Page 3419 - 3435 **DOI:** *https://doij.org/10.10000/IJLMH.1110270*

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 8 | Issue 3

2025

Designing Trauma-Informed Criminal Justice Administration based on Neurocriminological Findings

DIVY PRABHAT GUPTA[1]

ABSTRACT

The contemporary criminal justice system frequently grapples with identifying and treating the deep trauma-induced effects on the people it interacts with—victims, criminals, even justice-seated pros. This mistake has prolonged systematic inefficiencies, increased relapse rate, and worsened damage as opposed to encouraging recovery. From this point of view, there is an increasing advocate for a change in paradigm toward trauma-informed justice administration that links scientific theories of injury and societal evolution. comprehension using practical improvements. Based on knowledge about neurocriminology, this paper examines how stress, particularly in the form of negative early life events (ACEs), may alter the way stress remodels the amygdala and prefrontal cortex. Often, these neurobiological changes increase the risk of criminal activity, affecting behavior patterns, emotional control, and decision-making capacity. Research combining these conclusions suggests a new strategy that combines sensitivity to trauma with policies and processes for criminal justice. This study highlights practical changes, including specialized training courses for justice professionals, using trauma-aware interrogation methods, and bringing rehabilitative offender structures. The following measures act in combination: the proposed model aims to reduce secondary victimization, enhance victim support systems, and understanding the obstacles imposed by institutional and resource limits helps one to reduce relapse. resistance, the research provides practical means of negotiating these obstacles. Ultimately, this research imagines a legal system in which mercy and fairness are equally important, thereby underlining the significance of including mental health and trauma in balancing the nature of a balanced nature and practical means of punishment.

Keywords: *Reduction of repeat offending, neurocriminology, trauma-informed justice, adverse early experiences (ACEs), rehabilitative justice*

I. INTRODUCTION

Maintaining laws and promoting rehabilitation, the criminal justice system is the foundation

[1] Author is a Student at City Law College (Affiliated from University of Lucknow), India.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

of social justice and order. But the system usually misses in its conventional design a critical but widespread influence: trauma. People who either serve as victims, perpetrators, or professionals in the legal system are molded by trauma. Failing to consider the deep impacts of trauma allows the system to involuntarily perpetuate damage, therefore compromising its own fair and rehabilitative objectives.

Trauma-informed practices and neurocriminology together signal a change in criminal justice administration. Standard justice systems usually operate based on punishment ideas—they have a history of trouble dealing with the core reasons behind crime. This gap appears as repeat offenses, unfairness in the system, and poor results in fixing behavior (Raine, A., 2013). A framework based on trauma awareness and brain science appears to be a strong answer. It seeks to rehabilitate offenders and increase healing in communities.

Understanding the devastating effects of traumatic experiences on people throughout the system—offenders, victims, and even law enforcement officers—is a key component of trauma-informed criminal justice. Traumatic events, such as spousal violence, childhood abuse, or ongoing exposure to violence in the community, can permanently alter a person's thoughts, feelings, and actions. (Glenn, A.L. and Raine, A., 2014) Ignoring these effects can prolong cycles of injury, estrangement, and distrust. Practitioners can reduce re-traumatization, empower impacted persons, and promote a restorative rather than punitive sense of justice by incorporating trauma-responsive ideas into their work.

Neurocriminology is a scientific perspective on criminal justice that considers neurological and biological factors that predispose an individual to commit crimes. Among others, the research provides evidence that neurobiological disorders, such as prefrontal cortex dysfunction, can influence one's social behaviour, impulse control, and decision-making. Increased amygdala activity then increases responses to threats and hostility. Beyond adding to our understanding of criminality, these findings in the brain also pave the way for evidence-based treatments directed toward the primary causes of antisocial tendencies.

The incorporation of trauma-informed structures with neurocriminological discoveries now presents excellent opportunities for a well-rounded and revolutionary criminal justice system. Individualized pathways to criminal acts would determine such an approach in formulating tailored solutions, as the majority of people surely have their mixtures of biological, psychological, and social forces in determining their criminality. Real rehabilitation and prevention of re-offending can thus be made possible, particularly for neurological deficits and trauma. Furthermore, this multidisciplinary approach advocates early intervention,

particularly in the identification and protection of at-risk adolescents, to prevent petty offenses from multiplying into an extended criminal career.

An argument quite potent, even for existing criminal justice systems to change, is precisely that amalgam of neurocriminological understanding and trauma-informed ideas. It could very well encircle injury and neurological factors in a holistic way; this multidisciplinary approach thus challenges the status quo: it invokes experts and legislators to rethink justice as punishment rather than as a means to social welfare and healing. That thought change also speaks to the need for people-centred solutions that prioritize early intervention for crime prevention, rehabilitation, and reintegration into society.

This paper seeks to push forward the conversation on transforming the criminal justice system by emphasizing how neurocriminology connects with trauma-informed treatment. The integration will be intensively scrutinized in terms of its theoretical foundations, applications in the real world, and policy implications. All in all, this research is envisaged to produce a fair, compassionate, equal, and science-based system by trying to combine various disciplines and resulting that envisages a criminal justice system that, from many angles, responds to the complexity of criminal behaviour, therefore striking a harmony between social safety and human dignity.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Long years of cross-disciplinary research in neuroscience, psychology, criminology, and legal theory have created the foundation for a neurocriminological underpinning for a trauma-sensitive criminal justice system. Therefore, this chapter thoroughly discusses contemporary scientific investigations concerning trauma and crime, the role of neurocriminology within the justice system, and initiatives to incorporate these concepts into policies and practices. This very study reveals the research gaps and puts a premium on the necessity of a holistic approach encompassing both neurological triggers and trauma of criminal behavior through an analysis of the major findings, theoretical framework, and empirical investigation.

A. Trauma & criminal behavior

Much research has shown the connection between trauma, specifically adversity in early years, and future criminal activity. Research on Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs) shows that exposure to family instability, abuse, and neglect dramatically increases the chances of future criminal activity. Trauma suffered by criminals produces increased aggression, impulsivity, and difficulty managing emotions, all of which are typical symptoms and which directly result in deviant behavior.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

Free will and moral responsibility are two interrelated ideas that are the focus of neuroscientific research. Libet discovered that prior to any subjective knowledge that may be reported that such a behaviour is occurring, the brain "decides" to start or at least get ready to start (certain acts). A choice has been made. According to the findings of his well-known experiment (Libet et al., 1983), the cerebral commencement of a spontaneous, freely voluntary act might start unknowingly, that is, before there is any subjective awareness that a cerebral "decision" to act has already been made. Even though Libet was generally hesitant about the applicability of these kinds of studies, they have undoubtedly sparked a heated discussion regarding freedom and free will.

Whereas impaired functioning of the prefrontal brain shuts down decision-making and reason, amygdala hyperexcitability initiates aggressive fear response and reactive violence. Trauma-sensitive treatment needs to become part of the criminal justice system to prevent cycles of violence and incarceration, as do these neurobiological changes.

B. Neurocriminology: linking brain function and criminal behavior

Crucially, the environment also has an impact; in fact, many genetic variations only increase the likelihood of antisocial conduct when certain environmental risk factors are present, including early childhood maltreatment. Conventional justifications of biological determinism are undermined by the discovery in epigenetics that the environment may affect how genomes are functionally transmitted to a person (and even in specialised brain locations).

Finding the precise genes that increase the likelihood of antisocial conduct has been the focus of recent studies. There are a number of genetic variations that have been found to gradually raise the likelihood of antisocial conduct. Even though almost half of 185

Studies have reported positive findings, a meta-analysis revealed that no variant was associated with aggression at the 5% level of significance. This finding underscores the idea that, as with other complex behaviours, the contribution of any single gene to antisocial and aggressive behaviour is likely to be quite small. It is possible that a combination of a larger number of gene variants substantially increases the risk of aggressiveness.

C. Trauma-informed criminal justice: existing frameworks and challenges

Increased testosterone levels have been repeatedly associated with increased aggressive behaviour in adults. Caveats include the fact that this relationship appears to be less evident in pre-pubertal individuals, and meta-analyses of this relationship find a small effect size of

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

R=0.08 (REF. 34). Some randomized, placebo-controlled crossover trials have shown that testosterone administration increases aggressive behaviour in adult males, which is suggestive of a causal connection, although other experimental studies using lower doses of testosterone did not show an increase in aggressive behaviour. Increased levels of testosterone at the ages of 10–12 years are predictive of assaultive behaviour at the ages of 12–14 years, norm-violating behaviour at the age of 16 years, and cannabis use at the age of 19 years. Higher levels of testosterone at the age of 16 years are associated with crime in adulthood. (Kubiak et al., 2017).

Under any circumstance, evidence-based practice (EBP) begins with a consolidation of interdisciplinary and cross-theoretical knowledge to build a foundation for effective treatment protocols. EBP then integrates research evidence with clinical expertise and client characteristics (APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice, 2006). The practitioner must account for the client's trauma history as they consider the assessment of client needs, risks, and strengths, along with knowledge of the research most applicable to the client's problems and incorporate all of it into a delivery style that is relevant for the individual.

D. Bridging trauma-informed approaches and neurocriminology

Neuro-criminology has a lot of potential for helping offenders get back on their feet. For example, if a neurotransmitter imbalance is connected to impulsivity or violence, neuropharmacological treatments may be more successful than traditional forms of punishment (Rosell & Siever, 2015). Implementing preventive measures is made possible by an understanding of the neurological risk factors for criminal behaviour. This could include widespread social improvements in sectors like healthcare and education, as well as early interventions for people with known risk factors (Glenn & Raine, 2014). Numerous ethical challenges are brought up by any field that studies the human brain and behaviour, such as neuro-criminology. These include privacy concerns, stigmatisation, and the possible abuse of neurobiological data for discriminatory purposes. emphasise the necessity of strict ethical standards in this industry. (Glenn & Raine, 2014).

The internet also provides opportunities for peer support. Countless informational resources can offer informal support systems for people who have offended and their families, including websites, blogs, chat forums, and social media sites that provide educational resources along with discussion platforms. Online groups can also coordinate advocacy activities such as legislative testimony or lobbying efforts for criminal justice reform. Online support forums

can offer powerful antidotes to the stressors and secondary stigma faced by people with criminal records. (Creswell, J.W. 2014).

III. METHODOLOGY

- **Doctrinal Analysis:** An analysis of legal precedents, international agreements, statutes, and texts about trauma-informed care and neuroscience in criminal justice.
- **Interdisciplinary Synthesis:** Combining research from sociology, criminology, psychology, and neuroscience to offer a thorough knowledge of the variables affecting criminal behaviour.
- **Thematic analysis:** utilised to detect recurrent trends and insights, allowing results from various fields, like criminology, psychology, and neuroscience, to be synthesised.

IV. TRAUMA-INFORMED CRIMINAL JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION

The criminal justice administration is such an emerging development that incorporates the existence of trauma-informed practice to transform the ways justice systems are managed and deal with crime heroically. It aims at leaving behind the much-disdained and disregarded measures of punitive punishment for a much more specific consideration of the experience and well-being of the individual. (Braun, V. and Clarke, V. 2006). The intent of the trauma-informed criminal justice administration includes reforming present-day justice systems to be victim-centric when service delivery involves addressing survivors of violence. Accordingly, the effects of trauma are not limited to an individual in the confines of either childhood traumatic experiences, domestic violence, and abusive relationships, or long-standing exposure to violence. At worst, unresolved trauma jeopardizes the efficiency of justice systems as it perpetuates cycles of suffering, estrangement, and recidivism among its victims and offenders.

The basic pillar of trauma-informed justice is an understanding that traumatic experience has an intricate effect on behavior and influences how a person responds to authority, manages conflict, and seeks rehabilitation. Trauma is rather a function of those outside experiences affecting the brain, behavior, and resilience of feelings, and not personal failing. This concept is aimed at rehabilitating the offender along with the victim and restoring the trust of the community, besides promoting welfare for society by diverting from punishment to healing. This is of particular importance to the oppressed, as structural variables such as disenfranchisement, impoverishment, discrimination, and unavailability of resources will only serve to increase one's vulnerability to trauma and result in a bias with which one interacts

with the law.

Feeling free from the fact that there is upholding to the model of trauma-informed care found on existing understandings in psychological and neurological science, such as findings in neurocriminology. Trauma might be said to institute or influence the anatomical-functional development within the brain and evolve into heightened stress responses, reduced impulse control, and impaired emotional regulation, as neurocriminology has established. Trauma-informed courts would be able to use the concepts from neurocriminology to dwell on solutions that are based on the opposite theological ground that implies an understanding of the neurological basis of behavior problems, in rehabilitation rather than punishment. (Sapolsky, R.M. 2017) It does thus provide an evidence factual alternative to punish, and deterrent-oriented systems of justice where causation of the delinquent behavior has been discharged far too easily by these means.

How trauma-informed criminal justice can transform the system into a more effective, humane, and egalitarian system is the focus of this paper; its principles and fruits are examined here. Then it provides the perfect scenario for a justice system very reconciled to notions of justice, accountability, and a healing society through straddling the divide formed by neurocriminology and trauma-informed care. It interprets prisons not only as punishment, but even much more as empowerment and healing.

Core principles

- Give everyone's bodily and mental safety a priority by establishing settings that make them feel safe and/or shield them from re-traumatization.
- Individual autonomy and choices are respected, and a person is empowered to make decisions regarding their own life, medical care, and rehabilitation.
- Cultural humility and responsiveness, which entails recognising and honouring cultural diversity and distinctions as well as making an effort to offer care that is attentive to each patient's needs and/or experiences.

Police services use accountability and continuous improvement to hold themselves responsible for adhering to these values and to continuously work to enhance trauma-informed practices and principles via evaluation and feedback. Victims can be assigned active roles in restorative justice processes, while criminals can be engaged in joint rehabilitation strategies.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

V. NEUROCRIMINOLOGICAL FINDINGS IN POLICY DESIGN

By offering important insights into the scientific basis of antisocial tendencies, neurocriminology has made groundbreaking progress in our comprehension of how the brain's anatomy and physiology affect criminal behavior. The realization that the anatomical and functional properties of the brain directly affect decision-making, impulse control, emotional regulation, and social interactions—all significant determinants of behavioral choices—forms the basis for these findings. (Siever, L.J. 2008). Vulnerabilities that place an individual at higher risk of committing a crime result from dysfunction of certain areas of the brain, which is often compounded by genetic susceptibility, environmental stresses, or exposure.

Where the regulation of behavior is concerned, the prefrontal cortex is particularly relevant. The prefrontal eye fields, a part of the premotor cortex, are also engaged in spontaneous eye movements and gaze fixation, which are crucial for new visually directed tasks and visual attention. For humans to properly carry out behavioural sequences, our frontal eye fields focus visual attention on key components of our surroundings.

The frontal eye fields (Brodmann's areas 8 and 8A) are anterior to the primary motor cortex. This part of the additional motor cortex contains afferent and efferent lines to parts of the brain, such as the superior colliculus and posterior parietal areas, that are crucial for regulating eye movements. One must first look for items of clothing, find them in space, plan a motor action to retrieve each one, manage each one before getting it on, and subsequently effectively complete the dressing process, which includes zipping, buttoning, and fastening. This is an example of a basic act of dressing. Even though overlearning and repetition may make many of these behaviours automatic, good behaviour requires the frontal eye fields to focus vision on each component. When you compare this straightforward example to the visual demands involved in removing or debulking a tumour from a patient's frontal lobe, you soon realise how much pressure is put on the prefrontal vision fields daily.

Patients with these kinds of personality disorders typically exhibit a lack of empathy and callousness, as well as deficiencies in processing emotional information to control their behaviour, which might be explained by impairments in these brain regions. According to a widely accepted theory derived from these findings, those who are less aware of their moral feelings and emotion-related abilities (such as empathy) are less likely to violate the rights of others. For example, the initiation of careless and antisocial conduct without regret has been connected to damage to the VmPFC and the Anterior Cingulate Cortex (ACC), which are essential for processing emotions as well as for behavioural motivation and control through

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

cognitive and affective mechanisms. Crucially, as one researcher emphasises, patients might not be able to resist an urge to act inappropriately even when they are aware of right and wrong and comprehend the reasons for their actions if they are not restrained by intuitive moral feelings and self-other conjunction.

This affective detachment promotes apathy and a lack of remorse, which increases the threat of repeated violations and disrespect for norms.

Behaviour is largely controlled by the neurotransmitter systems as well as by anatomical regions of the brain. (Shonkoff, J.P. and Phillips, D.A. 2000). Dopamine, serotonin, and other transmitters regulate mood, impulsivity, and reward, all of which have a large impact on behaviour. A biological inclination towards antisocial behavior has been associated with low serotonin levels, which have also been associated with heightened aggression, impulsive behavior, and inadequate emotional regulation. Likewise, impulsive or risky behaviors, such as drug abuse, stealing, or other offenses, can be driven by dysregulation of dopamine pathways, which are responsible for reward sensitivity.

Neuroscientists went one step further after learning about the neurobiological correlates of specific forms of antisocial behaviour, examining whether neurobiological factors might predict a person's propensity to (re)engage in antisocial activity in the future. According to the concept being studied, changes in certain brain regions linked to anger, violence, and impulse control can reveal whether a person is more or less prone to commit stable and ongoing crimes. This section uses a few chosen neuroprediction studies to show how science is progressing and how it may be used to predict antisocial conduct.

VI. Fusion of trauma-informed and neurocriminological paradigms: a holistic framework for judicial evolution

A. Policy overhaul and legislative calibration

We now know that trauma has a detrimental effect on neuronal integration, or the ability of various brain/mind processes and pathways to cooperate. Positive relationship experiences have been clearly shown to support brain integration, which trauma severely impairs. 15. It also demonstrates how healthy relationships improve overall well-being in addition to aiding in the healing of trauma. Being trauma-informed benefits everyone, including customers and employees, those with “normal life stress,” and those who have experienced chronic trauma. Consequently, this offers the justification and “buy-in” for the thorough integration of practice based on trauma at every level and in every profession, service, organisation, and institution,

including the legal and judicial sectors.

Punitive retaliation gives way to rehabilitative precision when trauma-sensitive adjudication is included in law requirements, resulting in a jurisprudential change. (Perry, B.D. 2009). The constitutionality of neuroscientific evidence is still hampered by jurisprudential scepticism, nevertheless. To overcome this, a multi-disciplinary nexus between forensic psychologists, neurocriminologists, and legal theorists must be established to provide empirical validation and strengthen the credibility of neuroscience in criminal cases.

B. Pedagogical imperatives and practitioner acclimatization

The ability of judicial officers to absorb and use multidisciplinary knowledge is critical to the success of trauma-informed neurocriminological integration. Law enforcement officers, jail administrators, forensic specialists, and judicial officials all require thorough education on the subtleties of neurocognitive disorders and trauma-related behavioural abnormalities. The aetiology of aggressiveness, neurobiological indicators that indicate recidivist tendencies, and interventionist strategies catered to specific neurocognitive deficiencies should all be explained in specialised educational modules. (Bloom, S.L., 2013). The adoption of neurocriminological approaches is hampered by opposition from firmly established institutional actors who are inclined towards traditional punitive stratagems. Incentive-driven certification programs that grant professional accreditation and hierarchical ascent based on competence in trauma-sensitive and neurobiological jurisprudence are necessary to mitigate this opposition.

C. Therapeutic penal mechanisms and cognitive restorative interventions

Correctional facilities need to move past their antiquated punitive infrastructure and become neurorehabilitative havens where neuroscientific remediation and trauma-informed care work together. Rehabilitative therapies are especially effective for offenders who have prefrontal cortex abnormalities, serotonergic deficits, or impulsive signs because of neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to reorganise synaptic networks. To address executive dysfunctions, manage emotional volatility, and improve impulse control, cognitive recalibration programs that include dialectical behaviour treatment, neurofeedback training, and pharmaceutical modulation should be implemented. The implementation of therapeutic punitive mechanisms is significantly hampered by financial limitations and bureaucratic slowness. Legislative allocation of correctional funds for neuroscientific rehabilitation programs and cooperative partnerships between correctional facilities and neuropsychiatric research consortia are necessary to address these demands. (DeMatteo, D., Marczyk, G., Krauss, D. and Burl, J.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

2020)

D. Preemptive neurocriminological interventions and societal prophylaxis

Criminal propensity frequently stems from neurodevelopmental abnormalities that are made worse by unfavourable psychosocial factors. Therefore, the cornerstone of crime prevention is preventive interventions aimed at at-risk youth. Neurobehavioral screening procedures must be used by educational institutions and community outreach organizations to find people who are displaying signs of executive dysfunction or elevated stress sensitivity. (Haney, C.,2006). Early neurological deficiencies that lead to maladaptive behaviours can be lessened by remedial frameworks that include mindfulness-based cognitive therapy, social and emotional enrichment programs, and structured cognitive-behavioral therapies. According to research on neuroplasticity, focused treatments during the formative years can rebalance the brain networks linked to emotional dysregulation and impulsivity, promoting resilience and flexible coping strategies.

VII. Comparative analysis and case studies: neurocriminological and trauma-informed methods

A. Trauma-informed criminal justice models

Given this early data, several writers have rightly wondered how precisely adding brain factors to the assessment of future criminal behaviour may improve risk assessments. Interestingly, several writers have questioned ‘whether any differences revealed by neuroimaging studies are either better predictors of recidivism than the score on a checklist or, even if they are not, whether they would increase the accuracy of any such prediction if used in conjunction with other behavioural measures. Neuroscientists are starting to speculate that neuroscientific methods, including structural and functional neuroimaging, are most likely to provide additional reliability to the evaluation of recidivism from crime risk. In other words, antisocial conduct neuroprediction will probably enhance the predictive power of current risk assessment.

Neurocriminological findings have impacted court decisions and correctional policies alongside trauma-informed practices. A significant improvement is neurocriminology-informed risk assessment in sentencing, whereby judges incorporate neuroscientific evaluations to identify an offender's neurological and psychological predispositions. Some examples of such evaluations are psychological profiling and functional MRI (fMRI) scans. Based on findings by Kent Kiehl, violent and impulsive behavior correlates with some

neurobiological markers, including defects in the amygdala and deficiencies in the prefrontal cortex. Such findings have influenced sentencing policies to ensure that neurobiological impairment is considered at the time of guilt determination and rehabilitation possibilities. (Morse, S.J. 2011) Correctional institutions have also implemented neuroscience-based rehabilitation programs that focus on cognitive-behavioral therapy tailored for individuals with neurological dysfunction. These programs employ neuroplasticity-based therapies to alter maladaptive behavior, particularly addressing deficits in emotional control and impulse management. The application of neuroscientific evidence into jurisprudence has seen the rise of neurolaw efforts in legal debates, which inform decisions on criminal responsibility, reduced culpability, and proportionality of punishment.

B. Comparative analysis and integration of models

Legal adoption of tools is viewed from a criminal political perspective as providing progressive support to the rising trend in Western legislatures towards utilitarian penal policies and procedures, as opposed to retributivist ones. For instance, Gkotsi and Gasser contend that using neurobiology to forecast the likelihood of recidivism and dangerousness is just a quick cut to change the idea of punishment from exacting revenge for past transgressions to only preventing harm in the future. Due to the rising trend in both European and AngloAmerican systems to enforce zero tolerance of risk policies to enhance public safety, courts are becoming more likely to base punishment not just on an offender's genuine culpability for the crime done, as well as the likelihood that the offender would commit another crime in the future, or how dangerous they are in the future.

VIII. LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. Procedural fairness and proportionality in sentencing

How much neurobiological deficit reduces criminal responsibility without sacrificing the concept of accountability has to be determined by courts. (Miller, N.A. and Najavits, L.M. 2012). Ensuring public safety while acknowledging an offender's neurological or trauma-related vulnerabilities requires a delicate balance to avoid disproportionality in the sentence. Consistency in sentencing raises ethical issues because dependence on neuroscientific information could cause inequalities in court rulings if access to such analyses is not evenly available. Legal standards for the investigation and admissibility of neurocriminological proof must be clearly defined and applied uniformly and uniformly to address these issues.

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

B. Privacy and confidentiality

There are serious privacy issues and the risk of sensitive information being abused when neuroimaging, genetic testing, and psychiatric assessment are employed in court. Information derived from neuroscientific tests can reveal highly private information that could be used against oneself or stigmatised, like a predisposition towards specific behaviours or mental illness. (Van der Kolk, B., 2014). To maintain the confidentiality of such information and prevent its misuse outside the legal system, rigorous data protection measures have to be introduced.

C. Ethical concerns surrounding determinism and free will

Because free will does not need complete independence from causality, compatibilists contend that determinism and free will can coexist. They contend that people still can make decisions based on their preferences, convictions, and values, even when prior experiences and other influences may influence how people behave. This viewpoint holds that free will is not the ability to act autonomously of other forces, but rather the ability to act in accordance with one's desires and beliefs. According to this perspective, determinism cannot give free will the capacity to act independently of outside influences and previous occurrences.

D. Stigmatization and ethical use of labels

It focuses on these attributes to make it possible to compare teenagers who have been designated and those who have not, who ought to have comparable educational backgrounds. Teachers and parents are more likely to recognise impairment in teenagers with the school's LD classification if it serves as a label. Second, parents and teachers will have lower expectations for the education of labelled young people than they do for similarly situated unlabelled adolescents if the school's LD label creates negative stereotypes. This is partly because they will think the adolescent is disabled. From the perspectives of labeling theory, teachers' and parents' altered perceptions and expectations will contribute to labeled adolescents' lower educational expectations for themselves. (Piquero, A.R., Jennings, W.G., and Farrington, D.P. 2014)

E. Overreliance on technology and evidence validity

Another scientific critique of determinism comes from chaos theory, which explores the behavior of complex systems that are highly sensitive to initial conditions. In such systems, it is challenging to forecast since even slight modifications to the starting circumstances can have wildly divergent effects on the future in absolute assurance. This challenges the idea that all events are predetermined, as certain phenomena are simply too complex and unpredictable

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

to fully understand. the outcomes of all experiments and observations are already predetermined. This raises questions about the nature of scientific inquiry and discovery, and challenges our traditional views of scientific progress and advancement.

IX. CONCLUSION

A revolutionary era in crime prevention research has been brought about by the convergence of neurology and criminology. The conventional scope of criminology, which has its roots in socioeconomic, environmental, and psychological perspectives, has mostly concentrated on internal psychological processes and external factors. But the development of neuroscience, supported by improvements in brain imaging techniques, has signalled a change in perspective towards the biological underpinnings of abnormal conduct. This emerging multidisciplinary field, known as "neuro-criminology," posits that some neurological abnormalities may be associated with, or possibly causally impact, criminal tendencies. Rather than promoting a deterministic approach, this viewpoint enhances conventional criminological theories by offering a more nuanced understanding in which neurological symptoms interact in tandem with behavioural and social indicators to define criminal tendencies. For millennia, there has been much discussion and investigation into the complexities of human behaviour, particularly concerning criminal inclinations. Modern neuroscientific methods and neurotechnology have the potential to completely transform how we think about crime and how to avoid it.

Conversely, challenges like the complexities of the brain, combined with the inherent variability among individuals, make it difficult to draw conclusive cause-and-effect relationships or craft universally effective interventions. Moreover, the reliance on neuroscientific evidence in legal proceedings can be a double-edged sword, with potential biases and misuse looming large (Garland & Glimcher, 2006). The profound insights neuro-criminology offers come with a significant responsibility. As we peer into the very essence of human cognition and behavior, it becomes paramount to tread carefully, respecting the privacy and rights of individuals (Roskies, 2006). Labeling based on neurology risks stigmatization and can have far-reaching societal consequences. The line between preventing crime and unwarranted surveillance can be blurry, necessitating continuous ethical oversight (Greely, 2011). As such, while the tools of neurology offer promise, their application must permanently be anchored in the principles of justice, fairness, and respect for human dignity (Jones et al., 2013)

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

X. SUGGESTIONS: DOABLE METHODS FOR REVOLUTIONISING JUSTICE SYSTEMS

A. Ensuring accuracy in lawmaking: integrating neuroscience and trauma sensitivity

Beyond prevention, neurotechnology could also revolutionize the rehabilitation of criminals. Techniques that directly intervene and modify neural circuits can be more effective than traditional behavioral therapies, potentially reducing recidivism rates (Kable & Glimcher, 2007).

B. Developing empathy: developing interdisciplinary justice practitioner expertise

The merging of fields for holistic understanding • Neuroscience and Sociology: Combining the insights from brain studies with societal structures and patterns can offer a more comprehensive understanding of crime, considering both biological predispositions and environmental triggers (Adolphs, 2010)

C. Encourage multidisciplinary networks for holistic solutions as a collaboration catalyst

Allocate public funding to provide underserved populations with access to trauma-informed and neuroscience-driven care, including rehabilitation programs, mental health resources, and legal representation. Address systemic disparities by subsidizing training, research, and community initiatives in low-income or marginalized areas.

D. Ethical compass: safeguard privacy and uphold human dignity

Create legislative procedures to ensure ethical consent procedures and safeguard the privacy of psychological and neurobiological data. Encourage non-stigmatizing intervention and rehabilitation methods that emphasize the possibility of change more than deterministic classifications.

E. The preventive paradigm: active community-based strategies for populations at risk

Implement outreach initiatives in schools and the community that focus on identifying trauma and neurobiological risk factors in children and adolescents early on. Create organised mentoring programs, mindfulness exercises, and socioemotional enrichment activities to reduce vulnerabilities and promote resilience. Give ethical screening instruments priority to prevent stigmatization.

*****

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

XI. REFERENCES

- Adolphs, R. (2010). The social brain: Neural basis of social knowledge. Annual Review of Psychology, 60(1), pp.693–716.
- APA Presidential Task Force on Evidence-Based Practice. (2006). Evidence-based psychology practice. American Psychologist, 61(4), pp.271–285
- Bloom, S.L. (2013). Creating Sanctuary: Toward the Evolution of Sane Societies. Routledge.
- Boschetti, C.E., Rosell, D.R. and Siever, L.J. (2023). Genetic and neurobiological determinants of criminal behavior: A review. Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 36(1), pp.23–30.
- Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), pp.77–101
- Creswell, J.W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- DeMatteo, D., Marczyk, G., Krauss, D. and Burl, J. (2020). Applications of neuroscience in the legal system. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 29(2), pp.154–159
- Dershowitz, A. (1995). The Abuse Excuse: And Other Cop-Outs, Sob Stories, and Evasions of Responsibility. Little, Brown and Company.
- Friedman, M.J. (2013). Finalizing PTSD in DSM-5: Getting here from there and where to go next. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 26(5), pp.548–556
- Garland, B. and Glimcher, P.W. (2006). Cognitive neuroscience and law: Decision-making and its implications. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 10(12), pp.464–472.
- Glenn, A.L. and Raine, A. (2014). Neurocriminology: Implications for the punishment, prediction, and prevention of criminal behavior. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 15(1), pp.54-63
- Greely, H.T. (2011). The social effects of advances in neuroscience: Legal problems, legal perspectives. Indiana Law Journal, 85(2), pp.1–14
- Hindman, J. and Peters, J. (2001). Polygraph testing leads to better understanding adult and juvenile sex offenders. Federal Probation, 65(3), pp.8–15

 [ISSN 2581-5369]

- Kiehl, K.A. and Buckholtz, J.W. (2010). Inside the mind of a psychopath: Brain scans reveal a key difference in psychopathic criminals. Scientific American Mind, 21(4), pp.22–29.
- Kubiak, S.P., Kim, W.J., Fedock, G. and Bybee, D. (2017). Testing a victim-centered, trauma-informed intervention for women convicted of violent crimes. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 32(4), pp.661–682.
- Libet, B., Gleason, C.A., Wright, E.W. and Pearl, D.K. (1983). Time of conscious intention to act in relation to onset of cerebral activity (readiness-potential): The unconscious initiation of a freely voluntary act. Brain, 106(3), pp.623–642
- Messina, N., Grella, C.E., Burdon, W. and Prendergast, M. (2007). Childhood adversity and health-related outcomes in incarcerated women: The mediating role of psychological functioning. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 30(4), pp.285–296.
- Miller, N.A. and Najavits, L.M. (2012). Creating trauma-informed correctional care: A balance of goals and environment. European Journal of Psychotraumatology, 3(1), pp.1–8
- Perry, B.D. (2009). Examining child maltreatment through a neurodevelopmental lens: Clinical applications of the neurosequential model of therapeutics. Journal of Loss and Trauma, 14(4), pp.240–255
- Raine, A. (2013). The Anatomy of Violence: The Biological Roots of Crime. New York: Pantheon Books.

*****

 [ISSN 2581-5369]