Page 1024 - 1032 **DOI:** *https://doij.org/10.10000/IJLMH.119195*

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 8 | Issue 2

2025

Enhancing the Framework for International Commercial Arbitration: Through the Landscape of Institutional Arbitration

PRAJUKTA MUKHERJEE[1]

ABSTRACT

International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) in India has evolved significantly, driven by the country's growing economic presence globally. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, governs International commercial Arbitration in India, incorporating the UNCITRAL Model Law. India's ratification of the New York Convention facilitates enforcement of foreign arbitral awards. The Indian judiciary has been supportive, upholding arbitration agreements and enforcing foreign awards. ICA institutions, such as the Indian Council of Arbitration, have also been established. Despite challenges, ICA in India offers a viable dispute resolution mechanism for international commercial disputes, promoting India as a hub for international arbitration. This article will track the journey of the arbitration process with reference to the International commercial arbitration and acknowledge the role of Institutional arbitration in India.

Keywords: Arbitration, International Commercial Arbitration, Institutional Arbitration, UNCITRAL, New York Convention

I. INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

Arbitration is a dispute resolution process where a neutral third-party arbitrator makes a binding decision. It's an alternative to litigation, offering a private, flexible, and efficient way to resolve disputes. Arbitration is commonly used in commercial disputes, international trade, and construction projects. The arbitrator's decision is final and enforceable, providing a swift and cost-effective resolution to disputes. International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) refers to the process of resolving disputes arising from international commercial transactions through arbitration,[2] where the parties involved are from different countries. It involves the use of a neutral third-party arbitrator or a panel of arbitrators to make a binding decision, often in accordance with the terms of a contract or agreement. ICA provides a private, flexible, and efficient means of resolving cross-border commercial disputes, avoiding the complexities and

[1] Author is a Law student in India.
[2] Black's Law Dictionary 67 (11th ed. 2019).

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

uncertainties of litigation in multiple jurisdictions.[3] International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) in India has emerged as a vital dispute resolution mechanism, catering to the complex needs of global trade and commerce. As India's economy continues to grow and integrate into the global marketplace, the importance of ICA has become increasingly pronounced. With the country's strategic location, diverse economy, and favorable business environment, India has become an attractive hub for international trade and investment.[4]However, this increased economic activity has also led to a corresponding rise in commercial disputes, necessitating the need for efficient and effective dispute resolution mechanisms. In this context, ICA has become a preferred mode of dispute resolution, offering a unique combination of flexibility, neutrality, and enforceability.

II. INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION – SCOPE, GROWTH, PURPOSE

International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) encompasses a broad scope of commercial disputes, including contractual disputes, commercial transactions, investment disputes, intellectual property disputes, and construction and engineering disputes. ICA applies to various industries and sectors, such as energy and natural resources, financial services, technology and information technology (IT), manufacturing and trade, and infrastructure and construction. Geographically, ICA covers cross-border disputes, international trade, and global supply chains. The applicable laws and rules in ICA include national laws, international conventions like the New York Convention (NYC) and United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Model Law, and arbitration rules of institutions like the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) providing flexibility and autonomy, confidentiality and privacy, enforceability, and time and cost efficiency. ICA provides parties with a neutral and impartial forum for resolving disputes, allowing them to choose arbitration rules, procedures, and seats. Arbitration proceedings are typically confidential, and arbitral awards are generally more easily enforceable than court judgments. Overall, ICA offers a flexible, efficient, and effective means of resolving complex commercial disputes, making it an attractive option for businesses and investors worldwide. With its broad scope and numerous benefits, ICA continues to play a vital role in facilitating international trade and commerce. The trend regards the growth of international commercial arbitration can be traced by:-

In 2021 and 2022, we have seen the numbers of new cases drop after years of continuing growth. In 2023, the numbers started growing again. The researched institutions reached a combined

[3] Gary B. Born, International Commercial Arbitration 1-5 (3rd ed. 2020).
[4] UNCITRAL, India: Law and Practice of Arbitration (2019).

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

record of 9,397 new cases — an impressive number. However, many institutions remain far below their 2020 record number of cases. In 2023, the International Court of Arbitration (ICC) experienced a notable surge in caseload, handling 890 new cases. This represents a significant increase from the 710 cases administered in 2022. However, despite this growth, the ICC's 2023 caseload remains lower than its record high of 946 cases in 2020.[5] Similarly, the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) also saw an uptick in new cases, administering 327 in 2023. This marks an increase from the 293 cases handled in 2022. Nevertheless, the LCIA's 2023 caseload falls short of its 2020 record of 440 cases.[6] In conclusion, the international arbitration landscape in 2023 was marked by a mixed trend, with some institutions experiencing growth, while others saw a decline. Despite this, the overall caseload remains significant, highlighting the importance of arbitration in resolving international commercial disputes. The data suggests that arbitration remains a vital component of international trade and commerce.

III. Evolution of International Commercial Arbitration

The international commercial arbitration have its roots from the medival era, during that time the arbitration was used to solve the disputes between the marketplace and the traders. The practice was very prominent in the European countries as well as in England.

After the establishment of the court the rate of the arbitration had fallen down drastically. The English arbitration act 1889 was the first statute to be situated in England, generally arbitration processes used to get solved through this act.[7]Later this act was newly enforced as the English commercial arbitration act of 1950.[8]After this the British commonwealth accepted the new laws for the arbitration so that people by not going to court can decide regarding a certain matter. With the introduction of globalization in the year 1991 the trading purposes also took a turn where the whole world was connected by a tie of trade and multilateral and bilateral treaty formed to give shape towards a trust. The capital market also grew through the dependency that held with the Alternative Dispute resolution process.

Key developments in international commercial arbitration have transformed the landscape of dispute resolution, providing a more efficient, flexible, and effective means of resolving complex commercial disputes. One significant development is the widespread adoption of the New York Convention (1958), which established a uniform framework for recognizing and enforcing arbitration agreements and awards. This convention has been ratified by over 160

[5] International Court of Arbitration, 2023 Statistical Report (2024).
[6] London Court of International Arbitration, 2023 Annual Casework Report (2024).
[7] Arbitration Act 1889, 52 & 53 Vict. C. 49.
[8] See Arbitration Act 1950, 14 & 15 Geo. 6 c. 27.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

countries, facilitating the enforcement of arbitral awards globally.[9]Another key development is the emergence of institutional arbitration, with organizations like the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), the London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA), and the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) providing a framework for resolving disputes. The UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration has also been widely adopted, providing a standardized framework for international commercial arbitration. Advances in technology have enabled the use of virtual hearings, electronic document management, and online dispute resolution platforms, increasing efficiency and reducing costs. Overall, these key developments have cemented international commercial arbitration as a vital component of global commerce, providing a neutral, flexible, and effective means of resolving complex commercial disputes.

New York Convention

The New York Convention, also known as the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, plays a vital role in international commercial arbitration. It establishes a framework for recognizing and enforcing arbitration agreements and awards across countries. With over 160 countries having ratified the convention, it has become a cornerstone of international commercial arbitration, providing a uniform and predictable means of enforcing arbitration awards globally.[10] The Convention ensures that arbitration awards are recognized and enforced In all contracting states, subject to limited grounds for refusal. This has greatly facilitated international trade and commerce by providing a reliable means of resolving commercial disputes.

Role of London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)

The London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) plays a significant role in international commercial arbitration. As one of the world's leading arbitration institutions, the LCIA provides a neutral and impartial forum for resolving complex commercial disputes.[11] With a reputation for efficiency and flexibility, the LCIA offers parties a range of arbitration services, including expedited procedures and emergency arbitration. The LCIA's rules and procedures are widely recognized and respected, and Its arbitration awards are enforceable in over 160 countries under the New York Convention. The LCIA's expertise and reputation have made it a popular choice for international businesses and governments seeking to resolve disputes through arbitration.

[9] United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, New York Convention: Status.
[10] Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, June 10, 1958,
[11] London Court of International Arbitration, Arbitration Rules (2020).

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

The UNCITRAL Model Law

The UNCITRAL Model Law on INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION plays a vital role in promoting consistency and uniformity in international arbitration,[12] adopted by over 80 countries. It provides a framework for arbitration proceedings, ensuring recognition and enforcement of arbitration agreements, and a predictable and fair process for resolving disputes. Key features include recognition and enforcement of arbitration agreements, arbitral procedure and evidence, challenging and replacing arbitrators, and setting aside and enforcing arbitral awards. As a widely accepted standard, the UNCITRAL Model Law facilitates international trade and commerce by providing a consistent and reliable means of resolving commercial dispute.

IV. INSTITUTIONAL ARBITRATION: A CATALYST FOR GROWTH

Institutional arbitration refers to arbitration conducted under the auspices of an established arbitration institution. The appointed institution provides rules, procedures, and administrative support for the proper conduct of arbitration proceedings to ensure their efficiency and neutrality and the eventual enforceability of the arbitral award.

Difference Between institutional & Ad-hoc Arbitration

Institutional arbitration is defined as arbitration proceedings administered by a neutral third-party institution. The importance of institutional arbitration lies in its ability to provide a neutral, efficient, and expert framework for resolving complex commercial disputes.[13]

Simply put, institutional arbitration and ad hoc arbitration are two distinct forms of arbitration. The key difference lies in structure and administration. Under institutional arbitration, rules of arbitration are provided by the institution which administers the arbitration. On the contrary, ad hoc arbitration takes place independently (without the involvement of an institution) and relies on parties to agree on the procedures and rules.[14] Institutional arbitration is more structured, efficient, and enforceable, while ad hoc arbitration is more flexible and autonomous.[15] In the end, the choice between institutional arbitration or ad hoc arbitration hinges upon the specific preference of the parties.

[12] United Nations Commission on International Trade Law, UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985, amended in 2006).
[13] International Chamber of Commerce, ICC Rules of Arbitration (2021), art. 1.
[14] Alan Redfern and Martin Hunter, Law and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration (4th ed. 2004), 65-70.
[15] Julian D. M. Lew, et al., Comparative International Commercial Arbitration 105-107 (3rd ed. 2013).

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

Major Arbitral Institutions

- **International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)**: One of the oldest and most respected arbitral institutions, with a global presence.
- **Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC):** A leading arbitral institution in Asia, known for its efficiency and expertise.
- **London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)**: A leading arbitral institution in Europe, known for its neutrality and expertise.
- **Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC)**:A leading arbitral institution in Asia, known for its efficiency and expertise[16]

Institutional arbitration has a range of benefits, such as speed, neutrality, and enforceability. Perhaps the most significant benefit of institutional arbitration is efficiency. This benefit is due at least in part to a process that is inherently more well-organized, which ultimately reduces the costs and time consumption of engaging in ad-hoc arbitration. With institutional arbitration, an experienced, neutral third-party handles all of the logistics of the arbitration, and the parties can focus on the dispute. The institutional arbitration centers also utilize a sophisticated case management system that allows them to methodically assess the case, which results in a much more efficient and effective process overall. Another primary benefit of using institutional arbitration compared to ad-hoc arbitration is neutrality. Institutional arbitration centers utilize a deliberative panel of neutral and independent arbitrators, who are a part of the project and which guarantees neutrality. Furthermore, the institutional arbitration centers provide for a neutral venue, which significantly reduces the possibility of bias or favoritism and assures a fair process.[17] Also, institutional arbitration centers operate with transparency and uniformly which assures parties that the process is consistent and allows for a reliable mode of dispute resolution that is independent of any parties involved in the arbitration process as well. Regarding enforceability, it is generally true that arbitration awards secured through institutionally facilitated arbitration are automatically more enforceable than awards obtained in instances of ad-hoc arbitration. When arbitration is facilitating according to rules, those awards resulting from arbitration will provide a solid justification for enforcement, which ad-hoc arbitration simply does not provide. There are many jurisdictions in which awards obtained from institutional arbitration will be recognized and enforced as a matter of fact[18]. Furthermore, parties are often more confident and secure when they know that courts will recognize and

[16] Gary B. Born, International Commercial Arbitration 124-127 (3rd ed. 2020).
[17] Gary B. Born, International Commercial Arbitration 134-135 (3rd ed. 2020).
[18] International Chamber of Commerce, ICC Rules of Arbitration (2021).

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

enforce institutional arbitration awards to a greater extent than courts recognize enforcement of ad-hoc awards.[19]

V. Evolution of International commercial Arbitration

India has witnessed a striking evolution in its arbitration laws, which culminated in the comprehensive Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. India's first arbitration law was the Arbitration Act, 1940, which only laid down the basic framework for arbitration, while including various limitations. First limitation was that the Arbitration Act was applicable to an arbitration where there was only a written agreement to the arbitration clause. Secondly, the Act defined nothing concrete regarding arbitration proceedings, for there resulted much confusion besides inconsistency. Thirdly, there was no provision within the Act regarding enforcement of arbitral award hence making the enforcement of rights by the parties difficult. In this regard, the arbitration and conciliation act 1996, repealed the arbitration act of 1940, which laid a comprehensive base for arbitration and conciliation in India.[20] The act is wide in scope, applicable both to domestic and international arbitrations, as opposed to its predecessor. The Act also provided guidelines on the arbitration process, such as appointment of arbitrator(s), conduct of arbitration proceedings, and enforcement of arbitral awards. Another good feature with reference to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act was that, it allowed the enforcement of arbitral awards making it easy for parties to enforce their rights

The key provisions of the amendment of 2019 are as follows: First, the amendment setup the arbitration council of India, which is tasked with the promotion of arbitration in India. Second, it conducts an arbitration timeline and gives a maximum period of 12 months for the completion of arbitration. Finally, the amendment gives confidentiality in such arbitration proceedings by protecting sensitive matters at hand. Amendments in the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 have impacted the arbitration landscape in India considerably. Timelines introduced for arbitration proceedings have resulted in better efficiency of arbitration. Along with this, the potential parties to arbitration shall be more confident since the Arbitration Council of India was also established, and also confidentiality during arbitration proceedings was ensured. Lastly, the amendments provided a comprehensive framework on arbitration and conciliation, promoting India as the hub of international arbitration.[21]

India has experienced notable growth in institutional arbitration due to increased economic

[19] Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards, June 10, 1958
[20] UNCITRAL, Guide on the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (2016), 24-25.
[21] Arbitration-Conciliation Act 1996, Amendment on 2019

activity and the need for resolving disputes. This growth is largely attributed to the Arbitration and Conciliation Act of 1996, which aligns with the UNCITRAL Model Law and offers a structured approach for arbitration. Key arbitral institutions in India include the New Delhi International Arbitration Centre (NDIAC), Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA), Indian Council of Arbitration (ICA). NDIAC, founded in 2019, provides a neutral platform for international cases. MCIA, started in 2016, is a major player in arbitration, mediation, and conciliation services. ICA, established in 1965, is one of the country's oldest institutions, addressing both domestic and international disputes. Although not an Indian organization, SIAC has been increasingly active, offering services to Indian parties and promoting international best practices. These institutions have significantly contributed to the rise of institutional arbitration in India by offering diverse services and advocating for best practices.

VI. CHALLENGES OF INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION

India's institutional arbitration system faces several significant challenges that affect its effectiveness. One main issue is the lack of awareness about the benefits of institutional arbitration, leading many to choose ad hoc arbitration, which often results in unpredictable outcomes.[22] Additionally, courts frequently interfere in the arbitration process, causing delays and higher costs, which reduces trust in the system.[23] Enforcing arbitration awards is also problematic, as Indian courts sometimes set aside these awards for reasons not accepted elsewhere, creating uncertainty. Furthermore, there is a shortage of infrastructure and expertise in smaller cities, making it harder for parties to find qualified arbitrators and modern facilities.

To improve the situation, it is essential to raise awareness about institutional arbitration, reduce court interference, enhance award enforcement, develop infrastructure, and strengthen legal support. By addressing these problems, India could become a key player in international arbitration.

VII. CONCLUSION & SUGGESTION

Global convergence and harmonization in international commercial arbitration are particularly evident in the area of judicial control of a foreign arbitral award. In most countries, the possibility to bring before a court an action for annulment of an arbitral award rendered abroad is excluded. Arbitration trend and practice in India is changing with India's growth in order to

[22] Nishith Desai Associates, International Arbitration in India: A Roadmap (2020), 12-15.
[23] UNCITRAL, Report of the Working Group II (Arbitration and Conciliation) on the work of its fifty-third session (2013), 10-12.

be able to attract foreign investment. It is clear that there still are some ambiguities in Indian arbitration law, which require judicial explanation and practically which need some major changes to solve the cross border issues through the arbitration. In conclusion, International commercial arbitration offers an efficient and effective means of resolving disputes in the global economy. While it presents some challenges, recent developments such as the use of technology, diversity initiatives, and expedited procedures demonstrate that the arbitration process is evolving and adapting to meet the needs of parties and practitioners. The Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) landscape is evolving rapidly, both nationally and internationally, offering alternative and simpler methods for dispute resolution. International Commercial Arbitration (ICA) is one such mechanism that has gained significant traction. The proliferation of arbitration clauses in agreements between parties has contributed to the growing demand for ICA services. In India, the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1956, along with the United Nations (UN) Conventions, provides a robust framework for ICA. However, there are areas that require improvement to enhance the efficacy of ICA. To augment the effectiveness of ICA, the following suggestions are proposed:

1. Finality of Arbitral Awards: Empower arbitrators to render final awards based on merit, thereby reducing the grounds for challenging awards. This can be achieved by incorporating transnational public policy and granting arbitrators the authority to apply it.

2. Enhanced Powers of Arbitrators: Expand the powers of arbitrators to decide and deal with disputes, allowing them flexibility to ignore rigid or unfair rules in specific cases.

3. Verification of Grounds for Challenging Awards: Grant arbitrators more authority to verify grounds for challenging arbitral awards, ensuring that awards are treated as final decrees of the court.

Implementing these suggestions can significantly enhance the scope of domestic policies, operationalize transnational public policy, and facilitate the enforcement and recognition of foreign arbitral awards. Furthermore, it will simplify the process of determining the seat and venue for proceedings, reduce the burden on arbitrators, and promote fairer and more flexible proceedings.

*****

 [ISSN 2581-5369]