

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF LAW
MANAGEMENT & HUMANITIES**
[ISSN 2581-5369]

Volume 9 | Issue 5

2026

© 2026 *International Journal of Law Management & Humanities*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://www.ijlmh.com/>

Under the aegis of VidhiAagaz – Inking Your Brain (<https://www.vidhiaagaz.com/>)

This article is brought to you for free and open access by the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities at VidhiAagaz. It has been accepted for inclusion in the International Journal of Law Management & Humanities after due review.

In case of **any suggestions or complaints**, kindly contact support@vidhiaagaz.com.

To submit your Manuscript for Publication in the **International Journal of Law Management & Humanities**, kindly email your Manuscript to submission@ijlmh.com.

Analysis of Obstacles in the Implementation of Workplace Safety Laws and Proposing Effective Solutions

AKSHAYA D*, A. AVINASH MELVIN**, AND MUHESH KUMAR S***

ABSTRACT

Workplace safety is a fundamental aspect of labour rights and sustainable economic development. Despite the existence of comprehensive workplace safety laws, accidents, occupational diseases and unsafe working conditions continue to affect employees across various sectors. This paper examines the major obstacles hindering the effective implementation of workplace safety laws, including inadequate enforcement mechanisms, lack of employer compliance, insufficient employee awareness, limited governmental oversight and resource constraints. It also explores the impact of these challenges on workers' health, organisational productivity and legal accountability. By analysing existing legal frameworks, judicial decisions, government policies and practical case studies, the paper identifies the gap between legislation and its actual implementation. It further proposes practical solutions such as strengthening inspection systems, enhancing legal awareness through training programmes, adopting digital monitoring technologies, imposing stricter penalties for non-compliance, and encouraging collaboration between employers, employees and regulatory authorities. The paper concludes that effective implementation of workplace safety laws requires a combined approach involving legal reforms, institutional accountability, technological innovation and a culture of workplace safety. Such measures will contribute to safer working environments, improved employee welfare and sustainable industrial growth.

Keywords: *Workplace safety, safety laws, occupational health, legal enforcement, employee protection*

* Author is a Student at School of Law, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

** Author is a Student at School of Law, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

*** Author is a Student at School of Law, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India.

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background of the study

Work is an essential part of human life and economic development. However, workplaces may expose employees to physical, chemical, biological, mechanical, ergonomic and psychological hazards. Industrial accidents, exposure to hazardous substances, unsafe machinery, inadequate protective equipment and poor working conditions can result in injuries, occupational diseases, disability and death.

Workplace safety is therefore not merely an administrative requirement imposed upon employers. It is an important component of labour rights and human dignity.¹ In 2022 the International Labour Conference, the ILO's highest body, recognised a safe and healthy working environment as a fundamental principle and right at work.² The ILO accordingly identifies the Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155) and the Promotional Framework for Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 2006 (No. 187) as fundamental Conventions.³

In India, workplace safety has traditionally been regulated through various labour enactments dealing with factories, mines, construction workers, contract labour and other categories of employment.⁴ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020 seeks to consolidate and amend the laws regulating occupational safety, health and working conditions.⁵ The Code contains specific chapters dealing with the duties of employers and employees, occupational safety and health, health, safety and working conditions, welfare provisions, the maintenance of registers, records and returns, and the Inspector-cum-Facilitator system.⁶

However, a significant difference may exist between the law as formally enacted and its actual implementation. The continued occurrence of accidents and unsafe working conditions

¹ INDIA CONST. arts. 21, 39(e), 42, 43.

² INT'L LABOUR ORG., *A Safe and Healthy Working Environment Is a Fundamental Principle and Right at Work* (July 8, 2022), <https://www.ilo.org/publications/safe-and-healthy-working-environment-fundamental-principle-and-right-at-work> (recording that the International Labour Conference, at its 110th Session in June 2022, recognised a safe and healthy working environment as a fundamental principle and right at work).

³ *Id.* (recording the designation of the Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155) and the Promotional Framework for Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 2006 (No. 187) as fundamental Conventions).

⁴ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, § 143(1), No. 37, Acts of Parliament, 2020 (India) (repealing thirteen enactments, among them the Factories Act, 1948, the Mines Act, 1952, the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970, the Dock Workers (Safety, Health and Welfare) Act, 1986 and the Building and Other Construction Workers (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996).

⁵ *Id.* long title. The Code was brought into force on November 21, 2025. MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT, Notification S.O. 5321(E) (Nov. 21, 2025).

⁶ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, chs. III (duties of employer and employees), IV (occupational safety and health), V (health, safety and working conditions), VI (welfare provisions), VIII (maintenance of registers, records and returns), IX (Inspector-cum-Facilitators and other authority).

demonstrates that legislative protection must be supported by effective enforcement, institutional capacity and awareness.

B. Statement of the problem

Workplace safety laws impose obligations upon employers and provide mechanisms for regulatory supervision and worker protection. Nevertheless, statutory requirements are not always effectively implemented at the workplace level.

The major problem is therefore not necessarily the complete absence of legislation but the implementation gap between legal requirements and practical compliance. Weak inspections, inadequate resources, employer negligence, insufficient employee awareness, under-reporting of accidents and limited governmental oversight can undermine the effectiveness of workplace safety laws.

The problem becomes more serious in sectors where workers have limited bargaining power or where employment is informal or temporary. Workers may hesitate to report unsafe conditions for fear of dismissal, loss of wages or other adverse consequences.

This research therefore examines why workplace safety laws fail to achieve their intended objectives and proposes practical solutions for strengthening their implementation.

C. Objectives of the study

The main objectives of the research are:

- To examine the legal framework governing workplace safety in India.
- To identify the major obstacles in the implementation of workplace safety laws.
- To analyse the impact of ineffective implementation on workers and organisations.
- To examine the role of courts and regulatory authorities in workplace safety.
- To propose effective legal, administrative and technological solutions for improving workplace safety.

D. Research questions

- What is the existing legal framework governing workplace safety in India?
- What are the major obstacles to the effective implementation of workplace safety laws?
- How does ineffective implementation affect workers, employers and society?
- What role have courts and regulatory authorities played in ensuring workplace safety?

- What measures can improve compliance and enforcement?

E. Research methodology

The research adopts a doctrinal and analytical methodology. Primary legal materials, including legislation, constitutional provisions and judicial decisions, are examined. Secondary materials such as books, research articles, government publications and International Labour Organization materials are also considered.

The research is primarily qualitative and analytical. It seeks to identify the gap between statutory requirements and practical implementation and to formulate recommendations based on the problems identified.

F. Scope and limitations

The study focuses primarily on workplace safety and occupational health within the Indian labour-law framework. Particular attention is given to statutory compliance, enforcement, inspections, employer obligations, employee awareness and regulatory mechanisms.

The research does not attempt a sector-specific technical assessment of every occupational hazard. Instead, it examines the broader legal and institutional obstacles affecting the implementation of workplace safety law.

G. Review of literature

The literature on occupational safety demonstrates that effective safety management requires more than legislation. The ILO's work on occupational safety and health emphasises prevention, national policy, workplace-level management, inspection, occupational health surveillance, education and training.⁷

International labour standards also recognise the importance of coherent national policies and effective implementation. Article 4 of ILO Convention No. 155 calls for a coherent national policy on occupational safety, occupational health and the working environment, aimed at preventing accidents and injury to health arising out of, linked with or occurring in the course of work.⁸

Indian legal scholarship similarly suggests that constitutional protections, statutory obligations and judicial intervention have contributed significantly to the development of workers' rights.

⁷ INT'L LABOUR ORG., SAFETY AND HEALTH AT THE HEART OF THE FUTURE OF WORK: BUILDING ON 100 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE (2019).

⁸ Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155), art. 4, June 22, 1981, 1331 U.N.T.S. 279.

However, implementation remains dependent upon administrative capacity, employer compliance and worker participation.

H. Research gap

Existing legal literature discusses labour legislation and workers' rights extensively. However, there remains a need for an integrated examination of the implementation gap between statutory safety obligations and actual workplace practices.

This study attempts to bridge that gap by examining legal, institutional, administrative and practical obstacles together, and by proposing solutions involving enforcement, awareness, technology and stakeholder participation.

II. LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF WORKPLACE SAFETY LAWS

A. Concept of workplace safety

Workplace safety refers to measures designed to prevent accidents, injuries, occupational diseases and other forms of harm arising from employment. Occupational health is broader than accident prevention and includes protection against the long-term physical and psychological effects associated with working conditions.

An effective workplace safety system should therefore include hazard identification, risk assessment, preventive measures, protective equipment, safety training, emergency procedures, medical surveillance and effective reporting mechanisms.

B. Evolution of workplace safety laws

The Indian workplace safety framework developed through legislation addressing different sectors and categories of workers. Historically, factory safety, mines, contract labour, construction work and other employment sectors were governed by separate statutory regimes.⁹

The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020 represents an important consolidation of this framework. Its stated purpose is to consolidate and amend the laws regulating occupational safety, health and working conditions.¹⁰

The Code contains provisions relating to employer and employee duties, occupational safety and health, working conditions, welfare, records and regulatory authorities. Its implementation therefore represents an important development in India's workplace safety framework.

⁹ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, § 143(1).

¹⁰ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, long title.

C. Constitutional and legislative framework

Workplace safety can be examined in the context of constitutional protections concerning life, dignity and humane conditions of work. Article 21 of the Constitution protects the right to life and personal liberty, while the Directive Principles of State Policy provide broader guidance concerning workers' welfare and just and humane conditions of work.¹¹

The judiciary has interpreted Article 21 expansively to include aspects of health and human dignity.¹² In *Consumer Education & Research Centre v. Union of India*, the Supreme Court held that the right to health and medical care of workers is a fundamental right under Article 21, read with the Directive Principles, and so recognised the importance of occupational health and of protecting workers from hazardous employment conditions.¹³

The present statutory framework is principally centred on the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020. The Code was enacted as Act No. 37 of 2020 and contains provisions relating to occupational safety and health, employer and employee duties, welfare and regulatory inspection.¹⁴

D. Duties and responsibilities of employers and employees

Employers occupy a central position in workplace safety. They are expected to identify workplace hazards, provide appropriate safety measures, maintain safe working conditions, provide necessary information and training, and comply with statutory requirements.¹⁵

Employees also have responsibilities in maintaining safety. Workers should follow safety procedures, use protective equipment correctly and cooperate with safety programmes.¹⁶

Workplace safety is therefore a shared responsibility. However, the primary responsibility for creating a safe working environment rests substantially upon those who control the workplace, its processes and its resources.

¹¹ INDIA CONST. arts. 21, 39(e), 42, 43.

¹² *Bandhua Mukti Morcha v. Union of India*, (1984) 3 SCC 161 (holding that the right to live with human dignity under Article 21 draws on Articles 39(e) and (f), 41 and 42, and must include protection of the health and strength of workers and just and humane conditions of work).

¹³ *Consumer Education & Research Centre v. Union of India*, (1995) 3 SCC 42 (holding that the right to health and medical aid of a worker, in service or after retirement, is a fundamental right under Article 21 read with Articles 39(e), 41, 43 and 48A).

¹⁴ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, chs. III–VI, IX.

¹⁵ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, § 6(1)–(2).

¹⁶ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, § 13.

E. Role of regulatory authorities

Regulatory authorities play an important role in translating statutory requirements into actual workplace compliance. Inspection, investigation, licensing, registration, reporting and enforcement mechanisms are essential components of this process.

The OSH Code includes a regulatory framework involving Inspector-cum-Facilitators and other authorities.¹⁷

However, inspection is effective only when authorities possess adequate personnel, technical expertise, independence and resources. A legal inspection system without sufficient institutional capacity may result in formal compliance without meaningful protection.

F. Judicial approach to workplace safety

Indian courts have played an important role in developing principles relating to workers' health and safety.

In *Consumer Education & Research Centre v. Union of India* (1995), the Supreme Court emphasised occupational health as an important aspect of workers' rights.¹⁸

In *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India* (the *Oleum Gas Leak* case), the Supreme Court developed the principle of absolute liability for enterprises engaged in hazardous or inherently dangerous activities.¹⁹ The decision strengthened the principle that enterprises undertaking hazardous activities must bear responsibility for harm arising from such activities.

Judicial decisions demonstrate that workplace safety cannot be treated merely as a contractual issue between employer and employee. It has broader implications for constitutional rights, public safety and social justice.

III. OBSTACLES IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF WORKPLACE SAFETY LAWS

A. Inadequate enforcement mechanisms

One of the principal obstacles is the gap between legal requirements and enforcement. A statute may impose detailed safety obligations, but those obligations have limited practical value if violations are not detected and remedied.

Effective enforcement requires regular inspections, timely investigation of accidents, corrective orders and meaningful consequences for violations.²⁰

¹⁷ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, ch. IX, § 34.

¹⁸ *Consumer Education & Research Centre*, (1995) 3 SCC 42.

¹⁹ *M.C. Mehta v. Union of India*, (1987) 1 SCC 395.

²⁰ BENJAMIN O. ALLI, FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY 19 (Int'l Labour Off., 2d ed. 2008), <https://www.ilo.org/publications/fundamental-principles-occupational-health-and-safety-second->

B. Lack of employer compliance

Some employers may treat workplace safety as an additional financial or administrative burden rather than as an essential organisational responsibility.

Safety measures may be neglected because of the perceived costs of protective equipment, maintenance, training or technological improvements. In certain circumstances, employers may prioritise production targets over safety requirements.

Such an approach is short-sighted, because workplace accidents can result in compensation claims, litigation, productivity losses, reputational harm and disruption of operations.

C. Insufficient employee awareness and training

Employees cannot effectively exercise their statutory rights if they are unaware of them. Workers may not know the safety standards applicable to their workplace, the reporting mechanisms available to them or the legal consequences of unsafe practices.

Training is particularly important for workers operating machinery, handling chemicals, working at heights or performing other hazardous tasks.²¹

The ILO identifies education and training as important components of effective occupational safety and health systems.²²

D. Limited governmental oversight

Government agencies may face difficulties in monitoring a large and diverse labour market. Limited staffing, inadequate technical resources and geographical challenges can reduce the frequency and quality of inspections.

Regulatory authorities must therefore move beyond a purely reactive approach based on accidents and complaints and adopt a preventive approach based on risk assessment.²³

E. Resource and institutional constraints

Effective implementation requires adequate financial and human resources. Labour inspectors and other officials require technical knowledge, digital tools and continuous professional training.

edition (“Policies must be enforced. A system of inspection must be in place to secure compliance with occupational safety and health measures and other labour legislation.”).

²¹ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, § 6(2)(c).

²² Alli, *supra* note 20, at 19, 113; *see also* Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155), *supra* note 8, art. 14.

²³ *See* INT’L LABOUR ORG., *Safety and Health at Work*, <https://www.ilo.org/topics-and-sectors/safety-and-health-work> (last visited Oct. 2, 2026) (describing the ILO’s work with governments, employers and workers “to promote a strong culture of prevention”).

Where regulatory institutions lack sufficient resources, enforcement can become delayed or inconsistent.

F. Challenges in the unorganised sector

The unorganised sector presents particularly difficult implementation challenges. Workers may be employed through informal arrangements, subcontracting or temporary employment structures.

Informality can make registration, inspection and accident reporting difficult. Workers may also have limited bargaining power and may be reluctant to complain about unsafe conditions.

Consequently, workplace safety policies must specifically address the needs of informal and vulnerable workers.²⁴

IV. EFFECTIVE SOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Strengthening enforcement and inspection

The first requirement for effective implementation is a strong inspection system. Inspections should be based on workplace risk rather than conducted only on a routine or formal basis.

High-risk industries should receive greater regulatory attention. Inspection reports should identify violations clearly and set deadlines for corrective action.

Digital inspection systems can also improve transparency and reduce unnecessary administrative delays.

B. Improving employer and employee compliance

Employers should integrate workplace safety into their organisational policies and performance systems. Safety should be treated as a core management responsibility rather than as a separate compliance exercise.

Employees should be encouraged to report hazards without fear of retaliation.²⁵ Worker participation can help identify risks that may not be apparent to external inspectors.

²⁴ See *People's Union for Democratic Rights v. Union of India*, (1982) 3 SCC 235 (holding that the Union of India, the Delhi Administration and the Delhi Development Authority, having entrusted construction work to contractors, could not escape their obligation to ensure that the contractors observed labour laws in respect of the workmen); *Bandhua Mukti Morcha*, (1984) 3 SCC 161.

²⁵ See The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, §§ 13(d), 14 (duty of an employee to report unsafe or unhealthy situations, and right of an employee to represent to the employer and to the Inspector-cum-Facilitator on inadequate safety provision or imminent danger).

C. Legal awareness and safety training

Regular safety training should be compulsory and tailored to the nature of the work. Training should cover:

- Identification of workplace hazards;
- Use of protective equipment;
- Emergency procedures;
- Accident reporting;
- First aid;
- Workers' legal rights; and
- Employer safety obligations.

Training should be conducted in languages and formats understood by workers.²⁶

D. Use of digital monitoring technologies

Technology can significantly improve the implementation of workplace safety law. Digital platforms can be used for:

- Online registration and compliance records;
- Digital inspection reports;
- Accident reporting;
- Risk assessment;
- Safety audits;
- Real-time monitoring of hazardous workplaces; and
- Tracking corrective actions.

Technology should complement rather than replace physical inspection. Digital systems are most effective when supported by trained officials and transparent accountability mechanisms.

E. Stricter penalties and accountability

Penalties for serious safety violations should be sufficient to discourage non-compliance. Repeated violations should attract stronger consequences.

At the same time, enforcement should distinguish between minor technical violations and serious conduct that places workers' lives at risk.

²⁶ Alli, *supra* note 20, at 113 (recommending, where appropriate, special training programmes for migrant workers in their mother tongues).

Accountability should extend beyond nominal compliance and focus on whether employers have actually taken reasonable preventive measures.

F. Stakeholder collaboration

Workplace safety cannot be achieved by government agencies alone. Employers, employees, trade unions, safety professionals, industry associations and regulatory authorities should work together.

The ILO's occupational safety framework emphasises cooperation among governments, employers and workers in developing and implementing occupational safety policies.²⁷

A tripartite and participatory approach can improve compliance, because workers are directly involved in identifying workplace hazards while employers possess knowledge of operational processes.

V. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

A. Major findings

The study identifies the following major findings:

First, the principal challenge is not merely the absence of workplace safety legislation but the implementation gap between legal requirements and workplace practice.

Second, inadequate inspection and enforcement mechanisms significantly reduce the effectiveness of statutory safety standards.

Third, employer compliance remains essential because employers control workplace infrastructure, machinery, working procedures and safety resources.

Fourth, employee awareness and training are necessary for meaningful implementation. Workers who are unaware of their rights and of safety procedures may be unable to protect themselves effectively.

Fifth, regulatory institutions require sufficient human, financial and technological resources to perform their functions effectively.

Sixth, workers in informal and unorganised employment may face greater difficulties in accessing statutory protection.

²⁷ Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981 (No. 155), *supra* note 8, arts. 4(1), 20; INT'L LABOUR ORG., *supra* note 2 (quoting the 2022 Resolution: "a safe and healthy working environment requires the active participation of governments, employers and workers through a system of defined rights, responsibilities and duties, as well as through social dialogue and cooperation").

Seventh, technology can strengthen inspection, reporting and monitoring but cannot substitute for effective human supervision.

Finally, workplace safety must be treated as a preventive and participatory responsibility rather than merely as a legal requirement enforced after an accident.

B. Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations are proposed:

- Strengthen labour inspection systems by increasing personnel, technical capacity and resources.
- Adopt risk-based inspections with greater attention to high-risk industries.
- Increase legal awareness programmes for workers and employers.
- Make workplace safety training continuous rather than limited to initial induction.
- Improve accident reporting systems and reduce barriers to reporting.
- Develop digital compliance and inspection platforms to improve transparency.
- Strengthen employer accountability for repeated or serious violations.
- Encourage worker participation through safety committees and representative mechanisms.
- Provide special regulatory attention to the unorganised sector and vulnerable workers.
- Improve coordination among government authorities, employers and workers' organisations.
- Promote preventive safety cultures rather than relying primarily on punishment after accidents.
- Review workplace safety policies regularly in response to technological and industrial changes.

C. Conclusion

Workplace safety is an essential component of labour justice, human dignity and sustainable economic development.²⁸ The existence of comprehensive statutory provisions is an important first step, but legislation cannot protect workers unless it is effectively implemented.

The Indian legal framework has progressively developed mechanisms for occupational safety and health, and the Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020 provides

²⁸ See INDIA CONST. art. 21; *Bandhua Mukti Morcha*, (1984) 3 SCC 161.

a consolidated framework dealing with occupational safety, health and working conditions.²⁹ At the international level, the ILO now recognises a safe and healthy working environment as a fundamental principle and right at work.³⁰

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of workplace safety laws depends upon the strength of enforcement institutions, employer compliance, employee awareness and meaningful governmental oversight. The continued occurrence of workplace accidents demonstrates that the focus must move from legislation to implementation, from reaction to prevention, and from individual responsibility to institutional accountability.

A successful workplace safety system should combine strong legislation, effective inspections, adequate resources, worker participation, continuous training, technological innovation and meaningful penalties for serious violations. Employers should regard safety not as a cost of doing business but as a fundamental organisational responsibility.

Ultimately, workplace safety requires cooperation among the State, employers and workers. If statutory standards are supported by effective enforcement and a strong culture of prevention, workplace accidents can be reduced, workers' rights can be better protected and industrial development can become more sustainable.

²⁹ The Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020, long title, § 143(1).

³⁰ INT'L LABOUR ORG., *supra* note 2.