiatives show the community's inventiveness and fortitude in the face of hardship.

III. POWER DYNAMICS IN TERMINOLOGY

The power relations around language are complicated among queer groups. Some people have reclaimed names that were originally used as insults as a way to gain power, yet when used by those outside of the group, the terms can still cause harm.

(A) Historical Context of Queer Terms

The word "queer" has a complex historical narrative; it was first used to describe oddities or eccentricity in the 16th century. By the late 1800s, it had become a byword for same-sex attracted males, and it was occasionally used disparagingly, as in Oscar Wilde's 1895 trial. During the civil rights revolutions of the 1960s and 1970s, the LGBTQ+ community, ever tenacious and adaptive, grabbed the term "queer" and turned it into a positive self-description. During this time, acronyms like LGB, which have now evolved into LGBTQIA+, were also

adopted.

The development of queer terminology is a reflection of changing social mores and a growing acceptance of a range of sexual and gender identities. The term "gay," which originally meant to be carefree, came to signify homosexuality in the 20th century. The word "homosexuality," which was first used in 1869, evolved to reflect shifts in views toward inclusion.

In the past, the queer community used queer terminology as a communication tool to navigate a heteronormative culture. It served as a means of defying social conventions and promoting a feeling of community.

The reclaiming of the term "queer" is a noteworthy development that demonstrates how language can change for the better. But this progression is not linear; it is shaped by changes in culture, legislative advances, and society views. A turning point in the homosexual rights movement, the Stonewall riots of 1969 changed the vocabulary around the queer community.

A closer look at the historical background of queer terminology sheds light on how language has changed over time, highlighting the tenacity of the queer community and its ability to redefine language in light of experience and identity. The terminology used to describe the queer group is probably going to change and expand much more as society develops.

(B) Harmful Affects Outside Community

Particularly in the context of queer discourse, the power dynamics in terminology and language use can have grave negative consequences for people outside of the group. Terminology abuse and cultural appropriation frequently have these effects.

The distortion and misunderstanding of queer identities and experiences is one of the most notable negative outcomes. A skewed perception of the meaning and representation of phrases used by members of the queer community can result from their inappropriate appropriation and misuse by non-members of the group.

For example, the word "drag" is frequently misinterpreted and distorted in the media. "Drag" is a term that comes from the gay community and describes the performance of gender, usually by people who dress differently and play a different gender role for amusement. However, "drag" is also misunderstood as merely cross-dressing or as a comical performance when adopted by individuals outside the community, which can distort both the identity of those who practice drag and its cultural importance.

Stereotyping and stigmatization are more issues that might arise from cultural appropriation in queer discourse. Without the right context or knowledge, the usage of gay vocabulary in

mainstream media can perpetuate negative preconceptions about the queer community. This might exacerbate the marginalization of queer people and strengthen the stigma and prejudice against them in society.

One instance of stigmatization and stereotyping is the improper usage of the word "transgender." Harmful stereotypes are reinforced when transgender people are portrayed in the media as dishonest or mentally ill. The transgender population may face more stigma and prejudice as a result of this word usage.

The erasing of queer identities is another detrimental impact. Misuse and appropriation of queer terminology has the potential to obscure the variety of identities and experiences that exist within the queer community. This has the potential to minimize the significance of some identities within the community and invalidate the experiences of a great number of queer people.

The word "bisexual" is frequently applied incorrectly or not at all. For instance, someone who identifies as bisexual may be called merely "confused" or "indecisive," or their identification may be disproved by remarks such as "it's just a phase." The term "bisexual" may be misused and erased, which can diminish the visibility of bisexuality within the gay community and invalidate the experiences of bisexual people.

These instances show how the inappropriate use and appropriation of queer language may have negative consequences outside of the queer community. It emphasizes how crucial it is to use these terminologies appropriately and with respect. Outside of the group, the power dynamics in terms for "Language, Terminology, and Cultural Appropriation in Queer Discourse" can have serious negative consequences. Respecting and comprehending the language used by the queer community is essential to avoiding misinterpretations, false representations, stigmatization, and the erasure of queer identities. Open communication and education may be quite helpful in reducing these negative impacts.

IV. CULTURAL APPROPRIATION IN QUEER DISCOURSE

Cultural appropriation refers to the act of embracing or obtaining components, including language, clothes, hairstyles, and symbols, from a culture other than one's own, especially when such elements are utilized outside their intended cultural context. This is frequently carried out in a way that ignores the historical, social, and cultural context in which it exists.

(A) Definition and Framework of Cultural Appropriation

Cultural appropriation in the context of queer discourse refers to the adoption of queer-

originating terminology, styles, and behaviors by non-queer people without their knowledge or context. This may result in queer identities and culture being trivialized or turned into commodities.

For example, it might be considered cultural appropriation when non-queer people use terminology like "yas queen" or "shade," which are frequently made famous by the media and entertainment. Within the queer community, these names hold significant historical and cultural importance, especially for gay people of color. When taken out of this context, they lose some of their significance and help erase queer identity and history.

Furthermore, cultural appropriation may also occur when mainstream culture adopts queer aesthetics, such as performance techniques or fashion trends. This has the potential to cause gay culture to become commodified, turning aspects of queer identity into commodities that the general public may buy or consume.

It is essential to comprehend the meaning and context of cultural appropriation in queer discourse. It assists in avoiding the exploitation or commercialization of queer culture and language by acknowledging and honoring its sources and significance. It's critical to interact with queer culture with decency and knowledge, understanding its background and context and providing credit where credit is due.

(B) Manifestations in Queer Language

The queer community has used queer language, which is rich in expressive variation, as a potent instrument to identify their identities, experiences, and social realities. But it's also where, in queer discourse, cultural appropriation takes on its visible form.

Non-queer people have frequently borrowed gay slang terms like "shade," "read," and "yas queen," frequently without realizing their cultural importance or origins. These expressions have been popularized and commodified by mainstream media, frequently losing their original context and meaning. They originated in queer communities of color, especially in the ballroom scene.

Terms associated with the queer community, such "gay," "transgender," "non-binary," etc., are frequently misinterpreted or oversimplified by outsiders. This has the potential to cause misinterpretations and distortions of queer identities, reinforcing negative stereotypes and erasing the variety of the gay community.

Mainstream society has adopted and commercialized queer aesthetics, including performance techniques, fashion, and visual language. This frequently has the effect of stripping queer

culture of its historical and social importance and reducing it to simple trends or fads.

The queer community may suffer from the trivialization of queer culture, the perpetuation of negative stereotypes, and the erasure of queer identities as a result of these instances of cultural appropriation in queer discourse. It's critical to interact with queer language in a courteous, knowledgeable manner while appreciating its cultural significance, diversity, and history. This can support a more inclusive and polite conversation while preventing cultural appropriation.

(C) Examples of Symbolic, Ritualistic, and Linguistic Appropriations

The term "symbolic appropriation" describes how one culture uses another's symbols, signs, or iconography—often without realizing what they mean. The rainbow flag's widespread use might serve as an illustration of queer discourse. Originally a representation of diversity and pride for the queer community, its widespread use by non-queer people and organizations, particularly during Pride Month, can occasionally feel more like a token of support than a sincere one.

Ritualistic appropriation is the act of assimilating another culture's customs or ceremonies. Voguing's acceptance within the framework of queer discourse is one example of this. The highly stylized modern house dance known as "voguing" got its start in the queer black and Latino populations of Harlem, New York, in the late 1980s. Ritualistic appropriation is the term used to describe how mainstream pop culture has appropriated it, frequently with little regard for its originality or its importance as a means of self-expression for the queer community.

The adoption of a language, dialect, or accent from another culture is known as linguistic appropriation. This is seen in queer discourse when non-queer people start using terminology like "shade," "read," or "yas queen." The mainstream media has popularized these names, which have particular historical and cultural value for the queer community, sometimes without recognizing or honoring their original meanings.

These examples show the different ways that cultural appropriation may appear in gay discourse and how it frequently results in the erasure or diluting of the rich history and variety of the queer community. It emphasizes how crucial it is to acknowledge the cultural significance and origins of these symbols, rituals, and language while employing them with respect and accuracy.

V. IMPLICATIONS AND CHALLENGES

Beyond linguistic issues, language, terminology, and cultural appropriation within queer discourse have repercussions. We'll look at the possible harm that might result from cultural appropriation, the difficulties in managing language relations both inside and outside of the

community, and the significance of encouraging polite conversation to resolve these problems.

(A) Potential Harm Caused by Cultural Appropriation

Cultural appropriation, defined as the adoption or borrowing of components from another culture, especially when a dominant culture appropriates something from a minority or marginalized culture, can have negative effects on a person's life. Cultural appropriation has the ability to falsify and distort queer identities and experiences in the context of "Language, Terminology, and Cultural Appropriation in Queer Discourse."

For example, the trivialization or commercialization of queer identities can result from mainstream or dominant cultures adopting queer terminology or language practices without fully appreciating their significance. Furthermore, misappropriating queer lingo and vocabularies can feed negative stereotypes and push queer people and groups farther to the margins.

It's critical to treat queer language with consideration and respect, understanding that it has its roots in the struggles and real-life experiences of queer people. It is essential to educate oneself about the cultures with whom one encounters, give credit where credit is due, and respectfully and intelligently enjoy cultural variety in order to prevent cultural appropriation. It's crucial to hear people from the cultures being portrayed and to give them more prominence. This has the potential to promote a more courteous and inclusive conversation about queer identities and experiences.

(B) Navigating Language Dynamics within and Outside the Queer Community

Because of the variety of identities and experiences that exist within the queer community, navigating language relations both inside and outside of it may be challenging. Being aware of cultural quirks is essential to this navigation. A thorough grasp of these subtleties is essential for effective cross-cultural communication, particularly in queer discourse where language is frequently closely linked to identity and experience.

Respecting people's identities is another essential component. Respecting people for who they choose to identify as is vital. For example, even though some people may identify as "gay," "queer," "bisexual," or any other term, there are plenty of people who have sex with males but do not identify with these categories.

It's also critical to avoid presumptions and preconceptions. Language may perpetuate preconceptions and prejudices, therefore it's important to refrain from using words that could stigmatize or marginalize members of the queer community. Involving community members

helps guarantee the use of terminology appropriate to the culture in study and conversation. This can contribute to language being more appropriate and considerate to the community.

It's critical to keep up with changes in vocabulary and use within the queer community since language changes over time. This is a process of ongoing learning and adjustment. Last but not least, Kimberlé Crenshaw's term "intersectionality" recognizes that some individuals experience many types of systemic discrimination. It's crucial to take into account the overlap and interaction of many social identities while discussing language dynamics.

(C) Importance of Respectful Dialogue

In any conversation, but particularly in relation to "Language, Terminology, and Cultural Appropriation in Queer Discourse," courteous discourse is crucial. We may promote empathy, respect, and understanding between various groups of people by having polite conversations.

Respectful conversation in the context of queer discourse entails recognizing and appreciating the variety of identities and experiences that exist within the queer community. It entails referring to their identities and experiences with language and terminology that is respectfully accurate representations of them. Given the potential harm that results from cultural appropriation—which may lead to the exploitation, distortion, and erasure of disadvantaged cultures—this is especially crucial.

Respectful conversation in queer discourse also entails acknowledging and opposing the power structures that frequently support cultural appropriation. This entails recognizing the ways that minority cultures may be marginalized and exploited by dominant cultures and making an effort to question and alter these processes.

In addition to the words we use, respectful conversation also involves how we listen to and interact with people. It entails being receptive to diverse viewpoints, eager to absorb knowledge from others, and dedicated to promoting an inclusive, egalitarian, and courteous discourse.

VI. CONCLUSION

Promoting inclusive queer discourse is a challenging endeavor that calls for understanding, sensitivity, and ongoing education, especially in regards to language, terminology, and cultural appropriation. These suggestions are based on the study that was conducted.:

- i. Foster an environment that is welcoming to all:* Make sure the space is welcoming to all points of view. Create agreements for civil discourse and use open-ended questions to promote candid conversation.
- ii. Stay well-prepared and informed on diverse perspectives:* Teachers have an

obligation to be well-prepared for conversations that may come from a wide range of perspectives. Recognize your authority in the classroom and resist the urge to let the discussion revolve around your point of view.

- iii. *Recognize and validate concerns, offering acknowledgment:* Promote a trusting environment by admitting that it takes bravery to initiate conversation or voice a problem.
- iv. *Encourage approaches that integrate diverse perspectives and experiences:* Encourage a variety of integrated methods and deal with gender-related concerns in contexts related to language, literature, society, and culture.
- v. *Familiarize yourself with queer linguistics:* A contemporary area of research that has gained popularity is queer linguistics. Familiarizing ourselves with the queer linguistics can help build an inclusive society.
- vi. *Question and challenge heteronormative assumptions and practices:* Rethinking prevailing discourses that influence how we see gender and sexuality—often to the prejudice of those deemed to fall short of the heteronormative ideal—should be one of the long-term objectives for promoting inclusive queer discourse.

The complex interrelationship that exists between language, terminology, and cultural appropriation in LGBT discourse emphasizes the necessity of constant awareness, sensitivity, and dialogue. A sophisticated grasp of the power dynamics inherent in language is essential to establishing inclusive spaces and promoting mutual respect as the queer community continues to grow and diversify.

VII. REFERENCES

1. Brontsema, R. (2004). "A Queer Revolution: Reconceptualizing the Debate Over Linguistic Reclamation." *Colorado Research in Linguistics*, 17(1).
2. Eliason, M. J. (1996). "Identity Formation for Lesbian, Bisexual, and Gay Persons: Beyond a 'Minoritizing' View." *Journal of Homosexuality*, 30(3), 31-58.
3. Jagose, A. (1996). *Queer Theory: An Introduction*. New York: New York University Press.
4. Lovaas, K., Jenkins, M., & Garrison, B. (2007). "Men, Masculinity, and the Media." SAGE.
5. Plummer, K. (2013). *Intimate Citizenship: Private Decisions and Public Dialogues*. University of Washington Press.
6. Warner, M. (1999). *The Trouble with Normal: Sex, Politics, and the Ethics of Queer Life*. Harvard University Press.
7. Butler, J. (1990). *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge.
8. Sedgwick, E. K. (1990). *Epistemology of the Closet*. University of California Press.
9. Halberstam, J. (2005). *In a Queer Time and Place: Transgender Bodies, Subcultural Lives*. New York University Press.
10. National Geographic. "From LGBT to LGBTQIA+: The Evolving Recognition of Identity." <https://www.nationalgeographic.com/history/article/from-lgbt-to-lgbtqia-the-evolving-recognition-of-identity>.
11. National Geographic Education. "From LGBT to LGBTQIA+: The Evolving Recognition of Identity." <https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/from-lgbt-to-lgbtqia-the-evolving-recognition-of-identity/>.
12. "A Brief History of Queer Language Before Queer Identity." <https://lithub.com/a-brief-history-of-queer-language-before-queer-identity/>.
13. Oxford Academic. "Queer Thinking about Language Learning: Current Research and Future..." <https://academic.oup.com/edited-volume/42645/chapter/358160685>.
14. Oxford Academic. "Undefined." <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190212926.013.34>.
15. "Reviled, Reclaimed and Respected: The History of the Word 'Queer'."

- <https://theconversation.com/reviled-reclaimed-and-respected-the-history-of-the-word-queer-197533>.
16. La Trobe University. "The History of the Word 'Queer', News." <https://www.latrobe.edu.au/news/articles/2023/opinion/the-history-of-the-word-queer>.
 17. Dazed Digital. "Tracing the History of the Word 'Queer'." <https://www.dazeddigital.com/artsandculture/article/32213/1/tracing-the-history-of-the-word-queer>.
 18. LGBTQ Nation. "Is Queer a Slur? Exploring the Meaning and Use of the Term." <https://www.lgbtqnation.com/2023/07/is-queer-a-slur-exploring-the-meaning-and-use-of-the-term/>.
 19. We Are HER. "LGBT vs LGBTQIA+: Tracing the Journey of Queer Acronyms." <https://weareher.com/lgbt-vs-lgbtqia/>.
 20. UNESCO. "Welcome to UNESCO's e-Platform on Intercultural Dialogue." <https://www.unesco.org/interculturaldialogue/en>.
 21. Britannica. "Cultural Appropriation | Definition, History, Meaning, & Examples." <https://www.britannica.com/topic/cultural-appropriation>.
 22. Springer. "Introduction: What Is Intercultural Dialogue and Why It Is Needed in..." https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-41517-4_1.
 23. UCL Teaching & Learning. "Inclusive Dialogue in Education: A Tutor's Guide to Facilitating..." <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/teaching-learning/publications/2023/nov/inclusive-dialogue-education-tutors-guide-facilitating-constructive-discourse>.
 24. SpringerLink. "Queering Masculinities in Language and Culture." <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1057/978-1-349-95327-1>.
 25. JSTOR. "94^ MW« Queer Linguistic Approaches." <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24441610>.
 26. OHCHR. "Effective Inclusion of LGBT Persons." <https://www.ohchr.org/en/special-procedures/ie-sexual-orientation-and-gender-identity/effective-inclusion-lgbt-persons>.
 27. GLSEN. "Respect for All: Policy Recommendations to Support LGBTQ Students." <https://www.glsen.org/activity/respect-all-policy-recommendations-support-lgbtq-students>.