

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 7 | Issue 6

2024

© 2024 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlmh.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/>)

This article is brought to you for “free” and “open access” by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact Gyan@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to submission@ijlmh.com.

Critical Analysis of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita: Strengths and Weaknesses

DR. SAKSHI JANGRA¹ AND DR. RAHUL²

ABSTRACT

The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024, a landmark legislation aimed at reforming India's criminal justice system, has been hailed as a significant step towards modernization and improvement. However, a critical analysis of the legislation reveals both strengths and weaknesses. This paper examines the Sanhita's provisions, highlighting its progressive measures, such as the incorporation of digital evidence and online harassment laws, as well as its limitations, including the lack of clarity on police accountability and the potential for misuse of certain provisions. The Researchers also explore the Sanhita's impact on marginalized communities and its ability to address systemic issues driving recidivism. Ultimately, this paper argues that while the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 represents a positive step towards reform, its effectiveness depends on addressing its weaknesses and ensuring robust implementation mechanisms. The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024, a comprehensive legislation aimed at reforming India's criminal justice system, has been hailed as a significant step towards modernization and improvement. However, a critical analysis of the legislation reveals both strengths and weaknesses. This paper examines the Sanhita's provisions, highlighting its progressive measures, such as the incorporation of digital evidence and online harassment laws, as well as its limitations, including the lack of clarity on police accountability, inadequate attention to marginalized communities' concerns, and the potential for misuse of certain provisions. The study also explores the Sanhita's impact on the administration of justice, including its potential to reduce judicial backlog and improve conviction rates. Furthermore, it assesses the legislation's ability to address systemic issues driving recidivism, such as lack of rehabilitation and reintegration programs. Ultimately, this paper argues that while the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 represents a positive step towards reform, its effectiveness depends on addressing its weaknesses and ensuring robust implementation mechanisms. Recommendations for improvement include clarifying provisions, introducing robust accountability mechanisms, and prioritizing marginalized communities' concerns. This paper contributes to the existing literature on criminal justice reform in India by providing a comprehensive analysis of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 and offering concrete recommendations for improvement. Its

¹ Author is an Assistant Professor at school of law, FIMT, Kapashera, Delhi, India.

² Author is a Guest Faculty at Faculty of Law, Prof. (Dr.) Rajendra Singh (Rajju Bhaiyya) University, Prayagraj, India.

findings have implications for policymakers, legal scholars, and practitioners working towards a more just and equitable criminal justice system in India.

Keywords: *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita(BNS), Criminal Justice, Legal.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024, a landmark legislation aimed at reforming India's criminal justice system, has been a subject of intense debate and scrutiny since its enactment. As a comprehensive code that seeks to harmonize and modernize the country's criminal laws, it is imperative to examine its provisions, intentions, and potential consequences. The Sanhita's introduction marks a significant shift in India's approach to criminal justice, aiming to address emerging challenges and concerns in the digital age. However, its impact on the justice delivery system, marginalized communities, and the rule of law remains a topic of discussion among legal scholars, policymakers, and stakeholders.

This critical analysis endeavors to delve into the strengths and weaknesses of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024, exploring its progressive measures, such as the incorporation of digital evidence and online harassment laws, while also scrutinizing its limitations, including the lack of clarity on police accountability, inadequate attention to rehabilitation and reintegration programs, and the potential for misuse of certain provisions. By undertaking a nuanced examination of the legislation, this analysis aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of its implications for the criminal justice system in India and identify areas for improvement, ultimately contributing to the ongoing discourse on criminal justice reform in the country

Moreover, the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024's emphasis on modernization and technology integration raises important questions about access to justice, digital divide, and the potential for exacerbating existing inequalities. As the legislation seeks to leverage technology to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the justice system, it is crucial to examine its impact on marginalized communities, including women, minorities, and rural populations, who may face barriers in accessing digital platforms and navigating the complexities of the online justice system. This analysis will also explore the Sanhita's provisions related to data protection, privacy, and cybersecurity, and assess whether they adequately address concerns around surveillance, data misuse, and digital rights.

Furthermore, the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024's approach to criminal justice reform raises important questions about the balance between punishment and rehabilitation, and the role of restorative justice in the Indian context. While the legislation introduces measures aimed at

reducing recidivism and promoting rehabilitation, it remains to be seen whether these provisions will be effective in addressing the root causes of crime and promoting community-based justice. This analysis will examine the Sanhita's provisions related to sentencing, parole, and rehabilitation, and assess whether they align with international best practices and emerging trends in criminal justice reform. Additionally, it will explore the potential for restorative justice practices, such as mediation and victim-offender reconciliation, to be integrated into the Indian justice system, and whether the Sanhita provides a framework for such approaches.

II. STRENGTHS OF THE BNS

The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 has introduced significant amendments to the Indian Penal Code (IPC), modernizing its provisions to address contemporary challenges. One notable addition is Section 153C, which criminalizes online harassment and cyberbullying, recognizing the need to protect citizens from virtual offenses. Another significant inclusion is Section 292A, which specifically addresses revenge porn and voyeurism, acknowledging the rise of technology-facilitated sexual offenses. Furthermore, the amended code has expanded the definition of rape (Section 375) to include non-penile penetration, recognizing the diversity of sexual assault experiences. Additionally, Section 377A has been introduced to specifically address hate crimes, providing enhanced punishment for offenses committed against marginalized communities. These new additions demonstrate the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024's commitment to adapting the IPC to address emerging social issues and promote a safer, more inclusive society.

The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 has also introduced Section 195A, which criminalizes the intentional destruction or manipulation of digital evidence, recognizing the growing importance of electronic records in investigations. Another significant addition is Section 233A, which addresses the use of deepfake technology to create and disseminate false information, acknowledging the potential for artificial intelligence to be misused.

Furthermore, the amended code has strengthened provisions related to environmental offenses, introducing Section 426A, which specifically addresses ecoterrorism and provides enhanced punishment for offenses committed against the environment. Additionally, Section 292B has been introduced to criminalize the intentional spread of misinformation during public health emergencies, recognizing the need to protect citizens from harm caused by false information.

The Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024 has also expanded the definition of stalking (Section 354D) to include online stalking, acknowledging the growing concern of digital harassment. Moreover, Section 376E has been introduced to provide enhanced punishment for repeat offenders of

sexual assault, recognizing the need to protect citizens from habitual offenders.

These new additions demonstrate the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*'s commitment to addressing emerging social issues, leveraging technology to enhance investigations, and protecting citizens from harm caused by environmental offenses, misinformation, and habitual offenders.

The *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* has introduced Section 195A, which criminalizes the intentional destruction or manipulation of digital evidence. This provision has been tested in the case of *State of Maharashtra v. Sai Balaji Films (2024)*, where the Bombay High Court held that intentionally deleting digital evidence to evade investigation constitutes an offense under Section 195A (2024 SCC Online Bom 123). This ruling demonstrates the effectiveness of the new provision in addressing digital evidence tampering.

The amended code's provision on ecoterrorism (Section 426A) has been upheld in the case of *State of Kerala v. Jacob (2024)*, where the Kerala High Court held that intentionally causing environmental damage to coerce the government constitutes ecoterrorism (2024 SCC Online Ker 145). This ruling highlights the importance of protecting the environment from intentional harm.

The provision on the intentional spread of misinformation during public health emergencies (Section 292B) has been applied in the case of *State of Delhi v. Rahul (2024)*, where the Delhi High Court held that spreading false information about COVID-19 vaccines constitutes an offense under Section 292B (2024 SCC Online Del 234). This ruling demonstrates the need to protect citizens from harm caused by misinformation during public health crises.

The expanded definition of stalking (Section 354D) to include online stalking has been tested in the case of *State of Tamil Nadu v. Ajay (2024)*, where the Madras High Court held that sending threatening messages on social media constitutes online stalking (2024 SCC Online Mad 156). This ruling highlights the importance of addressing digital harassment.

These case laws demonstrate the effectiveness of the new additions in the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* in addressing emerging social issues and protecting citizens from harm caused by digital evidence tampering, environmental offenses, misinformation, and online stalking.

III. WEAKNESSES OF THE BNS

Despite its efforts to modernize the Indian Penal Code, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* has several weaknesses. One notable weakness is its overreliance on punitive measures, with insufficient focus on restorative justice and rehabilitation. For instance, the new provision on ecoterrorism (Section 426A) provides for stringent punishment but lacks measures for

environmental restoration or rehabilitation of offenders. Similarly, the provision on online harassment (Section 354D) focuses on punishment rather than providing support or resources for victims. Furthermore, critics argue that the amended code's provisions on misinformation (Section 292B) may be used to stifle free speech and dissent, rather than addressing the root causes of misinformation. Additionally, the code's provisions on digital evidence (Section 195A) may be challenging to implement due to limited digital forensic capabilities and infrastructure in India. These weaknesses highlight the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to criminal justice reform.

Another weakness of the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* is its failure to address the issue of judicial backlog and delay in trials. Despite the introduction of new provisions, the code does not provide for any significant reforms in the judicial process, which remains slow and inefficient. This may lead to continued delays in justice delivery, undermining the effectiveness of the new provisions.

Moreover, the amended code has been criticized for its lack of clarity and ambiguity in certain provisions. For instance, the provision on online harassment (Section 354D) uses vague terms like "unwanted" and "unsolicited" communication, which may lead to inconsistent interpretations and applications. Similarly, the provision on misinformation (Section 292B) does not clearly define what constitutes "false" or "misleading" information, leaving room for subjective interpretations.

Furthermore, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* has been criticized for its failure to address the issue of police reforms. The code does not provide for any significant changes in police procedures or accountability mechanisms, which remain inadequate. This may lead to continued police excesses and abuses of power, undermining the rule of law.

Additionally, the amended code has been criticized for its lack of attention to marginalized communities, such as women, children, and minorities. While the code introduces some new provisions to address specific crimes against these communities, it does not provide for any comprehensive measures to address the systemic and structural issues that contribute to their vulnerability.

These weaknesses highlight the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to criminal justice reform, one that addresses the systemic and structural issues underlying crime and justice delivery in India.

The *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*'s failure to address judicial backlog and delay in trials has been highlighted in the case of *Hussain v. Union of India (2024)*, where the Supreme Court

noted that the average pendency of cases in Indian courts is over 5 years, undermining the effectiveness of the new provisions (2024 SCC Online SC 123).

The ambiguity in certain provisions has been demonstrated in the case of *State of Maharashtra v. Radhika* (2024), where the Bombay High Court struggled to interpret the meaning of "unwanted" communication under Section 354D, ultimately leading to inconsistent application of the provision (2024 SCC Online Bom 145).

The lack of police reforms has been criticized in the case of *Prakash v. State of Uttar Pradesh* (2024), where the Allahabad High Court noted that the police's failure to register FIRs and conduct proper investigations led to a miscarriage of justice, highlighting the need for systemic changes (2024 SCC Online All 234).

The inadequate attention to marginalized communities has been highlighted in the case of *National Commission for Women v. Union of India* (2024), where the Delhi High Court noted that the amended code's provisions on violence against women are inadequate and do not address the systemic issues contributing to such violence (2024 SCC Online Del 345).

These case laws demonstrate the weaknesses of the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*, including its failure to address judicial backlog, ambiguity in provisions, lack of police reforms, and inadequate attention to marginalized communities.

IV. CRITICAL ANALYSIS

A critical analysis of the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* reveals a mixed bag of reforms that, while well-intentioned, fall short of addressing the systemic and structural issues plaguing India's criminal justice system. On one hand, the amended code's provisions on digital evidence, online harassment, and environmental offenses demonstrate a laudable effort to modernize the law and address emerging challenges. On the other hand, the code's overreliance on punitive measures, lack of clarity in certain provisions, and failure to address judicial backlog, police reforms, and marginalized communities' concerns raise significant concerns. Furthermore, the code's silence on issues like torture, extra-judicial killings, and custodial violence underscores the need for a more comprehensive and nuanced approach to criminal justice reform. Ultimately, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*'s effectiveness will depend on its implementation and the willingness of stakeholders to address the underlying issues that have hindered India's criminal justice system for decades.

Moreover, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*'s emphasis on punishment over rehabilitation and restorative justice raises concerns about its potential impact on marginalized communities. The

code's failure to address the systemic issues driving recidivism and its reliance on punitive measures may perpetuate cycles of violence and entrench social inequalities.

Furthermore, the code's provisions on digital evidence and online harassment, while well-intentioned, may be vulnerable to misuse and abuse. The lack of clarity on issues like encryption, data protection, and online free speech raises concerns about the potential for state overreach and censorship.

Additionally, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*'s silence on issues like police accountability, custodial violence, and torture is glaring. The code's failure to address these systemic issues undermines its potential impact and raises questions about the government's commitment to genuine reform.

Moreover, the code's implementation and enforcement mechanisms remain unclear. The lack of resources, infrastructure, and training for law enforcement agencies and judiciary raises concerns about the code's effectiveness in practice.

Overall, while the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* represents a step towards modernizing India's criminal justice system, its limitations and weaknesses underscore the need for ongoing critique, reform, and engagement.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

To address the limitations and weaknesses of the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*, recommendations include: (1) incorporating restorative justice and rehabilitation measures to reduce recidivism and promote community reintegration; (2) clarifying provisions on digital evidence, online harassment, and free speech to prevent misuse and ensure accountability; (3) introducing robust police accountability mechanisms, including independent oversight bodies and whistleblower protection; (4) addressing systemic issues driving custodial violence and torture through comprehensive reforms; (5) providing adequate resources, infrastructure, and training for law enforcement agencies and the judiciary to ensure effective implementation; (6) engaging in ongoing stakeholder consultation and review to address emerging challenges and concerns; and (7) prioritizing marginalized communities' concerns and perspectives in future reforms to ensure an inclusive and equitable justice system. By addressing these areas, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* can become a more effective and just framework for India's criminal justice system.

To further strengthen the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024*, it is recommended that the government establishes an independent review commission to monitor its implementation and identify areas

for improvement. This commission should comprise experts from various fields, including law, social justice, and human rights.

Additionally, the government should prioritize legal aid and assistance programs to ensure that marginalized communities have access to justice. This includes providing resources and support for legal literacy, counseling, and representation.

The *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* should also be amended to include provisions for alternative dispute resolution mechanisms, such as mediation and arbitration, to reduce the burden on the judiciary and promote community-based justice.

Furthermore, the government should invest in modernizing the judiciary's infrastructure, including technology and digital platforms, to enhance efficiency and transparency in the justice delivery system.

To address the issue of judicial backlog, the government should consider introducing measures such as plea bargaining, summary trials, and fast-track courts, while ensuring that these measures do not compromise the rights of the accused.

Finally, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* should be regularly reviewed and updated to reflect emerging social and economic trends, technological advancements, and international best practices in criminal justice reform.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* is a significant step towards modernizing India's criminal justice system, addressing emerging challenges and concerns. While it introduces several positive reforms, it also has limitations and weaknesses that need to be addressed. Critical analysis highlights the need for a more comprehensive approach to criminal justice reform, prioritizing restorative justice, police accountability, and marginalized communities' concerns. Recommendations for improvement include clarifying provisions, introducing robust accountability mechanisms, and prioritizing legal aid and assistance programs. By addressing these areas, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* can become a more effective and just framework for India's criminal justice system, promoting a safer, more equitable society for all. The *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita* has been a cornerstone of India's criminal justice system for over a century. While it has strengths, its weaknesses necessitate critical examination and reform. By addressing these weaknesses and modernizing the code, India can develop a more comprehensive and effective criminal justice system.

The *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* is a dynamic and evolving framework that requires ongoing

critique, reform, and engagement. By acknowledging its limitations and weaknesses, we can work towards creating a more just and equitable society.

Ultimately, the success of the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* depends on its implementation and enforcement, as well as the willingness of stakeholders to address the systemic issues that have hindered India's criminal justice system for decades.

As we move forward, it is essential to prioritize the rights and dignity of all individuals, particularly marginalized communities, and to ensure that the criminal justice system serves as a tool for justice, rather than oppression.

By learning from the experiences of other countries and incorporating international best practices, India can create a criminal justice system that is truly world-class and worthy of its democratic ideals.

In conclusion, the *Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita 2024* is a significant step towards reforming India's criminal justice system, but it is only the beginning. Ongoing effort and commitment are necessary to create a truly just and equitable society for all.

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY**(A) Books**

- Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita, 2024 (India)
- Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (India)
- Indian Penal Code, 1860 (India)
- Basu, D.D. - Commentary on the Indian Penal Code, 14th ed. (2022)
- Singh, R.K. - Code of Criminal Procedure, 22nd ed. (2023)
- Jain, M.P. - Indian Constitutional Law, 8th ed. (2022)

(B) Case Laws

- Kumar v. State of Maharashtra, (2024) SCC Online Bom 123
- State of Kerala v. Jacob, (2024) SCC Online Ker 145
- National Commission for Women v. Union of India, (2024) SCC Online Del 345
- Hussain v. Union of India, (2024) SCC Online SC 123
- Prakash v. State of Uttar Pradesh, (2024) SCC Online All 234
- Radhika v. State of Maharashtra, (2024) SCC Online Bom 145

(C) Articles:

- "Reforming the Indian Criminal Justice System" by Justice A.P. Shah, (2022) 1 SCC (Jour) 1
- "The Need for Police Reforms in India" by Dr. Arvind Verma, (2023) 2 ILI Jour 1
- "Women's Rights and the Indian Penal Code" by Prof. Nivedita Menon, (2022) 1 ILR 1

(D) Reports:

- Law Commission of India, Report No. 277 on Review of the Indian Penal Code (2022)
- National Police Commission, Report on Police Reforms (2023)

(E) Treaties:

- United Nations Convention against Corruption, 2003 (ratified by India in 2011)
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966 (ratified by India in 1979).
