Page 3909 - 3931 **DOI:** *https://doij.org/10.10000/IJLMH.1111982*

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES

[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 9 | Issue 2

2026

© 2026 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: https://www.ijlmh.com/

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/)

This article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact **support@vidhiaagaz.com**.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to **submission@ijlmh.com.**

A Critical Study on use of Computers and AI in Legal Research and Ethics

VEDALA ARAVINDA ROHINI[1] AND DR. PARTHA SAROTHI RAKSHIT[2]

ABSTRACT

The rapid integration of computers and artificial intelligence (AI) into legal research has significantly transformed the manner in which legal professional access, analyse, and interpret information. Traditional legal research, once dependent on manual reading and case law compilation, has now evolved into a technology-driven process characterized by speed, efficiency, and enhanced data accessibility. AI-powered tools are capable of processing vast volumes of legal data, identifying patterns, predicting case outcomes, and assisting in drafting legal documents. While these advancements have improved productivity, they also raise critical ethical concerns that cannot be overlooked. This study critically examines the role of computers and AI in reshaping legal research practices, with particular emphasis on issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, accountability, and the potential erosion of professional judgment. The reliance on automated systems may lead to overdependence, thereby diminishing the analytical skills of legal practitioners. Additionally, the opaque nature of certain AI algorithms challenges the principles of transparency and fairness that form the foundation of legal ethics. The paper further explores whether existing legal and ethical frameworks are adequate to regulate the use of AI in the legal domain. It argues for a balanced approach that integrates technological innovation with ethical responsibility, ensuring that the human element remains central to legal decision-making. Ultimately, the study highlights the need for clear guidelines, regulatory oversight, and ethical awareness to ensure that technology serves as a tool for justice rather than a source of new challenges.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Legal Research, Legal Ethics, Algorithmic Bias, Accountability.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Legal research has long been regarded as the backbone of the legal profession, requiring careful analysis, interpretation, and application of legal principles derived from statutes, judicial decisions, and academic writings. Traditionally, this process involved extensive manual effort,

[1] Author is an Assistant Professor at Vignan Institute of Law, VFSTR, Vadlamudi, Andhra Pradesh, India.
[2] Author is a Research Supervisor at Vignan Institute of Law, VFSTR, Vadlamudi, Andhra Pradesh, India.

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

including the consultation of printed law reports, commentaries, and journals. However, with the advent of computers and the rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI), legal research has undergone a profound transformation. The integration of technology has not only improved efficiency but has also altered the way legal professionals approach problem-solving and decision-making.

Artificial intelligence, in its broadest sense, refers to the capability of machines to perform tasks that typically require human intelligence, such as reasoning, learning, and analysis. In the legal domain, AI technologies particularly machine learning and natural language processing are increasingly being used to simplify complex research processes. These tools can analyse vast amounts of legal data in a fraction of the time required by traditional methods, thereby enabling lawyers and researchers to access relevant information more effectively.[3]As a result, legal research has become more structured, accessible, and time-efficient.

The growing adoption of AI in legal practice reflects a shift towards data-driven decision-making. AI-powered systems are now used not only for legal research but also for drafting documents, reviewing contracts, and even predicting case outcomes. Such advancements have contributed to increased productivity and consistency in legal work.[4]The Woxsen University White Paper on AI in legal decision-making further highlights that these technologies have the potential to enhance the quality of legal analysis by identifying patterns and insights that may not be immediately apparent to human researchers.[5]This demonstrates how AI is gradually becoming an indispensable tool in the legal field.

Despite these advantages, the use of computers and AI in legal research raises several critical ethical concerns. Issues relating to data privacy and confidentiality are particularly significant, given that legal work often involves sensitive information. The reliance on AI systems also introduces the risk of algorithmic bias, where decisions may be influenced by flawed or biased data. Furthermore, the lack of transparency in certain AI models makes it difficult to understand the reasoning behind automated outputs, thereby challenging the principles of fairness and accountability that are fundamental to the legal system.[6]

---

[3] Rashmi Dubey & Saloni Jain, *Artificial Intelligence and Its Legal Implication* (2023), available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/371868180_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Its_Legal_Implication.

[4] Samuel Maireg Biresaw, *The Impacts of Artificial Intelligence on Research in the Legal Profession* (2022), available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/358187814_The_Impacts_of_Artificial_Intelligence_on_Research_in_the_Legal_Profession.

[5] Woxsen University, *Exploring the Use of AI in Legal Decision-Making: Benefits and Ethical Implications* (White Paper), available at: https://woxsen.edu.in/research/white-papers/exploring-the-use-of-ai-in-legal-decision-making-benefits-and-ethical-implications/.

[6] Md Wasim Ahmed, *Artificial Intelligence and Legal Ethics* (2024), available at:

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

Another important concern is the potential impact of AI on the professional role of legal practitioners. While AI can assist in improving efficiency, excessive dependence on such technologies may reduce the scope for independent thinking and critical analysis. Legal reasoning is inherently interpretative and value-based, requiring human judgment that cannot be entirely replaced by automated systems. Therefore, it is essential to ensure that AI is used as a supportive tool rather than a substitute for human expertise.

In this context, a critical examination of the use of computers and artificial intelligence in legal research becomes necessary. This study seeks to analyse both the benefits and limitations of these technologies, with a particular focus on the ethical challenges they present. It also aims to evaluate the adequacy of existing legal and regulatory frameworks in addressing these concerns and to suggest measures for ensuring responsible and ethical use of AI in the legal domain.

The intersection of technology and legal research has been the subject of growing academic attention, particularly with the emergence of artificial intelligence. Early scholarship on computer-assisted legal research primarily focused on the digitisation of legal materials and the efficiency gains achieved through platforms such as LexisNexis and Westlaw. Authors such as Susskind have argued that technology has fundamentally altered the structure of legal services by shifting from labour-intensive research methods to automated processes.[7]

Subsequent studies expanded this discussion to include the role of artificial intelligence in legal reasoning. Surden highlights that machine learning systems are capable of identifying patterns in large datasets, thereby enhancing predictive capabilities in legal analysis.[8]Similarly, Ashley explores the application of AI in modelling legal arguments and reasoning, emphasising both its potential and its limitations.[9]These works collectively demonstrate that AI is not merely a tool for information retrieval but also an emerging participant in analytical processes.

At the same time, a significant body of literature raises ethical concerns regarding the use of AI in the legal domain. Scholars such as Pasquale have drawn attention to the problem of algorithmic opacity, often described as the "black box" nature of AI systems, which undermines transparency and accountability.[10]In the Indian context, discussions have focused on the adequacy of existing legal frameworks, particularly the Information Technology Act, 2000, in addressing issues such as data protection and automated decision-making.[11]

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386891922_Artificial_Intelligence_and_Legal_Ethics.

[7] Richard Susskind, *Tomorrow's Lawyers: An Introduction to Your Future* (2nd edn, OUP 2017).

[8] Harry Surden, 'Machine Learning and Law' (2014) 89 Washington Law Review 87.

[9] Kevin D Ashley, *Artificial Intelligence and Legal Analytics* (CUP 2017).

[10] Frank Pasquale, *The Black Box Society* (Harvard University Press 2015).

[11] Information Technology Act, 2000 (India).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

Despite these contributions, there remains a gap in the literature with respect to a comprehensive analysis that combines technological, ethical, and regulatory perspectives in the context of legal research. This study seeks to address that gap by critically examining both the benefits and challenges of integrating computers and artificial intelligence into legal research practices.

This study is based on a **doctrinal method of research**, which involves a systematic analysis of existing legal materials and scholarly writings. The research primarily relies on secondary sources, including books, peer-reviewed journal articles, legal databases, government reports, and policy documents relating to artificial intelligence and legal research.

Significance of the Study

The present study is significant in light of the increasing reliance on technology in the legal profession. The integration of computers and artificial intelligence has transformed legal research, making it faster and more accessible, but it has also introduced complex ethical and regulatory concerns that require careful examination.

One of the key contributions of this study lies in its attempt to provide a balanced analysis of both the advantages and risks associated with AI in legal research. While existing literature often focuses either on technological advancements or ethical concerns, this study brings these dimensions together in a unified framework.

The study is also relevant from a policy perspective, particularly in the Indian context where a comprehensive legal framework governing artificial intelligence is still evolving. By identifying gaps in existing laws and highlighting the need for regulatory reforms, the research contributes to ongoing discussions on technology governance.

Further, the study holds practical significance for legal professionals and students by emphasising the importance of maintaining human judgment and ethical responsibility in an increasingly automated environment. It reinforces the idea that technology should serve as an aid to legal reasoning rather than a substitute for it.

Limitations of the Study

While this study attempts to provide a comprehensive analysis, it is subject to certain limitations.

Firstly, the research is primarily based on **secondary sources**, and therefore its findings depend on the accuracy and scope of existing literature. The absence of empirical data, such as interviews or surveys with legal practitioners, limits the ability to assess the practical impact of AI in real-world legal settings.

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

Secondly, the study adopts a largely doctrinal approach, focusing on legal and ethical analysis rather than technical aspects of artificial intelligence. As a result, issues relating to algorithm design and system architecture have not been examined in detail.

Thirdly, although the study includes a comparative perspective, the analysis of foreign jurisdictions is limited and does not provide an exhaustive examination of global practices. A broader empirical comparison could offer deeper insights into regulatory approaches.

Finally, the rapid pace of technological development presents an inherent limitation. Artificial intelligence is an evolving field, and future advancements may significantly alter the legal and ethical landscape, requiring continuous review and reassessment.

II. EVOLUTION OF LEGAL RESEARCH AND ROLE OF COMPUTERS

The development of legal research reflects a broader transformation in the way knowledge itself is accessed and applied. What was once a slow and physically demanding process has gradually evolved into a system supported by digital tools and intelligent technologies. The introduction of computers into the legal field has not merely improved efficiency; it has reshaped the very approach to legal reasoning and information retrieval. While this shift has made legal research faster and more accessible, it has also introduced new concerns relating to cost, reliability, and ethical use of technology.[12]

For a long period, legal research was entirely dependent on physical sources and manual effort. Lawyers, judges, and scholars relied heavily on well-maintained law libraries, where legal materials were stored in the form of printed books, journals, and reports. Research involved identifying relevant statutes and precedents through careful reading and cross-referencing.

The process was largely based on the use of digests, indexes, and citators, which required a high level of skill and patience. A researcher needed to understand how legal materials were organised in order to locate relevant information. This method, often described as doctrinal or "black letter" research, focused on analysing existing laws rather than exploring empirical or interdisciplinary aspects.[13]Despite its depth and reliability, traditional research had several limitations. It was time-consuming and often restricted by the availability of physical resources. Updating information was particularly challenging, as newly decided cases or amended laws could take time to appear in printed form. As a result, there was always a risk of relying on outdated or incomplete information, especially in urgent matters.[14]

[12] IJRAR, *Role of Technology in Legal Research* (2020).
[13] iPleaders, *Doctrinal and Non-Doctrinal Research* (2021).
[14] De Facto Law Journal, *Traditional vs Modern Legal Research Methods* (2022).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

The entry of computers into the legal field marked the beginning of a significant transition. Initially, computers were used for basic administrative functions such as document preparation and storage. Early tools like dictation machines and word processors simplified the drafting process and reduced dependence on manual typing.[15]A major breakthrough came with the development of Computer-Assisted Legal Research (CALR) systems in the 1970s. Platforms such as LEXIS and WESTLAW enabled legal professionals to retrieve information electronically rather than relying solely on printed materials. This shift from physical to digital sources marked a turning point in legal research methodology.[16]

In India, the process of computerisation gained momentum in the 1990s with initiatives aimed at modernising the judicial system. The introduction of electronic filing systems and online case status tracking reduced delays and improved access to information for both lawyers and litigants. These developments laid the foundation for a more technology-driven legal environment.[17]

The emergence of online legal databases further strengthened the role of technology in legal research. These databases brought together a wide range of legal materials, including case law, statutes, regulations, and academic writings, into a single, searchable platform.

Global platforms such as LexisNexis and Westlaw played a pioneering role in digitising legal information and making it widely accessible. Over time, similar platforms were developed in India, such as Manupatra and SCC Online, which cater specifically to Indian legal materials.[18]One of the most significant advantages of these databases is their ability to provide updated information in real time. Unlike printed sources, which may quickly become outdated, online platforms are continuously updated, ensuring that users have access to the latest legal developments. In recent years, these databases have also incorporated advanced features, including AI-based search tools and legal analytics, further enhancing their usefulness.[19]

Digital libraries and electronic resources have transformed the way legal information is stored and accessed. They have reduced the dependence on physical infrastructure and made legal materials available beyond the boundaries of traditional libraries.

Today, legal professionals and students can access a wide range of resources, including e-books, journals, case databases, and government portals, from any location. Platforms such as e-courts

[15] Prism Legal, *History of Legal Technology* (2019).
[16] Zapproved, *History of Technology in Legal Field* (2020).
[17] Commonwealth Secretariat, *Use of Technology in Courts* (2018).
[18] ResearchGate, *LexisNexis and Westlaw as Legal Tools* (2023).
[19] IJCRT, *Evolution of Legal Databases* (2021).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

services and open-access legal websites have made legal information more inclusive and widely available.[20]In academic settings, digital libraries have become an essential tool for teaching and research. They allow institutions to provide updated materials without the need for constant physical expansion. Additionally, digital storage ensures long-term preservation of legal documents, making it easier to retrieve historical information when required.[21]

The adoption of computer-assisted legal research has brought several practical benefits. One of the most noticeable advantages is the speed with which information can be retrieved. Tasks that previously required hours or even days can now be completed within minutes.

Another important benefit is accuracy. Digital search tools allow users to locate specific information using keywords, phrases, or citations, thereby improving the precision of research. Moreover, the availability of updated databases reduces the risk of relying on outdated laws or overruled judgments.[22]Computer-based research has also contributed to cost efficiency in the long run. Although subscription costs for databases may be high, they reduce the need for purchasing and maintaining large volumes of printed materials. Additionally, the flexibility of accessing information remotely has given rise to new forms of legal practice, including online consultations and e-lawyering.[23]

Despite its many advantages, the use of computers in legal research is not without challenges. One of the key issues is the dependence on correct search techniques. Since digital systems rely on keywords, incorrect or incomplete search terms may lead to missing important information.

Another concern is the high cost associated with premium legal databases, which may not be affordable for smaller practitioners or institutions. This creates a gap in access to quality legal resources.[24]The abundance of information available online can also become a challenge, as researchers may struggle to identify the most relevant materials from a large volume of results. In addition, reliance on technology exposes users to risks such as system failures, cyber threats, and data breaches.[25]

Finally, certain historical or specialised materials may not be fully digitised, limiting the scope of research in specific areas. These challenges highlight the need for a balanced approach, where technology is used effectively without undermining the critical skills of legal professionals.

[20] NLU Delhi, *Access to Legal Information in Digital Age* (2015).
[21] LawWeb, *Digital Transformation in Legal Education* (2025).
[22] CreateProgress, *AI in Legal Research Accessibility* (2023).
[23] Scribd, *E-Lawyering and Use of Computers* (2020).
[24] IJRAR, *Challenges in Digital Legal Research* (2020).
[25] ResearchGate, *Legal Research and Evolving Technology* (2022).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

III. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN LEGAL RESEARCH

The integration of artificial intelligence into the legal field represents a major turning point in the evolution of legal research. Earlier technological developments, such as computers and digital databases, primarily focused on improving storage and retrieval of legal information. However, artificial intelligence goes a step further by enabling systems not only to access information but also to analyse, interpret, and generate insights from it. This shift has transformed legal research from a largely manual and time-intensive process into a more dynamic and technology-driven activity.

In practical terms, AI has altered the way legal professionals approach their work. Instead of spending long hours searching through volumes of case law, lawyers can now rely on intelligent systems that quickly identify relevant materials. This has significantly reduced the time required for research and has allowed professionals to focus more on analysis and argumentation. At the same time, this transformation has raised important questions about the role of technology in legal reasoning and the extent to which it should influence decision-making.

Artificial intelligence, in its broadest sense, refers to the ability of machines to perform tasks that would normally require human intelligence. These tasks include reasoning, learning from experience, recognising patterns, and making decisions. In the context of legal research, AI involves the use of sophisticated algorithms and computational models to process large volumes of legal data. These systems are designed to interpret legal texts, identify relationships between cases, and assist in drawing conclusions based on available information.

The functioning of AI systems is largely based on techniques such as machine learning and natural language processing. Machine learning allows systems to improve their performance over time by analysing data and identifying patterns. Natural language processing, on the other hand, enables machines to understand and interpret human language, including complex legal terminology. Together, these technologies allow AI tools to handle legal materials in a structured and meaningful way.

The increasing reliance on AI in legal research reflects a broader trend towards automation and digital transformation in professional fields. However, while AI offers numerous advantages, it also presents challenges that must be carefully addressed. The legal profession, being closely linked to justice and fairness, cannot rely solely on automated systems without considering their ethical and practical implications.[26]

[26] SSRN, *Artificial Intelligence in Legal Research and Practice* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

Artificial intelligence in legal research is not a single, uniform system but a combination of different technologies, each designed to perform specific functions. The type of AI used often depends on the nature of the task and the complexity of the legal problem involved.

One of the earliest forms of AI used in law is the rule-based system. These systems operate on predefined rules and logical structures. For example, a rule-based system may be programmed to identify whether certain legal conditions are satisfied based on the facts provided. While such systems are useful for structured tasks, they are limited in their ability to adapt to new or complex situations. They lack the flexibility required to handle ambiguous or evolving legal issues.

Machine learning represents a more advanced form of AI. Unlike rule-based systems, machine learning models are not limited to predefined instructions. Instead, they learn from data and improve their performance over time. In legal research, machine learning is particularly useful for analysing large datasets, identifying patterns in case law, and predicting outcomes based on historical trends. This makes it a powerful tool for tasks such as case analysis and risk assessment.

Natural language processing plays a crucial role in enabling AI systems to interact with legal texts. Legal language is often complex and nuanced, making it difficult for traditional systems to interpret. NLP allows AI tools to understand the meaning of legal documents, extract relevant information, and present it in a simplified manner. This has made legal research more accessible, especially for those who may not have extensive experience in handling legal materials.

In recent years, there has been a move towards integrating multiple AI techniques into a single system. These hybrid models combine rule-based logic, machine learning, and NLP to provide more accurate and context-sensitive results. Such systems are capable of handling complex legal queries and offering insights that are closer to human reasoning.[27]

Case law analysis is one of the most important aspects of legal research, and AI has brought significant improvements in this area. Traditionally, lawyers had to read through numerous judgments to identify relevant precedents, a process that was both time-consuming and prone to oversight. AI tools have simplified this process by automating many of these tasks.

Modern AI systems are capable of scanning large volumes of case law and identifying relevant judgments based on specific queries. They can summarise lengthy decisions, highlight key legal

[27] ResearchGate, *AI in Legal Research Tools* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

principles, and provide insights into how a case has been interpreted in subsequent rulings. This allows legal professionals to quickly understand the significance of a case without having to read it in its entirety.

Another important feature of AI tools is citation analysis. These systems can track how a particular case has been cited in other judgments, helping researchers understand its authority and relevance. This is particularly useful in identifying whether a case has been followed, distinguished, or overruled.

AI tools also reduce the likelihood of missing important precedents. By analysing large datasets, they can identify connections between cases that may not be immediately apparent. This enhances the quality of legal research and ensures that arguments are supported by comprehensive evidence.[28]

Predictive analytics represents one of the most advanced applications of AI in the legal field. It involves using data and algorithms to forecast the likely outcome of legal disputes. By analysing patterns in past cases, AI systems can provide insights into how similar cases have been decided.

These tools consider various factors, including judicial behaviour, case characteristics, and historical trends. Based on this analysis, they generate predictions about the possible outcome of a case. This can be particularly useful for lawyers in developing strategies and advising clients.

However, predictive analytics must be used with caution. Legal decisions are influenced by a wide range of factors, including the specific facts of the case and the discretion of the judge. These elements cannot always be accurately captured by algorithms. Therefore, while predictive tools can provide useful guidance, they should not be treated as definitive.

There is also a risk that excessive reliance on predictive analytics may lead to a mechanical approach to legal practice. Lawyers may focus more on predicted outcomes rather than engaging in independent analysis. This could undermine the creativity and critical thinking that are essential in legal reasoning.[29]

The use of AI in legal drafting has brought a new level of efficiency and consistency to the preparation of legal documents. Automated systems can generate contracts, agreements, and other documents based on predefined templates and user inputs. This has significantly reduced the time required for drafting and has minimised the risk of errors. AI tools can also assist in reviewing documents by identifying inconsistencies, missing clauses, and potential risks. This

[28]Maruti Tech, *Use Cases of AI in Legal Research* (2023).
[29] GAP Interdisciplinary, *AI in Legal Research and Case Prediction* (2025).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

is particularly useful in complex transactions, where accuracy is critical.

Despite these advantages, legal drafting is not merely a mechanical process. It often requires interpretation, judgment, and an understanding of context. AI systems may not fully capture these nuances, making human supervision essential. Lawyers must ensure that the documents generated by AI tools are accurate, appropriate, and tailored to the specific needs of the client.[30]

The adoption of AI in legal research offers several important benefits. One of the most significant advantages is efficiency. AI systems can process large volumes of information in a very short time, allowing legal professionals to complete research tasks more quickly. Another benefit is accuracy. AI tools are capable of identifying relevant information with a high degree of precision, reducing the chances of missing important materials. This improves the overall quality of legal research and strengthens legal arguments.

AI also enhances accessibility. By simplifying complex legal processes, it makes legal information more accessible to a wider audience. This can contribute to greater inclusivity in the legal system, particularly for individuals who may not have access to extensive resources. In addition, AI supports better decision-making by providing data-dri ven insights. By analysing patterns and trends, it helps legal professionals make more informed choices. Overall, AI serves as a valuable tool in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of legal practice.[31]

While AI offers numerous advantages, it also presents certain risks that must be carefully managed. One of the primary concerns is overdependence. If legal professionals rely too heavily on AI tools, they may fail to critically evaluate the results generated by these systems. Another issue is the quality of data used to train AI systems. If the data contains errors or biases, the outputs produced by AI may also be flawed. This can lead to inaccurate or unfair outcomes, particularly in sensitive legal matters.

The lack of transparency in some AI systems is another concern. Often referred to as the “black box” problem, this issue arises when it is difficult to understand how a system has arrived at a particular conclusion. This can undermine trust in AI tools and make it challenging to justify decisions based on their outputs. Data security is also a significant concern. Legal information is often confidential, and any breach of data can have serious consequences. Ensuring the security of digital systems is therefore essential.

The below comparison demonstrates that while artificial intelligence has significantly enhanced efficiency and data analysis, it cannot replace the role of the researcher. Human judgment

[30] ResearchGate, *AI and Legal Documentation* (2024).
[31] SSRN, *Benefits of AI in Legal Practice* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

remains essential, particularly in interpreting legal data and ensuring ethical compliance.

Aspect	Pre-Computer Era (Manual Research)	Post-Computer Era (Digital Research)	AI-Driven Era (Modern Research)
Data Collection	Collected manually from books, law reports, and journals by the researcher	Accessed through online databases and digital libraries	Large datasets processed automatically by AI tools
Role of Researcher	Central role in searching, selecting, and analysing materials	Researcher guides search using keywords and filters	Researcher supervises AI outputs and verifies accuracy
Speed & Efficiency	Time-consuming and labour-intensive	Faster due to digital access	Highly efficient with rapid data processing
Analysis of Data	Done entirely by researcher through reading and interpretation	Supported by digital tools and search functions	AI assists in identifying patterns, trends, and connections
Use of Tools	No technological tools; reliance on physical sources	Use of computers, legal databases (e.g., SCC, Manupatra)	Use of AI tools for analytics, predictions, and document review
Reliability	High, based on direct human judgment	Generally reliable but depends on search accuracy	Cannot be blindly relied upon; requires human verification
Presentation of Data	Written explanations and manual summaries	Digital formatting and structured documents	Can generate graphs, charts, and visual data (e.g., pie charts, analytics)
Interpretation	Entirely by researcher	Primarily by researcher with digital support	Final interpretation must be done by the researcher
Ethical Responsibility	Fully on researcher	On researcher using digital tools responsibly	Increased responsibility due to risks of bias and data misuse

Source: Compiled by Researcher

In conclusion, while AI has the potential to transform legal research, it must be used with

caution. Human judgment remains central to the legal profession, and technology should be seen as a tool to support, rather than replace, human expertise.[32]

IV. ETHICAL ISSUES IN THE USE OF AI AND COMPUTERS

The increasing reliance on artificial intelligence and computer-based systems in legal research has undoubtedly transformed the functioning of the legal profession. What was once a field dominated by manual effort and human reasoning is now increasingly supported by automated tools capable of analysing vast amounts of data in a short period of time. While these developments have improved efficiency and accessibility, they have also introduced a range of ethical concerns that cannot be ignored.

Law is not merely a technical discipline concerned with rules and procedures; it is deeply rooted in values such as fairness, justice, and equality. The introduction of AI into this domain raises important questions about whether these values can be preserved in a technology-driven environment. The use of AI in legal research is not simply a matter of convenience; it has implications for how justice is delivered and how decisions are made.

One of the key challenges is that AI systems operate based on data and algorithms, which may not always reflect the complexities of human experience. Legal issues often involve moral considerations, social contexts, and individual circumstances that cannot be fully captured through data alone. As a result, the use of AI must be approached with caution, ensuring that it supports rather than undermines the ethical foundations of the legal system.

Moreover, the rapid pace of technological development has outstripped the ability of legal frameworks to regulate its use effectively. This creates uncertainty and increases the risk of misuse. Ethical concerns such as data privacy, bias, transparency, and accountability are therefore central to any discussion on the use of AI in legal research.[33]

Legal ethics forms the foundation upon which the practice of law is built. It encompasses the principles and standards that guide the conduct of legal professionals, ensuring that they act in a manner that upholds justice and maintains public confidence in the legal system. Traditionally, legal ethics has focused on duties such as confidentiality, honesty, competence, and loyalty to clients. With the introduction of AI, the scope of legal ethics has expanded significantly. Lawyers are no longer responsible only for their own actions but also for the tools they use in their work. This means that they must ensure that AI systems are reliable, accurate, and

[32] ResearchGate, *Risks and Ethical Issues of AI in Law* (2024).
[33] ResearchGate, *Ethical and Legal Issues in Artificial Intelligence and Data Privacy* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

consistent with ethical standards.

The use of AI also raises questions about professional responsibility. For example, if a lawyer relies on an AI tool to conduct research or draft documents, they must still verify the accuracy of the output. Blind reliance on technology is inconsistent with the duty of competence and diligence expected from legal professionals. In this context, legal ethics must evolve to address the challenges posed by technology. It must provide guidance on how AI can be used responsibly while ensuring that the core values of the legal profession are preserved.[34]

Data privacy and confidentiality are among the most critical ethical concerns in the use of AI in legal research. Legal professionals routinely deal with sensitive information, including personal data, financial records, and confidential communications. The protection of such information is not only a legal obligation but also an ethical duty. AI systems depend heavily on data to function effectively. This often involves storing and processing large volumes of information, sometimes on cloud-based platforms. While this enhances accessibility, it also increases the risk of data breaches and unauthorised access.

Cybersecurity threats have become more sophisticated, and legal data is a valuable target for cybercriminals. A breach of confidentiality can have serious consequences, including loss of client trust and potential legal liability. Therefore, it is essential for legal professionals to ensure that the AI tools they use comply with strict data protection standards. Another concern is the use of client data for training AI systems. If such data is used without proper safeguards, it may lead to misuse or exposure of sensitive information. Ensuring informed consent and limiting data usage to specific purposes are important steps in addressing these concerns. Ultimately, maintaining confidentiality in a digital environment requires a combination of technical safeguards, legal compliance, and ethical awareness.[35]

Algorithmic bias is one of the most serious ethical challenges associated with AI. Since AI systems are trained on historical data, they may inherit the biases present in that data. In the legal context, this can lead to discriminatory outcomes that undermine the principle of equality before the law. Bias in AI can arise in various ways. It may result from incomplete or unrepresentative datasets, flawed algorithms, or the assumptions made during system design. For example, if an AI system is trained on past judicial decisions that reflect certain biases, it may reproduce those biases in its predictions.

This is particularly concerning in areas such as case prediction, sentencing analysis, and risk

[34] SSRN, *Ethics of AI in Legal Practice* (2024).
[35] NCERT (CIET), *Ethics in Technology Use* (Training Material).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

assessment. The use of biased AI tools can lead to unfair treatment of individuals and may reinforce existing inequalities within the legal system. Addressing algorithmic bias requires a proactive approach. Developers must ensure that datasets are diverse and representative, and systems must be regularly tested for fairness. Legal professionals also have a responsibility to critically evaluate AI outputs and not accept them uncritically.

The challenge of bias highlights the importance of maintaining human oversight in the use of AI. While technology can assist in decision-making, it should not replace the ethical judgment required to ensure fairness and justice.[36]Transparency is a fundamental principle of the legal system. Decisions must be reasoned, justified, and open to scrutiny. However, many AI systems lack transparency, making it difficult to understand how they arrive at particular conclusions. This issue is often referred to as the "black box" problem. In such cases, the internal workings of the AI system are not visible, and users may not be able to explain the reasoning behind its outputs. This poses a significant challenge in the legal field, where accountability and justification are essential. If a legal professional relies on an AI system without understanding its reasoning, it may lead to questionable decisions. Moreover, in judicial contexts, the lack of transparency can undermine public confidence in the legal system.

To address this issue, there is a growing emphasis on explainable AI. This involves designing systems that provide clear and understandable explanations for their outputs. Such systems enable users to evaluate the reliability of the results and ensure that decisions are based on sound reasoning. Transparency also promotes accountability, as it allows stakeholders to identify errors and biases in AI systems. Therefore, ensuring explainability is essential for the ethical use of AI in legal research.[37]

The question of accountability is one of the most complex issues in the use of AI. When an AI system produces incorrect or harmful results, it is often unclear who should be held responsible. This creates a gap in the existing legal framework. In traditional legal practice, responsibility lies with the professional providing the advice. However, when AI is involved, multiple parties may be implicated, including developers, users, and organisations. Determining liability in such cases can be challenging.

Despite these complexities, legal professionals cannot avoid responsibility by attributing errors to AI systems. They remain accountable for the decisions they make and the advice they provide. This reinforces the importance of exercising independent judgment and not relying

[36] IJRPR, *Algorithmic Bias in Legal Systems* (2023).
[37] Manupatra, *Transparency and AI in Law* (2023).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

solely on automated tools. There is a need for clear legal guidelines that define the responsibilities of different stakeholders involved in the development and use of AI. Such guidelines would help ensure accountability and provide clarity in cases of dispute. Without a well-defined framework, the use of AI may lead to uncertainty and potential misuse, making it essential to address these concerns through regulation and policy reform.[38]

The increasing use of AI in legal research has raised concerns about its impact on professional judgment. Legal practice requires not only technical knowledge but also the ability to interpret, analyse, and apply the law in a thoughtful manner. While AI can assist in processing information, it cannot replicate the depth of human reasoning. There is a risk that excessive reliance on AI may lead to a decline in critical thinking skills among legal professionals.

If lawyers begin to depend heavily on AI-generated outputs, they may fail to engage in independent analysis. This can result in a mechanical approach to legal practice, where decisions are based on automated suggestions rather than careful reasoning. Such a shift can have serious implications for the quality of legal services and the administration of justice. It is therefore important to ensure that AI is used as a tool to support, rather than replace, human judgment.

Maintaining this balance requires awareness and discipline. Legal professionals must actively engage with the material and use AI outputs as a starting point rather than a final answer.[39]

In the context of AI, the ethical responsibilities of legal professionals extend beyond traditional duties. Lawyers must ensure that the tools they use are reliable, secure, and consistent with ethical standards. This includes verifying the accuracy of AI-generated information and ensuring that it is used appropriately. Legal professionals also have a duty to protect client confidentiality in a digital environment. This requires an understanding of the risks associated with technology and the implementation of appropriate safeguards.

Another important responsibility is staying informed about technological developments. As AI continues to evolve, legal professionals must keep themselves updated on its capabilities and limitations. This will enable them to use technology effectively while avoiding potential risks. Ethical use of AI also involves promoting fairness and accountability. Lawyers must ensure that the use of AI does not lead to discrimination or unfair outcomes. They must remain vigilant and take corrective action when necessary.

Ultimately, the responsibility for ethical use of AI lies with the legal community. By adopting

[38] Research Paper, *AI and Legal Accountability* (2023).
[39] SSRN, *Impact of AI on Legal Judgment* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

a thoughtful and responsible approach, legal professionals can ensure that technology enhances the delivery of justice without compromising its fundamental values.[40]

V. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REGULATORY CHALLENGES

The use of artificial intelligence in legal research raises important concerns relating to confidentiality and data protection. Legal professionals frequently deal with sensitive client information, and the increasing reliance on digital tools creates risks of data breaches and unauthorised access. While existing laws such as the Information Technology Act, 2000 provide a basic framework for data protection, they are not specifically designed to address the complexities introduced by AI systems.[41]

From an ethical perspective, the duty of confidentiality remains central to legal practice. The use of AI tools does not dilute this obligation; rather, it requires greater caution on the part of legal professionals to ensure that client data is handled securely and responsibly. At the same time, the Indian judiciary has begun to adopt technology in a controlled manner. Initiatives such as the Supreme Court Portal for Assistance in Court's Efficiency (SUPACE) and the Supreme Court Vidhik Anuvaad Software (SUVAS) reflect an effort to use artificial intelligence as a supportive mechanism while retaining human oversight.[42]

These developments indicate that while technology can improve efficiency and accessibility in legal research, its use must be guided by clear ethical standards and supported by a more robust legal framework to ensure accountability and protection of fundamental rights. The judiciary plays an important role in responding to technological developments by interpreting existing laws in a manner that addresses emerging challenges. Through its decisions, it has contributed to shaping principles relevant to the use of artificial intelligence, particularly in ensuring fairness and accountability.

In addition, professional bodies such as Bar Councils have a responsibility to guide legal practitioners in the ethical use of technology. This includes developing practical guidelines and promoting awareness so that lawyers are able to use AI tools with competence and responsibility. As technology becomes more integrated into legal practice, continuous training and capacity-building assume greater importance.

At the institutional level, the Indian judiciary has taken initial steps towards technological

[40] ResearchGate, *AI and Legal Ethics* (2024).
[41] Information Technology Act, 2000 (India).
1. [42] Supreme Court of India, *SUVAS and SUPACE Initiatives* (e-Committee Reports).

adoption. Initiatives such as the Supreme Court Portal for Assistance in Court's Efficiency (SUPACE) and the Supreme Court Vidhik Anuvaad Software (SUVAS) illustrate how artificial intelligence can be used to assist judicial functioning without replacing human judgment.

These developments indicate that while technology offers significant benefits, its integration must be supported by clear policies and institutional safeguards. A balanced approach that combines innovation with accountability will be essential for ensuring that the use of AI strengthens, rather than undermines, the administration of justice.

VI. FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

The preceding chapters have examined the evolution of legal research, the integration of artificial intelligence, the ethical challenges involved, and the regulatory frameworks governing such technologies. Based on this analysis, certain key findings emerge regarding both the potential and limitations of AI in the legal field. These findings highlight the need for a balanced and responsible approach to technological adoption, ensuring that efficiency does not come at the cost of ethical integrity or justice.

One of the primary findings of this study is that the integration of computers and artificial intelligence has significantly improved the efficiency of legal research. Tasks that once required extensive manual effort can now be completed in a fraction of the time through the use of digital tools and AI-powered platforms. This transformation has made legal information more accessible and has enhanced the overall productivity of legal professionals.[43]

Another important finding is that AI has expanded the scope of legal research beyond traditional methods. The use of predictive analytics, automated document review, and intelligent search tools has introduced new ways of understanding legal data. These tools are capable of identifying patterns and trends that may not be easily visible through manual analysis, thereby contributing to more informed decision-making.[44]

At the same time, the study reveals that the adoption of AI is not without risks. Issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and lack of transparency continue to pose significant challenges. These concerns are particularly important in the legal context, where fairness and accountability are fundamental principles.[45]

It is also observed that the regulatory framework governing AI in India remains

[43] Md Wasim Ahmed, *Artificial Intelligence and Legal Ethics* (2024), available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/386891922
[44] SSRN, *Artificial Intelligence in Legal Research and Practice* (2024).
[45] ResearchGate, *Ethical and Legal Issues in Artificial Intelligence and Data Privacy* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

underdeveloped. While existing laws provide some level of guidance, they are not specifically designed to address the complexities of AI. This creates uncertainty regarding issues such as liability and ethical responsibility.[46]

A critical examination of the use of AI in legal research reveals a tension between technological efficiency and ethical responsibility. While AI offers clear advantages in terms of speed and accuracy, it also introduces a level of dependency that may undermine the independent judgment of legal professionals.

One of the central concerns is that AI systems operate based on data and algorithms, which may not always reflect the nuances of legal reasoning. Legal decision-making often involves interpretation, context, and moral considerations that cannot be fully captured by automated systems. Therefore, an overreliance on AI may lead to a mechanical approach to law, where decisions are based more on patterns than on principles.[47]

Another issue is the lack of transparency in AI systems. When legal professionals use tools whose functioning is not fully understood, it becomes difficult to assess the reliability of the results. This is particularly problematic in a field where decisions must be justified and open to scrutiny.

Furthermore, the unequal access to advanced AI tools raises concerns about fairness within the legal profession. Large firms and institutions may have access to sophisticated technologies, while smaller practitioners may not, leading to disparities in the quality of legal research and representation.[48]The findings of this study underline the importance of maintaining an ethical balance in the use of AI. Technology should be viewed as a tool to assist legal professionals rather than as a substitute for human judgment.

An ethical approach to AI requires that legal professionals remain actively involved in the research and decision-making process. They must critically evaluate the outputs generated by AI systems and ensure that such outputs align with legal principles and ethical standards. It is also essential to ensure that AI systems are designed and used in a manner that promotes fairness and inclusivity. This includes addressing issues of bias and ensuring that data used for training AI systems is representative and accurate.[49]

The concept of a "human-in-the-loop" approach is particularly relevant in this context. By retaining human oversight, it is possible to combine the efficiency of AI with the judgment and

[46] Ganesh Shrirang Satarkar Nale, *Regulating Artificial Intelligence in India* (2024).
[47] IJLLR, *AI and Legal Reasoning Challenges* (2023).
[48] IJCRT, *Impact of AI on Legal Profession* (2023).
[49] PSA India, *AI Techno-Legal Framework* (White Paper).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

ethical awareness of legal professionals. In light of the challenges identified, several recommendations can be made for legal professionals. First, there is a need for continuous learning and adaptation. Lawyers must develop a basic understanding of AI technologies and their implications in order to use them effectively and responsibly.

Second, legal professionals should exercise caution in relying on AI-generated outputs. While such tools can provide valuable assistance, they should not be treated as definitive sources of information. Independent verification and critical analysis remain essential.

Third, maintaining confidentiality must remain a priority. Legal professionals should ensure that the tools they use comply with data protection standards and that sensitive information is adequately safeguarded.[50]

Finally, there is a need to uphold ethical standards in all aspects of legal practice. The use of AI should not compromise the values of honesty, fairness, and accountability that define the legal profession.

From a policy perspective, the study highlights the need for a comprehensive legal framework governing the use of AI in the legal field. Such a framework should address issues of accountability, transparency, and data protection, while also encouraging innovation.

Regulatory bodies should establish clear guidelines for the use of AI in legal practice, including standards for accuracy, reliability, and ethical compliance. These guidelines should be regularly updated to keep pace with technological developments. Educational institutions also have an important role to play. Law schools should incorporate training on technology and AI into their curricula, ensuring that future legal professionals are equipped to navigate the changing landscape.

Judicial institutions can contribute by adopting technology in a manner that enhances efficiency while safeguarding fairness. Initiatives such as digital courts and AI-assisted research tools should be implemented with appropriate safeguards to prevent misuse.[51]In addition, collaboration between government, academia, and industry is essential for developing effective policies. A multi-stakeholder approach can ensure that different perspectives are taken into account, leading to more balanced and inclusive regulation.

VII. CONCLUSION

The study on the use of computers and artificial intelligence in legal research reveals a

[50] LiveLaw, *AI and Data Protection in Legal Practice* (2024).
[51] ResearchGate, *Comparative AI Governance and Legal Systems* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

significant transformation in the way legal knowledge is accessed, analysed, and applied. The legal profession, which was once deeply rooted in traditional methods of research, has gradually embraced technological advancements that have reshaped its functioning. While this transition has brought numerous benefits, it has also introduced complex ethical and regulatory challenges that require careful consideration.

This study began by examining the evolution of legal research from manual, library-based methods to computer-assisted systems and, eventually, to AI-driven technologies. It highlighted how the introduction of computers improved the speed and efficiency of research, making legal information more accessible to professionals and institutions. The transition from printed materials to digital databases marked a turning point, enabling real-time access to updated legal resources.

The study further explored the role of artificial intelligence in modern legal research. AI tools have expanded the scope of legal work by enabling predictive analytics, automated document review, and intelligent search mechanisms. These advancements have not only reduced the time required for research but have also enhanced the quality of legal analysis by identifying patterns and trends in large datasets.[52]

At the same time, the study addressed the ethical concerns associated with the use of AI. Issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, lack of transparency, and accountability were identified as critical challenges. These concerns are particularly significant in the legal field, where fairness and justice are central values.

The research also analysed the existing legal frameworks governing technology and AI, both in India and internationally. It was observed that while certain laws address aspects of data protection and digital governance, there is no comprehensive framework specifically designed to regulate AI. Finally, the study presented key findings and recommendations, emphasising the need for a balanced approach that integrates technological innovation with ethical responsibility.

The findings of this study make it clear that the integration of computers and artificial intelligence into legal research is not merely a technological advancement but a fundamental shift in the practice of law. AI has the potential to make legal research more efficient, accurate, and accessible, thereby contributing to the overall improvement of the legal system.

However, this transformation must be approached with caution. The legal profession is not

[52] SSRN, *Artificial Intelligence in Legal Research and Practice* (2024).

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

solely concerned with efficiency; it is also guided by principles of fairness, justice, and accountability. Any technological tool that is used in this field must align with these principles. The risk of overdependence on AI is particularly concerning, as it may lead to a decline in critical thinking and professional judgment.

Another important observation is that technology cannot fully replace human reasoning. Legal decision-making involves interpretation, discretion, and ethical considerations that go beyond data analysis. Therefore, AI should be viewed as a supportive tool rather than a substitute for human expertise. The absence of a comprehensive legal framework for AI in India further complicates the situation. While existing laws provide some level of regulation, they are not sufficient to address the unique challenges posed by AI. This creates uncertainty and highlights the need for clearer guidelines and stronger institutional mechanisms. Moreover, ethical concerns such as bias and lack of transparency must be addressed to ensure that AI systems do not undermine the principles of justice. The use of AI in law must be guided by a commitment to fairness, inclusivity, and accountability.

The field of artificial intelligence in legal research is still evolving, and there are several areas that require further exploration. One important area is the development of a comprehensive legal framework specifically designed to regulate AI. Future research can focus on analysing different models of regulation and identifying approaches that are suitable for the Indian context.

Another area of interest is the impact of AI on judicial decision-making. While AI tools are currently used primarily for research and analysis, there is growing interest in their potential role in assisting judicial decisions. This raises important questions about the extent to which AI should be involved in the administration of justice. Further research is also needed to address issues related to algorithmic bias and fairness. Developing methods to identify and eliminate bias in AI systems is essential for ensuring that these technologies are used in an ethical manner.

The intersection of AI and intellectual property law is another emerging area. Questions relating to the ownership of AI-generated content and inventions are becoming increasingly relevant and require detailed examination. In addition, there is a need to study the impact of AI on legal education and professional training. As technology becomes more integrated into legal practice, it is important to ensure that future legal professionals are equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to use these tools effectively.

Finally, interdisciplinary research involving law, technology, and ethics can provide a more comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities associated with AI. Such

© 2026. International Journal of Law Management & Humanities [ISSN 2581-5369]

research can contribute to the development of balanced policies that promote innovation while safeguarding fundamental legal principles.[53]

*****

[53] PSA India, *AI Techno-Legal Framework* (White Paper).